

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

VOLUSION, INC.
Petitioner

v.

**VERSATA SOFTWARE, INC. AND
VERSATA DEVELOPMENT GROUP, INC.**
Patent Owner

**Case CBM2013-00017
U.S. Patent No. 6,834,282 B1**

**Before HOWARD B. BLANKENSHIP, SALLY C. MEDLEY, and
KEVIN F. TURNER, *Administrative Patent Judges.***

**PETITIONER VOLUSION, INC.'S MOTION FOR
REHEARING UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.71(d)**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES ii

LIST OF EXHIBITS iv

I. INTRODUCTION1

II. BACKGROUND1

 A. Decision Regarding CBM Review of the '282 Patent1

 B. The Additional Elements of Claim 21 Highlighted in the
 Decision Merely Require Searching a Database.....3

III. ARGUMENT.....6

 A. The Petition Explicitly Addressed the Additional Search
 Required by Claims 21-23.....6

 B. Claims 21-23 are Invalid under § 1017

 1. Claims 21-23 add insignificant post solution activity to
 an abstract idea.....8

 2. Claims 21-23 Do Not Satisfy the Machine-or-
 Transformation Test.....10

IV. CONCLUSION.....14

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

Accenture Global Servs. GmbH v. Guideware Software Inc.,
691 F. Supp. 2d 577 (D. Del. 2010)12

Bancorp Servs., L.L.C. v. Sun Life Assurance Co. of Canada (U.S.),
687 F.3d 1266, 103 U.S.P.Q.2d 1425, 1433 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....8, 9

Bilski v. Kappos,
130 S. Ct. 3218, 95 U.S.P.Q.2d 1001 (2010)8, 10

CLS Bank Int’l v. Alice Corp.,
717 F.3d 1269, 106 U.S.P.Q.2d 1696 (Fed. Cir. 2013) (*en banc*)9, 10

CyberFone Sys., LLC v. Cellco P’ship ,
885 F. Supp. 2d 710 (D. Del. 2012)11

CyberSource v. Retail Decision, Inc.,
654 F.3d 1366, 99 U.S.P.Q.2d 1690 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....13

Ex Parte Choo,
No. 2009-004228, 2010 WL 2985362 (B.P.A.I., July 28, 2010).....14

Ex Parte Mahadevan,
No. 2009-004228, 2010 WL 1064492 (B.P.A.I., March 23, 2010)14

Ex Parte Vogel,
No. 2010-005339, 2011 WL 6012447 (B.P.A.I., Nov. 21, 2011).....14

Fort Props., Inc. v. Am. Master Lease, LLC,
671 F.3d 1317, 101 U.S.P.Q.2d 1785 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....11

Gottschalk v. Benson,
409 U.S. 63 (1972).....11

In re Bilski,
545 F.3d 943, 88 U.S.P.Q.2d 1035 (Fed. Cir. 2008)..... 10, 11, 13

In re Ferguson,
558 F.3d 1359, 90 U.S.P.Q.2d 1035 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....11

In re Grams,
888 F.2d 835 (Fed. Cir. 1989)9

Mayo Collaborative Servs. v. Prometheus Labs., Inc.,
132 S. Ct. 1289, 101 U.S.P.Q.2d 1961 (2012) 7, 8, 9

Parker v. Flook,
437 U.S. 584 (1978).....7

Ultramercial, Inc. v. Hulu, LLC,
772 F.3d 1335, 107 U.S.P.Q.2d 1193 (Fed. Cir. 2013)..... 9, 10, 11

Other Authorities

35 U.S.C. § 101 passim

LIST OF EXHIBITS

| | |
|------------------------|--|
| Volusion Exhibit 1014: | Decision, Institution of Covered Business Method Patent Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,426,481, Case No. CBM2013-00018, Paper No. 8 |
| Volusion Exhibit 1015: | Certificate of Service |

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.