

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor: Hair	§	Attorney Docket No.:
United States Patent No.: 5,191,573	§	104677-5005-801
Formerly Application No.: 586,391	§	Customer No. 28120
Issue Date: March 2, 1993	§	
Filing Date: September 18, 1990	§	Petitioner: Apple Inc.
Former Group Art Unit: 369	§	
Former Examiner: Hoa Nguyen	§	

For: Method for Transmitting a Desired Digital Video or Audio Signal

MAIL STOP PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Post Office Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

**DECLARATION OF MEGAN F. RAYMOND IN SUPPORT OF
PETITION FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT REVIEW OF
UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 5,191,573
PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 321, 37 C.F.R. § 42.304**

I, Megan F. Raymond, make the following Declaration pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §
1746:

1. I am an attorney at the law firm of Ropes & Gray LLP.
2. I provide this Declaration in connection with the above-identified

Covered Business Method Patent Review proceeding that is being requested at the
United States Patent and Trademark Office by Apple Inc. under 35 U.S.C. § 321, 37
C.F.R. § 42.304. Unless otherwise stated, the facts stated in this Declaration are based
on my personal knowledge.

3. Exhibit 1007 hereto is a true and correct copy of an article entitled “Audio/digital interface for the IBM PC?” from the From the News Desk section of the June 4, 1984 issue of InfoWorld published by the InfoWorld Media Group, which was downloaded from LexisNexis at my request. Page numbers have been added to the bottom of this document and an exhibit label has been added to the top of this document but no other alterations have been made.

4. Exhibit 1019 hereto is a true and correct copy of an article entitled “The Battle Over Online Music” authored by Jennifer Sullivan and published on January 29, 1999 by WIRED, which was retrieved from <http://www.wired.com/techbiz/media/news/1999/01/17609> at my request. Page numbers have been added to the bottom of this document and an exhibit label has been added to the top of this document but no other alterations have been made.

5. Exhibit 1020 hereto is a true and correct copy of the Declaration of Dr. John P.J. Kelly in *SightSound Techs., LLC v. Apple Inc.*, No. 11-01292, before the District Court of the Western District of Pennsylvania, signed by John P.J. Kelly and dated September 7, 2012, which was downloaded from the Public Access to Court Electronic Records (“PACER”) website at my direction and is a record of the aforementioned District Court (Dkt. No. 93) to which all parties have access. The Declaration contains markings at the top of each page indicating the PACER filing information. Page numbers have been added to the bottom of this document and an

exhibit label has been added to the top of this document but no other alterations have been made.

6. Exhibit 1021 hereto is a true and correct copy of the Declaration of J.D. Tygar, Ph.D. in *SightSound Techs., LLC v. Apple Inc.*, No. 11-01292, before the District Court of the Western District of Pennsylvania, signed by Justin Douglas Tygar, Ph.D. and dated September 7, 2012, which was downloaded from the PACER website at my direction and is a record of the aforementioned District Court (Dkt. No. 90-1) to which all parties have access. The Declaration contains markings at the top of each page indicating the PACER filing information. Page numbers have been added to the bottom of this document and an exhibit label has been added to the top of this document but no other alterations have been made.

7. Exhibit 1022 hereto is a true and correct copy of the Responsive Declaration of J.D. Tygar, Ph.D. in *SightSound Techs., LLC v. Apple Inc.*, No. 11-01292, before the District Court of the Western District of Pennsylvania, signed by Justin Douglas Tygar, Ph.D. and dated September 28, 2012, which was downloaded from the PACER website at my direction and is a record of the aforementioned District Court (Dkt. No. 104-3) to which all parties have access. The Declaration contains markings at the top of each page indicating the PACER filing information. Page numbers have been added to the bottom of this document and an exhibit label has been added to the top of this document but no other alterations have been made.

8. Exhibit 1023 hereto is a true and correct copy of an excerpt from a book bearing the title “Start Me Up!: the music biz meets the personal computer,” published by Mediac Press, which was retrieved at my instruction from the United States Library of Congress, 101 Independence Avenue SE, Washington, DC 20540 and which I inspected. The book bears a Library of Congress stamp dated June 2, 1986, and was in a condition that creates no suspicion about its authenticity; was in a place where it would likely be found if authentic; and is at least twenty years old. Page numbers have been added to the bottom of this document and an exhibit label has been added to the top of this document but no other alterations have been made.

9. Exhibit 1024 hereto is a true and correct copy of an excerpt of Plaintiff SightSound Technologies, LLC’s Expert Report of Dr. J. Douglas Tygar Regarding Infringement received with redactions on April 24, 2013 from Marc Schiess of Arnold & Porter LLP, Plaintiff’s SightSound Technologies, LLC’s counsel in *SightSound Techs., LLC v. Apple Inc.*, No. 11-01292, before the District Court of the Western District of Pennsylvania, signed by Justin Douglas Tygar, Ph.D. and dated April 22, 2012 [sic]. I additionally redacted this document to protect confidential information. Page numbers have been added to the bottom of this document and an exhibit label has been added to the top of this document but no other alterations, other than the redactions, have been made.

10. Exhibit 1025 hereto is a true and correct copy of an excerpt of the Expert Report of Mark M. Gleason, CPA/ABV/CFF, CVA, CLP received with

redactions on April 28, 2013 from Plaintiff's SightSound Technologies, LLC's counsel, Preetam Shingavi from Arnold & Porter LLP, in *SightSound Techs., LLC v. Apple Inc.*, No. 11-01292, before the District Court of the Western District of Pennsylvania, signed by Mark M. Gleason, CPA/ABV/CFF, CVA, CLP and dated April 22, 2013. I additionally redacted this document to protect confidential information. Page numbers have been added to the bottom of this document and an exhibit label has been added to the top of this document but no other alterations, other than the redactions, have been made.

11. Exhibit 1045 hereto is a true and correct copy of an excerpt of Plaintiff SightSound Technologies, LLC's Expert Report of John Snell received on April 22, 2013 from Marc Schiess of Arnold & Porter LLC, Plaintiff's SightSound Technologies, LLC's counsel in *SightSound Techs., LLC v. Apple Inc.*, No. 11-01292, before the District Court of the Western District of Pennsylvania, signed by John Snell and dated April 22, 2012 [sic]. This document was redacted at my direction to protect confidential information. Page numbers have been added to the bottom of this document and an exhibit label has been added to the top of this document but no other alterations, other than the redactions, have been made.

12. I make this declaration of my own personal knowledge. If called to testify as to the truth of the matters stated herein, I could and would testify competently.

13. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.