IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor: Ling et al.	§	Attorney Docket No.:
United States Patent No.: 8,140,358	§	LMIC-021-802
Formerly Application No.: 12/132,487	§	Customer No. 28120
Issue Date: March 20, 2012	§	
Filing Date: June 3, 2008	§	Petitioner: Liberty Mutual
Former Group Art Unit: 3695	§	Insurance Company
Former Examiner: Robert R. Niquette	§	

For: Vehicle Monitoring System

MAIL STOP PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Post Office Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

PETITION FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT REVIEW OF UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 8,140,358 PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 321, 37 C.F.R. § 42.304

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 321 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.304, the undersigned, on behalf of and acting in a representative capacity for petitioner, Liberty Mutual Insurance Company ("Petitioner" and real party in interest), hereby petitions for review under the transitional program for covered business method patents of claims 1-20 (all claims) of U.S. Patent No. 8,140,358 ("the '358 Patent"), issued to Progressive Casualty Insurance Company ("Progressive"). Petitioner hereby asserts that it is more likely than not that at least one of the challenged claims is unpatentable for the

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

reasons set forth herein and respectfully requests review of, and judgment against, claims 1-20 as unpatentable under § 103.[1]

[1] As discussed in Section I, *infra*, Petitioner has previously filed a Petition (No. CBM2012-00003) seeking a covered business method review of the '358 Patent requesting judgment against these claims raising different questions based on different prior art references and combinations under §§ 102 and 103. Petitioner notes that the Director, pursuant to Rule 325(c), may determine at the proper time that merger of these proceedings may be appropriate.

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 1
II. PETITIONER HAS STANDING 5
A. The '358 Patent Is a Covered Business Method Patent 5
B. Petitioner Is a Real Party In Interest Sued for and Charged With Infringement 7
III. OVERVIEW OF SPECIFIC GROUNDS FOR WHICH IT IS MORE LIKELY THAN NOT THAT THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS (1-20) OF THE '358 PATENT ARE UNPATENTABLE 8
IV. Background Information For the '358 Patent 8
A. The '358 Patent 8
B. The '358 Patent Prosecution History 9
C. The Earliest Possible Priority Date for Claims 1, 16-17, 19-20 of the '358 Patent Is January 23, 2004 and the Earliest Possible Priority Date for Claims 2-15, 18 of the '358 Patent is June 3, 2008 12
V. DETAILED EXPLANATION OF REASONS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED, SHOWING IT IS MORE LIKELY THAN NOT THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS IS UNPATENTABLE .14
A. Claim Construction 15
B. The Challenged Claims Are Invalid Under § 103 16
1. Independent Claim 1 17
(a) Claim 1 is Rendered Obvious Under § 103 by RDSS in View of Kosaka 17
2. Dependent Claim 2 is Rendered Obvious Under § 103 by RDSS, in View of Kosaka and Chang 43
3. Dependent Claim 3 is Rendered Obvious Under § 103 by RDSS, in view of Kosaka 45
4. Dependent Claim 4 is Rendered Obvious Under § 103 by RDSS, in view of Kosaka and Beaverton 46
5. Dependent Claim 5 is Rendered Obvious Under § 103 by RDSS, in view of Kosaka 49
6. Dependent Claim 6 is Rendered Obvious Under § 103 by RDSS, in view of Kosaka and Stanifer 50

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

7. Dependent Claim 7 is Rendered Obvious Under § 103 by RDSS, in view of Kosaka and Stanifer 54
8. Dependent Claim 8 is Rendered Obvious Under § 103 by RDSS, in view of Kosaka 56
9. Dependent Claim 9 is Rendered Obvious Under § 103 by RDSS, in view of Kosaka 57
10. Dependent Claim 10 is Rendered Obvious Under § 103 by RDSS, in view of Kosaka and Lowrey 58
11. Dependent Claim 11 is Rendered Obvious Under § 103 by RDSS, in view of Kosaka and Lowrey 60
12. Dependent Claim 12 is Rendered Obvious Under § 103 by RDSS, in view of Kosaka and Lowrey (as Confirmed by MSM6500 Press Release) 60
13. Dependent Claim 13 is Rendered Obvious Under § 103 by RDSS, in view of Kosaka and Lowrey 62
14. Dependent Claim 14 is Rendered Obvious Under § 103 by RDSS, in view of Kosaka and Lowrey 63
15. Dependent Claim 15 is Rendered Obvious Under § 103 by RDSS, in view of Kosaka and Lowrey 64
16. Dependent Claim 16 is Rendered Obvious Under § 103 by RDSS, in view of Kosaka and Bouchard 65
17. Dependent Claim 17 67
    (a) Dependent Claim 17 is Rendered Obvious Under § 103 by RDSS, in view of Kosaka and Bouchard 67
    (b) Dependent Claim 17 is Rendered Obvious Under § 103 by RDSS, in view of Kosaka, Bouchard, and Gray 68
    (c) Dependent Claim 17 is Rendered Obvious Under § 103 by RDSS, in view of Kosaka, Bouchard, and Lewis 70
18. Dependent Claim 18 is Rendered Obvious Under § 103 by (1) RDSS, in view of Kosaka and Bouchard; (2) RDSS, in view of Kosaka, Bouchard, and Gray; and (3) RDSS, in view of Kosaka, Bouchard, and Lewis 72
19. Dependent Claim 19 is Rendered Obvious Under § 103 by RDSS, in view of Kosaka 73

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

20. Dependent Claim 20 is Rendered Obvious Under § 103 by RDSS, in view of Kosaka.....74

VI. CONCLUSION.....75

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.