

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor: Hair	§	Attorney Docket No.:
United States Patent No.: 5,191,573	§	104677-5005-801
Formerly Application No.: 586,391	§	Customer No. 28120
Issue Date: March 2, 1993	§	
Filing Date: September 18, 1990	§	Petitioner: Apple Inc.
Former Group Art Unit: 369	§	
Former Examiner: Hoa Nguyen	§	

For: Method for Transmitting a Desired Digital Video or Audio Signal

MAIL STOP PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Post Office Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

**DECLARATION OF ROBERTO J. GONZALEZ IN SUPPORT OF
PETITION FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT REVIEW OF
UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 5,191,573
PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 321, 37 C.F.R. § 42.304**

I, Roberto J. Gonzalez, make the following Declaration pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §
1746:

1. I am a paralegal at the law firm of Ropes & Gray LLP.

2. I provide this Declaration in connection with the above-identified

Covered Business Method Patent Review proceeding that is being requested at the
United States Patent and Trademark Office by Apple, Inc. under 35 U.S.C. § 321, 37
C.F.R. § 42.304. Unless otherwise stated, the facts stated in this Declaration are based
on my personal knowledge.

3.

4. Exhibit 1001 hereto is a true and correct copy of United States Patent No. 5,191,573 to Arthur Hair, with its November 30, 2010 Ex Parte Reexamination Certificate, which are records of the USPTO to which all parties have access. Page numbers have been added to the bottom of this document and an exhibit label has been added to the top of this document but no other alterations have been made.

5. Exhibit 1002 hereto is a true and correct copy of the file history for United States Patent No. 5,191,573 to Arthur Hair, which was downloaded from the USPTO PAIR website and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. Page numbers have been added to the bottom of this document and an exhibit label has been added to the top of this document but no other alterations have been made.

6. Exhibit 1003 hereto is a true and correct copy of the reexamination file history for Application No. 90/007,402, which was downloaded from the USPTO PAIR website and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. Page numbers have been added to the bottom of this document and an exhibit label has been added to the top of this document but no other alterations have been made.

7. Exhibit 1004 hereto is a true and correct copy of United States Patent No. 5,675,734 to Arthur Hair, with its December 14, 2010 Ex Parte Reexamination Certificate, which are records of the USPTO to which all parties have access. Page

numbers have been added to the bottom of this document and an exhibit label has been added to the top of this document but no other alterations have been made.

8. Exhibit 1005 hereto is a true and correct copy of United States Patent No. 5,966,440 to Arthur Hair, with its July 27, 2010 Ex Parte Reexamination Certificate, which are records of the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("the USPTO") to which all parties have access. Page numbers have been added to the bottom of this document and an exhibit label has been added to the top of this document but no other alterations have been made.

9. Exhibit 1006 hereto is a true and correct copy of the file history for United States Patent No. 5,966,440 to Arthur Hair, which was downloaded from the USPTO PAIR website and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. Page numbers have been added to the bottom of this document and an exhibit label has been added to the top of this document but no other alterations have been made.

10. Exhibit 1011 hereto is a true and correct copy of the World Patent Application WO85/02310, filed on November 14, 1984 by SoftNet Inc., and published on May 23, 1985, which was downloaded from the World Intellectual Property Organization's PATENTSCOPE search page to which all parties have access. Page numbers have been added to the bottom of this document and an

exhibit label has been added to the top of this document but no other alterations have been made.

11. Exhibit 1014 hereto is a true and correct copy of an Order regarding Claim Construction in *SightSound Techs., LLC v. Apple Inc.*, No. 11-01292, before the District Court of the Western District of Pennsylvania, signed by Senior Judge, Donetta W. Ambrose on February 13, 2013, which was downloaded from the Public Access to Court Electronic Records (“PACER”) website and is a record of the aforementioned District Court (Dkt. No. 175) to which all parties have access. Page numbers have been added to the bottom of this document and an exhibit label has been added to the top of this document but no other alterations have been made.

12. Exhibit 1015 hereto is a true and correct copy of Special Master’s Report and Recommendation on Claim Construction in *SightSound Techs., LLC v. Apple Inc.*, No. 11-01292, before the District Court of the Western District of Pennsylvania, signed by Claim Construction Special Master, Gregory L. Bradley and dated November 19, 2012, which was downloaded from the PACER website and is a record of the aforementioned District Court (Dkt. No. 142) to which all parties have access. Page numbers have been added to the bottom of this document and an exhibit label has been added to the top of this document but no other alterations have been made.

13. Exhibit 1012 hereto is a true and correct copy of United States Patent No. 3,718,906 to Robert W. Lightner, which is a record of the USPTO to which all

parties have access. Page numbers have been added to the bottom of this document and an exhibit label has been added to the top of this document but no other alterations have been made.

14. Exhibit 1013 hereto is a true and correct copy of United States Patent No. 3,990,710 to Robert M. Hughes, which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. Page numbers have been added to the bottom of this document and an exhibit label has been added to the top of this document but no other alterations have been made.

15. Exhibit 1016 hereto is a true and correct copy of the transcript of the Markman Hearing held on Friday, April 20, 2001 in the District Court of the Western District of Pennsylvania before Honorable Kenneth J. Senson, U.S. Magistrate Judge in SightSound.Com Inc. v. N2K, Inc., No. 98-118, which was produced by counsel for SightSound Techs., LLC, the law firm of Arnold & Porter LLC, to counsel for Apple Inc., Ropes & Gray LLP, during discovery relating to SightSound Techs., LLC v. Apple Inc., No. 11-01292, before the District Court of the Western District of Pennsylvania. The document, as produced, bears identification numbers SST-029141 to SST-029293, and bears the certification of Virginia Pease, Official Reporter. Page numbers have been added to the bottom of this document and an exhibit label has been added to the top of this document but no other alterations have been made.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.