	Page 1
1	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
2	BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
3	
4	LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE CO.
5	Petitioner
6	v.
7	PROGRESSIVE CASUALTY INSURANCE CO.
8	Patent Owner
9	
10	Cases CBM2012-00002; CBM2012-00004(JL)
	Patent 6,064,970
11	
	Case CBM2013-00004(JL)
12	Patent 8,090,598
13	Cases CBM2012-00003; CBM2013-00009(JL)
	Patent 8,140,358
14	
15	
16	
17	DEPOSITION OF MARY LOU O'NEIL
18	Washington, D.C.
19	Friday, September 13, 2013
20	Progressive Exhibit 2028
21	Liberty Mutual v. Progressive
22	CBM2013-00009
23	
24	Reported by: John L. Harmonson, RPR
25	Job 65806

	Page 6		Page /
1	M. O'NEIL	1	M. O'NEIL
2	introduced by Mr. Miller.	2	the you know, I guess there are 50 standards
3	Q. Were you familiar with this document	3	of practice and several statements of principle,
4	before it was offered by Progressive in its	4	daily. I mean, it's on an as-needed basis. You
5	response to the Liberty Mutual petition?	5	go back to the document whenever you might want
6	A. Yes. I've been familiar with this	6	to use it.
7	document since its initial publication. I	7	Q. And in fact, you've used this document
8	believe it was back in the 1980s, maybe 1982 or	8	in your actuarial work in the past?
9	somewhere in that range.	9	A. Yes, I have used it in the past.
10	Q. So it's a document you're well	10	Q. And in fact, in the past has some of
11	acquainted with; is that right?	11	your actuarial work been conducted in a way
12		12	that's consistent with the statement of
13	A. It's not something	13	
	MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403.	13 14	principles set forth in this document?
14	A. I'm familiar with the document as any		MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403.
15	other professional actuary might be.	15	A. I believe all of my actuarial work has
16	Q. It's not a document that would be	16	always been consistent with all of the standards
17	obscured to actuaries practicing in their field;	17	of practice, which includes the statements of
18	is that right?	18	principles.
19	MR. MYERS: Objection. Federal Rule	19	Q. And by that, you mean this statement
20	of Evidence 402, 403. I'm going to give the	20	of principles that is Progressive's Exhibit 2012?
21	rule number as we go forward, but I'm	21	A. Well, there are several statements of
22	referring to the Federal Rules of Evidence.	22	principles attached to the standards of practice.
23	A. I'm not sure what you meant by	23	This is one of them. So I included everything.
24	"obscure." We don't at least I as a	24	Q. Okay. Let me now hand you another
25	professional don't sit and look through all of	25	exhibit, Liberty Mutual Exhibit 1022 in case
	_		
	Page 8	3	Page 9
-	Page 8		Page 9
1	M. O'NEIL	1	M. O'NEIL
2	M. O'NEIL CBM2012-2.	1 2	M. O'NEIL A. This paragraph mentions something I
2 3	M. O'NEIL CBM2012-2. (Liberty Mutual Exhibit 1022, having	1 2 3	M. O'NEIL A. This paragraph mentions something I did as a consultant to the New Jersey Market
2 3 4	M. O'NEIL CBM2012-2. (Liberty Mutual Exhibit 1022, having been marked for identification, is attached	1 2 3 4	M. O'NEIL A. This paragraph mentions something I did as a consultant to the New Jersey Market Transition Facility.
2 3 4 5	M. O'NEIL CBM2012-2. (Liberty Mutual Exhibit 1022, having been marked for identification, is attached hereto.)	1 2 3 4 5	M. O'NEIL A. This paragraph mentions something I did as a consultant to the New Jersey Market Transition Facility. Q. And what you did was help to develop
2 3 4 5 6	M. O'NEIL CBM2012-2. (Liberty Mutual Exhibit 1022, having been marked for identification, is attached hereto.) Q. Can you identify that document,	1 2 3 4 5 6	M. O'NEIL A. This paragraph mentions something I did as a consultant to the New Jersey Market Transition Facility. Q. And what you did was help to develop an actuarial class system using driving record
2 3 4 5 6 7	M. O'NEIL CBM2012-2. (Liberty Mutual Exhibit 1022, having been marked for identification, is attached hereto.) Q. Can you identify that document, Ms. O'Neil?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	M. O'NEIL A. This paragraph mentions something I did as a consultant to the New Jersey Market Transition Facility. Q. And what you did was help to develop an actuarial class system using driving record points; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	M. O'NEIL CBM2012-2. (Liberty Mutual Exhibit 1022, having been marked for identification, is attached hereto.) Q. Can you identify that document, Ms. O'Neil? A. Do you wish for me to read the entire	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	M. O'NEIL A. This paragraph mentions something I did as a consultant to the New Jersey Market Transition Facility. Q. And what you did was help to develop an actuarial class system using driving record points; is that right? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	M. O'NEIL CBM2012-2. (Liberty Mutual Exhibit 1022, having been marked for identification, is attached hereto.) Q. Can you identify that document, Ms. O'Neil? A. Do you wish for me to read the entire description on the cover?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	M. O'NEIL A. This paragraph mentions something I did as a consultant to the New Jersey Market Transition Facility. Q. And what you did was help to develop an actuarial class system using driving record points; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And when you did that, was your work
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	M. O'NEIL CBM2012-2. (Liberty Mutual Exhibit 1022, having been marked for identification, is attached hereto.) Q. Can you identify that document, Ms. O'Neil? A. Do you wish for me to read the entire description on the cover? Q. If that's how you would like to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	M. O'NEIL A. This paragraph mentions something I did as a consultant to the New Jersey Market Transition Facility. Q. And what you did was help to develop an actuarial class system using driving record points; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And when you did that, was your work consistent with the risk classification statement
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	M. O'NEIL CBM2012-2. (Liberty Mutual Exhibit 1022, having been marked for identification, is attached hereto.) Q. Can you identify that document, Ms. O'Neil? A. Do you wish for me to read the entire description on the cover? Q. If that's how you would like to identify it.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	M. O'NEIL A. This paragraph mentions something I did as a consultant to the New Jersey Market Transition Facility. Q. And what you did was help to develop an actuarial class system using driving record points; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And when you did that, was your work consistent with the risk classification statement of principles that's Progressive's Exhibit 2012?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	M. O'NEIL CBM2012-2. (Liberty Mutual Exhibit 1022, having been marked for identification, is attached hereto.) Q. Can you identify that document, Ms. O'Neil? A. Do you wish for me to read the entire description on the cover? Q. If that's how you would like to identify it. Let me ask a different question. Is	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	M. O'NEIL A. This paragraph mentions something I did as a consultant to the New Jersey Market Transition Facility. Q. And what you did was help to develop an actuarial class system using driving record points; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And when you did that, was your work consistent with the risk classification statement of principles that's Progressive's Exhibit 2012? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	M. O'NEIL CBM2012-2. (Liberty Mutual Exhibit 1022, having been marked for identification, is attached hereto.) Q. Can you identify that document, Ms. O'Neil? A. Do you wish for me to read the entire description on the cover? Q. If that's how you would like to identify it. Let me ask a different question. Is this your rebuttal declaration in the	1 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	M. O'NEIL A. This paragraph mentions something I did as a consultant to the New Jersey Market Transition Facility. Q. And what you did was help to develop an actuarial class system using driving record points; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And when you did that, was your work consistent with the risk classification statement of principles that's Progressive's Exhibit 2012? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403. A. Yes. As I said, my work has always
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	M. O'NEIL CBM2012-2. (Liberty Mutual Exhibit 1022, having been marked for identification, is attached hereto.) Q. Can you identify that document, Ms. O'Neil? A. Do you wish for me to read the entire description on the cover? Q. If that's how you would like to identify it. Let me ask a different question. Is this your rebuttal declaration in the CBM2012-2 case?	1 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 4	M. O'NEIL A. This paragraph mentions something I did as a consultant to the New Jersey Market Transition Facility. Q. And what you did was help to develop an actuarial class system using driving record points; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And when you did that, was your work consistent with the risk classification statement of principles that's Progressive's Exhibit 2012? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403. A. Yes. As I said, my work has always been consistent with the statement of principles.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	M. O'NEIL CBM2012-2. (Liberty Mutual Exhibit 1022, having been marked for identification, is attached hereto.) Q. Can you identify that document, Ms. O'Neil? A. Do you wish for me to read the entire description on the cover? Q. If that's how you would like to identify it. Let me ask a different question. Is this your rebuttal declaration in the CBM2012-2 case? A. That is correct. That is what the	1 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 2 3 4 5 12 13 4 5	M. O'NEIL A. This paragraph mentions something I did as a consultant to the New Jersey Market Transition Facility. Q. And what you did was help to develop an actuarial class system using driving record points; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And when you did that, was your work consistent with the risk classification statement of principles that's Progressive's Exhibit 2012? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403. A. Yes. As I said, my work has always been consistent with the statement of principles. Q. So in your experience, you have always
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	M. O'NEIL CBM2012-2. (Liberty Mutual Exhibit 1022, having been marked for identification, is attached hereto.) Q. Can you identify that document, Ms. O'Neil? A. Do you wish for me to read the entire description on the cover? Q. If that's how you would like to identify it. Let me ask a different question. Is this your rebuttal declaration in the CBM2012-2 case? A. That is correct. That is what the identifying caption says.	1 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 112 3 4 5 6 112 3 4 5 6	M. O'NEIL A. This paragraph mentions something I did as a consultant to the New Jersey Market Transition Facility. Q. And what you did was help to develop an actuarial class system using driving record points; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And when you did that, was your work consistent with the risk classification statement of principles that's Progressive's Exhibit 2012? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403. A. Yes. As I said, my work has always been consistent with the statement of principles. Q. So in your experience, you have always adhered to this statement of principles whenever
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	M. O'NEIL CBM2012-2. (Liberty Mutual Exhibit 1022, having been marked for identification, is attached hereto.) Q. Can you identify that document, Ms. O'Neil? A. Do you wish for me to read the entire description on the cover? Q. If that's how you would like to identify it. Let me ask a different question. Is this your rebuttal declaration in the CBM2012-2 case? A. That is correct. That is what the identifying caption says. Q. And you recognize it as such, correct?	1 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 12 3 4 5 7 8 9 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 12 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 12 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 12 3 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	M. O'NEIL A. This paragraph mentions something I did as a consultant to the New Jersey Market Transition Facility. Q. And what you did was help to develop an actuarial class system using driving record points; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And when you did that, was your work consistent with the risk classification statement of principles that's Progressive's Exhibit 2012? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403. A. Yes. As I said, my work has always been consistent with the statement of principles. Q. So in your experience, you have always
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	M. O'NEIL CBM2012-2. (Liberty Mutual Exhibit 1022, having been marked for identification, is attached hereto.) Q. Can you identify that document, Ms. O'Neil? A. Do you wish for me to read the entire description on the cover? Q. If that's how you would like to identify it. Let me ask a different question. Is this your rebuttal declaration in the CBM2012-2 case? A. That is correct. That is what the identifying caption says.	1 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 12 3 12 3 12 3 12 3 12 5 10 12 3 12 3 12 3 12 3 12 3 12 3 12 3 1	M. O'NEIL A. This paragraph mentions something I did as a consultant to the New Jersey Market Transition Facility. Q. And what you did was help to develop an actuarial class system using driving record points; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And when you did that, was your work consistent with the risk classification statement of principles that's Progressive's Exhibit 2012? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403. A. Yes. As I said, my work has always been consistent with the statement of principles. Q. So in your experience, you have always adhered to this statement of principles whenever
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	M. O'NEIL CBM2012-2. (Liberty Mutual Exhibit 1022, having been marked for identification, is attached hereto.) Q. Can you identify that document, Ms. O'Neil? A. Do you wish for me to read the entire description on the cover? Q. If that's how you would like to identify it. Let me ask a different question. Is this your rebuttal declaration in the CBM2012-2 case? A. That is correct. That is what the identifying caption says. Q. And you recognize it as such, correct?	1 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 12 3 4 5 7 8 9 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 12 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 12 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 12 3 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	M. O'NEIL A. This paragraph mentions something I did as a consultant to the New Jersey Market Transition Facility. Q. And what you did was help to develop an actuarial class system using driving record points; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And when you did that, was your work consistent with the risk classification statement of principles that's Progressive's Exhibit 2012? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403. A. Yes. As I said, my work has always been consistent with the statement of principles. Q. So in your experience, you have always adhered to this statement of principles whenever you have provided your professional services as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	M. O'NEIL CBM2012-2. (Liberty Mutual Exhibit 1022, having been marked for identification, is attached hereto.) Q. Can you identify that document, Ms. O'Neil? A. Do you wish for me to read the entire description on the cover? Q. If that's how you would like to identify it. Let me ask a different question. Is this your rebuttal declaration in the CBM2012-2 case? A. That is correct. That is what the identifying caption says. Q. And you recognize it as such, correct? A. Yes.	1 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 12 3 12 5 12 3 12 5 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	M. O'NEIL A. This paragraph mentions something I did as a consultant to the New Jersey Market Transition Facility. Q. And what you did was help to develop an actuarial class system using driving record points; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And when you did that, was your work consistent with the risk classification statement of principles that's Progressive's Exhibit 2012? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403. A. Yes. As I said, my work has always been consistent with the statement of principles. Q. So in your experience, you have always adhered to this statement of principles whenever you have provided your professional services as an actuary?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 12 12 14 15 16 17 18 12 12 14 15 16 17 18 12 12 14 15 16 17 18 12 12 14 15 16 17 18 19 12 12 12 12 12 14 15 16 17 18 19	M. O'NEIL CBM2012-2. (Liberty Mutual Exhibit 1022, having been marked for identification, is attached hereto.) Q. Can you identify that document, Ms. O'Neil? A. Do you wish for me to read the entire description on the cover? Q. If that's how you would like to identify it. Let me ask a different question. Is this your rebuttal declaration in the CBM2012-2 case? A. That is correct. That is what the identifying caption says. Q. And you recognize it as such, correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now, I would ask you to turn to	1 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 12 3 4 5 12 3 4 5 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	M. O'NEIL A. This paragraph mentions something I did as a consultant to the New Jersey Market Transition Facility. Q. And what you did was help to develop an actuarial class system using driving record points; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And when you did that, was your work consistent with the risk classification statement of principles that's Progressive's Exhibit 2012? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403. A. Yes. As I said, my work has always been consistent with the statement of principles. Q. So in your experience, you have always adhered to this statement of principles whenever you have provided your professional services as an actuary? MR. MYERS: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	M. O'NEIL CBM2012-2. (Liberty Mutual Exhibit 1022, having been marked for identification, is attached hereto.) Q. Can you identify that document, Ms. O'Neil? A. Do you wish for me to read the entire description on the cover? Q. If that's how you would like to identify it. Let me ask a different question. Is this your rebuttal declaration in the CBM2012-2 case? A. That is correct. That is what the identifying caption says. Q. And you recognize it as such, correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now, I would ask you to turn to Paragraph 46. Are you there? A. Yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 0 8 9 0 1 2 0 1 2 1 8 9 0 1 2 0 1 1 8 9 0 1 2 0 1 1 8 9 0 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	 M. O'NEIL A. This paragraph mentions something I did as a consultant to the New Jersey Market Transition Facility. Q. And what you did was help to develop an actuarial class system using driving record points; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And when you did that, was your work consistent with the risk classification statement of principles that's Progressive's Exhibit 2012? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403. A. Yes. As I said, my work has always been consistent with the statement of principles. Q. So in your experience, you have always adhered to this statement of principles whenever you have provided your professional services as an actuary? MR. MYERS: Objection. Q. Is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 2	M. O'NEIL CBM2012-2. (Liberty Mutual Exhibit 1022, having been marked for identification, is attached hereto.) Q. Can you identify that document, Ms. O'Neil? A. Do you wish for me to read the entire description on the cover? Q. If that's how you would like to identify it. Let me ask a different question. Is this your rebuttal declaration in the CBM2012-2 case? A. That is correct. That is what the identifying caption says. Q. And you recognize it as such, correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now, I would ask you to turn to Paragraph 46. Are you there? A. Yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 1 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 1 8 9 0 2 1 8 9 0 2 1 8 9 0 2 1 8 9 0 2 1 8 9 0 2 1 8 9 0 2 1 8 9 0 2 1 8 9 0 2 1 8 9 0 1 2 1 8 9 0 1 2 1 8 9 0 2 1 8 1 8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	M. O'NEIL A. This paragraph mentions something I did as a consultant to the New Jersey Market Transition Facility. Q. And what you did was help to develop an actuarial class system using driving record points; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And when you did that, was your work consistent with the risk classification statement of principles that's Progressive's Exhibit 2012? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403. A. Yes. As I said, my work has always been consistent with the statement of principles. Q. So in your experience, you have always adhered to this statement of principles whenever you have provided your professional services as an actuary? MR. MYERS: Objection. Q. Is that right? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403. A. I wouldn't use that terminology, that
$ \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ \end{array} $	M. O'NEIL CBM2012-2. (Liberty Mutual Exhibit 1022, having been marked for identification, is attached hereto.) Q. Can you identify that document, Ms. O'Neil? A. Do you wish for me to read the entire description on the cover? Q. If that's how you would like to identify it. Let me ask a different question. Is this your rebuttal declaration in the CBM2012-2 case? A. That is correct. That is what the identifying caption says. Q. And you recognize it as such, correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now, I would ask you to turn to Paragraph 46. Are you there? A. Yes. Q. Okay. In this paragraph you're providing testimony about helping to develop an	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	 M. O'NEIL A. This paragraph mentions something I did as a consultant to the New Jersey Market Transition Facility. Q. And what you did was help to develop an actuarial class system using driving record points; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And when you did that, was your work consistent with the risk classification statement of principles that's Progressive's Exhibit 2012? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403. A. Yes. As I said, my work has always been consistent with the statement of principles. Q. So in your experience, you have always adhered to this statement of principles whenever you have provided your professional services as an actuary? MR. MYERS: Objection. Q. Is that right? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403. A. I wouldn't use that terminology, that terminology of "adhered." As I've explained
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23 2	M. O'NEIL CBM2012-2. (Liberty Mutual Exhibit 1022, having been marked for identification, is attached hereto.) Q. Can you identify that document, Ms. O'Neil? A. Do you wish for me to read the entire description on the cover? Q. If that's how you would like to identify it. Let me ask a different question. Is this your rebuttal declaration in the CBM2012-2 case? A. That is correct. That is what the identifying caption says. Q. And you recognize it as such, correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now, I would ask you to turn to Paragraph 46. Are you there? A. Yes. Q. Okay. In this paragraph you're	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3	M. O'NEIL A. This paragraph mentions something I did as a consultant to the New Jersey Market Transition Facility. Q. And what you did was help to develop an actuarial class system using driving record points; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And when you did that, was your work consistent with the risk classification statement of principles that's Progressive's Exhibit 2012? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403. A. Yes. As I said, my work has always been consistent with the statement of principles. Q. So in your experience, you have always adhered to this statement of principles whenever you have provided your professional services as an actuary? MR. MYERS: Objection. Q. Is that right? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403. A. I wouldn't use that terminology, that

3 (Pages 6 to 9)

	Page 14	:	Page 15
1	M. O'NEIL	1	M. O'NEIL
2	driving record points were the supposed bad	2	Q. That was an extremely long answer
3	drivers. And they are the ones, then, that	3	A. I'm sorry.
4	should pay.	4	Q to a different question than the
5	So this plan was devised by gathering	5	one I asked.
6	data from DMV. It was actually Department of	6	MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403.
7	Motor Vehicle data. And what they were able to	7	If you want to ask questions, that's
8	provide were counts, basically, frequency counts	8	great. If you want to comment about the
9	by driving record points. Well, then, there	9	testimony
10	Those data were now analyzed for revenue	10	MR. WAMSLEY: I do
11	generation; break points, where would you break	11	MR. MYERS: then we're going to
12	this, would you have groupings of zero to one	12	have problems.
13	point, two to three, so on. Where would you	13	MR. WAMSLEY: I do want to have
14	break it, what kind of charges would you have at	14	MR. MYERS: Then we're going to have
15	each subdivision.	15	problems.
16	And then there was the consideration	16	MR. WAMSLEY: I do want to have a
17	of possibly point forgiveness for those that	17	conversation. I'll have it with you,
18	maybe had one ticket or two points.	18	Mr. Myers. We have limited time. We have
19	So a lot of different scenarios were	19	five proceedings. And we I don't intend
20	reviewed and considered, and in the end the only	20	to have a filibuster session.
21	data that were available were indeed just those	21	When I ask a question that can be
22	distributions by number of points and then	22	answered yes or no or in a few words, I'd
23	assignment of dollar values to determine the	23	appreciate that kind of answer. If there's
24	revenue that might be generated from the system	24	a need for qualification, I certainly
25	to provide it to the residual market system.	25	understand. That's permissible and that's
	Deres 10		
	Page 16		Page 17
1	M. O'NEIL	1	M. O'NEIL
2	M. O'NEIL appropriate. But an answer that goes on for	1 2	M. O'NEIL Now, I did not have expected loss costs available
2 3	M. O'NEIL appropriate. But an answer that goes on for several pages that is not responsive to the	1 2 3	M. O'NEIL Now, I did not have expected loss costs available to me in utilizing the data that I was using.
2 3 4	M. O'NEIL appropriate. But an answer that goes on for several pages that is not responsive to the question is going to waste our time here and	1 2 3 4	M. O'NEIL Now, I did not have expected loss costs available to me in utilizing the data that I was using. Nevertheless, based on my experience in the
2 3 4 5	M. O'NEIL appropriate. But an answer that goes on for several pages that is not responsive to the question is going to waste our time here and we're going to end up having to bring	1 2 3 4 5	M. O'NEIL Now, I did not have expected loss costs available to me in utilizing the data that I was using. Nevertheless, based on my experience in the field, I believe that expected loss costs were
2 3 4 5 6	M. O'NEIL appropriate. But an answer that goes on for several pages that is not responsive to the question is going to waste our time here and we're going to end up having to bring Ms. O'Neil back, and I don't think any of us	1 2 3 4 5 6	M. O'NEIL Now, I did not have expected loss costs available to me in utilizing the data that I was using. Nevertheless, based on my experience in the field, I believe that expected loss costs were appropriately identified by the groupings that
2 3 4 5 6 7	M. O'NEIL appropriate. But an answer that goes on for several pages that is not responsive to the question is going to waste our time here and we're going to end up having to bring Ms. O'Neil back, and I don't think any of us wants to do that.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	M. O'NEIL Now, I did not have expected loss costs available to me in utilizing the data that I was using. Nevertheless, based on my experience in the field, I believe that expected loss costs were appropriately identified by the groupings that were set up.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	M. O'NEIL appropriate. But an answer that goes on for several pages that is not responsive to the question is going to waste our time here and we're going to end up having to bring Ms. O'Neil back, and I don't think any of us wants to do that. MR. MYERS: I disagree with you. The	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	M. O'NEIL Now, I did not have expected loss costs available to me in utilizing the data that I was using. Nevertheless, based on my experience in the field, I believe that expected loss costs were appropriately identified by the groupings that were set up. Q. This statement of principles is one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	M. O'NEIL appropriate. But an answer that goes on for several pages that is not responsive to the question is going to waste our time here and we're going to end up having to bring Ms. O'Neil back, and I don't think any of us wants to do that. MR. MYERS: I disagree with you. The record will speak for itself.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	M. O'NEIL Now, I did not have expected loss costs available to me in utilizing the data that I was using. Nevertheless, based on my experience in the field, I believe that expected loss costs were appropriately identified by the groupings that were set up. Q. This statement of principles is one of one source of generally accepted actuarial
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	M. O'NEIL appropriate. But an answer that goes on for several pages that is not responsive to the question is going to waste our time here and we're going to end up having to bring Ms. O'Neil back, and I don't think any of us wants to do that. MR. MYERS: I disagree with you. The record will speak for itself. MR. WAMSLEY: Can we go back to the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	M. O'NEIL Now, I did not have expected loss costs available to me in utilizing the data that I was using. Nevertheless, based on my experience in the field, I believe that expected loss costs were appropriately identified by the groupings that were set up. Q. This statement of principles is one of one source of generally accepted actuarial principles and practices; am I right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	M. O'NEIL appropriate. But an answer that goes on for several pages that is not responsive to the question is going to waste our time here and we're going to end up having to bring Ms. O'Neil back, and I don't think any of us wants to do that. MR. MYERS: I disagree with you. The record will speak for itself. MR. WAMSLEY: Can we go back to the question and read it to her?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	M. O'NEIL Now, I did not have expected loss costs available to me in utilizing the data that I was using. Nevertheless, based on my experience in the field, I believe that expected loss costs were appropriately identified by the groupings that were set up. Q. This statement of principles is one of one source of generally accepted actuarial principles and practices; am I right? A. That is correct. Like I I believe
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	M. O'NEIL appropriate. But an answer that goes on for several pages that is not responsive to the question is going to waste our time here and we're going to end up having to bring Ms. O'Neil back, and I don't think any of us wants to do that. MR. MYERS: I disagree with you. The record will speak for itself. MR. WAMSLEY: Can we go back to the question and read it to her? (Whereupon, the requested portion was	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	M. O'NEIL Now, I did not have expected loss costs available to me in utilizing the data that I was using. Nevertheless, based on my experience in the field, I believe that expected loss costs were appropriately identified by the groupings that were set up. Q. This statement of principles is one of one source of generally accepted actuarial principles and practices; am I right? A. That is correct. Like I I believe I said earlier, you would look at the literature
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	M. O'NEIL appropriate. But an answer that goes on for several pages that is not responsive to the question is going to waste our time here and we're going to end up having to bring Ms. O'Neil back, and I don't think any of us wants to do that. MR. MYERS: I disagree with you. The record will speak for itself. MR. WAMSLEY: Can we go back to the question and read it to her? (Whereupon, the requested portion was read back by the Reporter.)	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	M. O'NEIL Now, I did not have expected loss costs available to me in utilizing the data that I was using. Nevertheless, based on my experience in the field, I believe that expected loss costs were appropriately identified by the groupings that were set up. Q. This statement of principles is one of one source of generally accepted actuarial principles and practices; am I right? A. That is correct. Like I I believe I said earlier, you would look at the literature as well and other standards of practice and so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	M. O'NEIL appropriate. But an answer that goes on for several pages that is not responsive to the question is going to waste our time here and we're going to end up having to bring Ms. O'Neil back, and I don't think any of us wants to do that. MR. MYERS: I disagree with you. The record will speak for itself. MR. WAMSLEY: Can we go back to the question and read it to her? (Whereupon, the requested portion was read back by the Reporter.) MR. MYERS: Objection. Rule 402, 403.	1 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	M. O'NEIL Now, I did not have expected loss costs available to me in utilizing the data that I was using. Nevertheless, based on my experience in the field, I believe that expected loss costs were appropriately identified by the groupings that were set up. Q. This statement of principles is one of one source of generally accepted actuarial principles and practices; am I right? A. That is correct. Like I I believe I said earlier, you would look at the literature as well and other standards of practice and so on.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	M. O'NEIL appropriate. But an answer that goes on for several pages that is not responsive to the question is going to waste our time here and we're going to end up having to bring Ms. O'Neil back, and I don't think any of us wants to do that. MR. MYERS: I disagree with you. The record will speak for itself. MR. WAMSLEY: Can we go back to the question and read it to her? (Whereupon, the requested portion was read back by the Reporter.) MR. MYERS: Objection. Rule 402, 403. Q. Can you answer that question yes or	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 14 15	M. O'NEIL Now, I did not have expected loss costs available to me in utilizing the data that I was using. Nevertheless, based on my experience in the field, I believe that expected loss costs were appropriately identified by the groupings that were set up. Q. This statement of principles is one of one source of generally accepted actuarial principles and practices; am I right? A. That is correct. Like I I believe I said earlier, you would look at the literature as well and other standards of practice and so on. Q. And there are other as you say,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	M. O'NEIL appropriate. But an answer that goes on for several pages that is not responsive to the question is going to waste our time here and we're going to end up having to bring Ms. O'Neil back, and I don't think any of us wants to do that. MR. MYERS: I disagree with you. The record will speak for itself. MR. WAMSLEY: Can we go back to the question and read it to her? (Whereupon, the requested portion was read back by the Reporter.) MR. MYERS: Objection. Rule 402, 403. Q. Can you answer that question yes or no, Ms. O'Neil?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 11 12 13 14 15 15 15 15 15 12 15 1	 M. O'NEIL Now, I did not have expected loss costs available to me in utilizing the data that I was using. Nevertheless, based on my experience in the field, I believe that expected loss costs were appropriately identified by the groupings that were set up. Q. This statement of principles is one of one source of generally accepted actuarial principles and practices; am I right? A. That is correct. Like I I believe I said earlier, you would look at the literature as well and other standards of practice and so on. Q. And there are other as you say, there are other sources of generally accepted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	M. O'NEIL appropriate. But an answer that goes on for several pages that is not responsive to the question is going to waste our time here and we're going to end up having to bring Ms. O'Neil back, and I don't think any of us wants to do that. MR. MYERS: I disagree with you. The record will speak for itself. MR. WAMSLEY: Can we go back to the question and read it to her? (Whereupon, the requested portion was read back by the Reporter.) MR. MYERS: Objection. Rule 402, 403. Q. Can you answer that question yes or no, Ms. O'Neil? A. Well, once again, my long answer, as	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 2\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ \end{array} $	M. O'NEIL Now, I did not have expected loss costs available to me in utilizing the data that I was using. Nevertheless, based on my experience in the field, I believe that expected loss costs were appropriately identified by the groupings that were set up. Q. This statement of principles is one of one source of generally accepted actuarial principles and practices; am I right? A. That is correct. Like I I believe I said earlier, you would look at the literature as well and other standards of practice and so on. Q. And there are other as you say, there are other sources of generally accepted actuarial principles and practices besides this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	M. O'NEIL appropriate. But an answer that goes on for several pages that is not responsive to the question is going to waste our time here and we're going to end up having to bring Ms. O'Neil back, and I don't think any of us wants to do that. MR. MYERS: I disagree with you. The record will speak for itself. MR. WAMSLEY: Can we go back to the question and read it to her? (Whereupon, the requested portion was read back by the Reporter.) MR. MYERS: Objection. Rule 402, 403. Q. Can you answer that question yes or no, Ms. O'Neil? A. Well, once again, my long answer, as you said, was a description of the data and how	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\1\\1\\2\\1\\4\\1\\5\\16\\1\\7\\1\\8\end{array} $	M. O'NEIL Now, I did not have expected loss costs available to me in utilizing the data that I was using. Nevertheless, based on my experience in the field, I believe that expected loss costs were appropriately identified by the groupings that were set up. Q. This statement of principles is one of one source of generally accepted actuarial principles and practices; am I right? A. That is correct. Like I I believe I said earlier, you would look at the literature as well and other standards of practice and so on. Q. And there are other as you say, there are other sources of generally accepted actuarial principles and practices besides this statement of principles?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	M. O'NEIL appropriate. But an answer that goes on for several pages that is not responsive to the question is going to waste our time here and we're going to end up having to bring Ms. O'Neil back, and I don't think any of us wants to do that. MR. MYERS: I disagree with you. The record will speak for itself. MR. WAMSLEY: Can we go back to the question and read it to her? (Whereupon, the requested portion was read back by the Reporter.) MR. MYERS: Objection. Rule 402, 403. Q. Can you answer that question yes or no, Ms. O'Neil? A. Well, once again, my long answer, as you said, was a description of the data and how it was used and why those were the data that were	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\0\\1\\1\\2\\3\\1\\4\\1\\5\\1\\7\\8\\9\\1\\1\\2\\1\\8\\1\\9\end{array} $	 M. O'NEIL Now, I did not have expected loss costs available to me in utilizing the data that I was using. Nevertheless, based on my experience in the field, I believe that expected loss costs were appropriately identified by the groupings that were set up. Q. This statement of principles is one of one source of generally accepted actuarial principles and practices; am I right? A. That is correct. Like I I believe I said earlier, you would look at the literature as well and other standards of practice and so on. Q. And there are other as you say, there are other sources of generally accepted actuarial principles and practices? A. Definitely. This is a very small
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 M. O'NEIL appropriate. But an answer that goes on for several pages that is not responsive to the question is going to waste our time here and we're going to end up having to bring Ms. O'Neil back, and I don't think any of us wants to do that. MR. MYERS: I disagree with you. The record will speak for itself. MR. WAMSLEY: Can we go back to the question and read it to her? (Whereupon, the requested portion was read back by the Reporter.) MR. MYERS: Objection. Rule 402, 403. Q. Can you answer that question yes or no, Ms. O'Neil? A. Well, once again, my long answer, as you said, was a description of the data and how it was used and why those were the data that were used. 	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\0\\11\\2\\3\\14\\15\\16\\1\\8\\9\\20\end{array} $	 M. O'NEIL Now, I did not have expected loss costs available to me in utilizing the data that I was using. Nevertheless, based on my experience in the field, I believe that expected loss costs were appropriately identified by the groupings that were set up. Q. This statement of principles is one of one source of generally accepted actuarial principles and practices; am I right? A. That is correct. Like I I believe I said earlier, you would look at the literature as well and other standards of practice and so on. Q. And there are other as you say, there are other sources of generally accepted actuarial principles and practices besides this statement of principles? A. Definitely. This is a very small piece of the entire body of information.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	M. O'NEIL appropriate. But an answer that goes on for several pages that is not responsive to the question is going to waste our time here and we're going to end up having to bring Ms. O'Neil back, and I don't think any of us wants to do that. MR. MYERS: I disagree with you. The record will speak for itself. MR. WAMSLEY: Can we go back to the question and read it to her? (Whereupon, the requested portion was read back by the Reporter.) MR. MYERS: Objection. Rule 402, 403. Q. Can you answer that question yes or no, Ms. O'Neil? A. Well, once again, my long answer, as you said, was a description of the data and how it was used and why those were the data that were used. Were those data homogeneous? I would	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\0\\1\\1\\2\\3\\1\\4\\1\\5\\1\\7\\8\\9\\1\\1\\2\\1\\8\\1\\9\end{array} $	 M. O'NEIL Now, I did not have expected loss costs available to me in utilizing the data that I was using. Nevertheless, based on my experience in the field, I believe that expected loss costs were appropriately identified by the groupings that were set up. Q. This statement of principles is one of one source of generally accepted actuarial principles and practices; am I right? A. That is correct. Like I I believe I said earlier, you would look at the literature as well and other standards of practice and so on. Q. And there are other as you say, there are other sources of generally accepted actuarial principles and practices besides this statement of principles? A. Definitely. This is a very small piece of the entire body of information. Q. Are there any other generally accepted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	M. O'NEIL appropriate. But an answer that goes on for several pages that is not responsive to the question is going to waste our time here and we're going to end up having to bring Ms. O'Neil back, and I don't think any of us wants to do that. MR. MYERS: I disagree with you. The record will speak for itself. MR. WAMSLEY: Can we go back to the question and read it to her? (Whereupon, the requested portion was read back by the Reporter.) MR. MYERS: Objection. Rule 402, 403. Q. Can you answer that question yes or no, Ms. O'Neil? A. Well, once again, my long answer, as you said, was a description of the data and how it was used and why those were the data that were used. Were those data homogeneous? I would answer yes. I mean, let's look at the definition	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\1\\1\\2\\1\\3\\1\\4\\1\\5\\6\\1\\7\\8\\9\\2\\1\\2\\0\\2\\1\end{array} $	 M. O'NEIL Now, I did not have expected loss costs available to me in utilizing the data that I was using. Nevertheless, based on my experience in the field, I believe that expected loss costs were appropriately identified by the groupings that were set up. Q. This statement of principles is one of one source of generally accepted actuarial principles and practices; am I right? A. That is correct. Like I I believe I said earlier, you would look at the literature as well and other standards of practice and so on. Q. And there are other as you say, there are other sources of generally accepted actuarial principles and practices besides this statement of principles? A. Definitely. This is a very small piece of the entire body of information.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	M. O'NEIL appropriate. But an answer that goes on for several pages that is not responsive to the question is going to waste our time here and we're going to end up having to bring Ms. O'Neil back, and I don't think any of us wants to do that. MR. MYERS: I disagree with you. The record will speak for itself. MR. WAMSLEY: Can we go back to the question and read it to her? (Whereupon, the requested portion was read back by the Reporter.) MR. MYERS: Objection. Rule 402, 403. Q. Can you answer that question yes or no, Ms. O'Neil? A. Well, once again, my long answer, as you said, was a description of the data and how it was used and why those were the data that were used. Were those data homogeneous? I would	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\0\\1\\1\\2\\1\\4\\1\\6\\7\\8\\9\\0\\1\\2\\2\\2\\2\\2\\2\\2\\2\\2\\2\\2\\2\\2\\2\\2\\2\\2\\2$	 M. O'NEIL Now, I did not have expected loss costs available to me in utilizing the data that I was using. Nevertheless, based on my experience in the field, I believe that expected loss costs were appropriately identified by the groupings that were set up. Q. This statement of principles is one of one source of generally accepted actuarial principles and practices; am I right? A. That is correct. Like I I believe I said earlier, you would look at the literature as well and other standards of practice and so on. Q. And there are other as you say, there are other sources of generally accepted actuarial principles and practices besides this statement of principles? A. Definitely. This is a very small piece of the entire body of information. Q. Are there any other generally accepted actuarial principles and practices that are in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23	M. O'NEIL appropriate. But an answer that goes on for several pages that is not responsive to the question is going to waste our time here and we're going to end up having to bring Ms. O'Neil back, and I don't think any of us wants to do that. MR. MYERS: I disagree with you. The record will speak for itself. MR. WAMSLEY: Can we go back to the question and read it to her? (Whereupon, the requested portion was read back by the Reporter.) MR. MYERS: Objection. Rule 402, 403. Q. Can you answer that question yes or no, Ms. O'Neil? A. Well, once again, my long answer, as you said, was a description of the data and how it was used and why those were the data that were used. Were those data homogeneous? I would answer yes. I mean, let's look at the definition provided by the standard. Let's see here.	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\0\\1\\1\\2\\3\\1\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\0\\1\\2\\2\\2\\2\\2\\3\end{array} $	 M. O'NEIL Now, I did not have expected loss costs available to me in utilizing the data that I was using. Nevertheless, based on my experience in the field, I believe that expected loss costs were appropriately identified by the groupings that were set up. Q. This statement of principles is one of one source of generally accepted actuarial principles and practices; am I right? A. That is correct. Like I I believe I said earlier, you would look at the literature as well and other standards of practice and so on. Q. And there are other as you say, there are other sources of generally accepted actuarial principles and practices besides this statement of principles? A. Definitely. This is a very small piece of the entire body of information. Q. Are there any other generally accepted actuarial principles and practices that are in conflict with the ones that are set forth in

5 (Pages 14 to 17)

	Page 18		Page 19
1	M. O'NEIL	1	M. O'NEIL
2	A. I guess I don't understand that	2	the same definition for homogeneity. It doesn't
3	question, because if they're in conflict with it,	3	make it wrong; it makes it different. So I would
4	they can't be part of the generally accepted	4	think that if we did some research, we would find
5	principles and practices. I would think the body	5	other criteria that people have put forward
6	of it is fairly cohesive.	6	related to classifications, not making any one of
7	Q. I would think so too.	7	them more wrong or right, it becomes part of the
8	So all the other sources of generally	8	total body of knowledge of an actuary.
9	accepted actuarial principles and practices, to	9	So I really can't I can't really
10	the extent they relate to this same subject	10	agree to what you're saying, that every document
11	matter as Exhibit 2012, would be consistent with	11	we look at is going to have the same thing in it.
12	it; is that correct?	12	I don't think so.
13	MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403.	13	Q. In fact, hasn't this statement of
14	A. I don't know if I would put it exactly	14	principles in Exhibit 2112 or excuse me, 2012
15	that way. Part of the thing about actuarial	15	been widely accepted by actuaries practicing in
16	science is that it's not an exact science.	16	the field?
17	Everything that has been written in various	17	MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403.
18	papers, including this, has been written by	18	A. I really wouldn't know what "widely
19	people. And it's not like mathematics where two	19	accepted" means. I know that it's part of the
20	times two is four. This is in the best judgment	20	standard of practice 12, which is part of the
21 22	of the people who wrote it at the time.	21	entire set of standards of practice. I'm not
22	The same thing with various papers in	22	sure what the total number is at this time.
23	the literature. I would assume that a	23	Nevertheless, there are other papers and so on
24	statistician or even another actuary may not	24	that have been written related to
25	necessarily, without this in front of them, write	25	classifications, related to statistical analyses
	Page 20		Page 21
1	M. O'NEIL	1	M. O'NEIL
2			
2	of classifications and so on which might expand	2	MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403.
3	upon the concepts as they relate to	3	A. This is the only standard of practice
3 4	upon the concepts as they relate to classification. This is more simplistic than a	3 4	A. This is the only standard of practice that deals with classifications.
3 4 5	upon the concepts as they relate to classification. This is more simplistic than a lot of things one might read on the subject.	3 4 5	A. This is the only standard of practice that deals with classifications.Q. Okay. In your opinion, has this
3 4 5 6	upon the concepts as they relate to classification. This is more simplistic than a lot of things one might read on the subject. Q. Are you aware of any other guidelines	3 4 5 6	A. This is the only standard of practice that deals with classifications.Q. Okay. In your opinion, has this statement of principles been relied upon by
3 4 5 6 7	upon the concepts as they relate to classification. This is more simplistic than a lot of things one might read on the subject. Q. Are you aware of any other guidelines that conflict with the Exhibit 2012 statement of	3 4 5 6 7	A. This is the only standard of practice that deals with classifications.Q. Okay. In your opinion, has this statement of principles been relied upon by actuaries on matters that it's germane to?
3 4 5 6 7 8	upon the concepts as they relate to classification. This is more simplistic than a lot of things one might read on the subject. Q. Are you aware of any other guidelines that conflict with the Exhibit 2012 statement of principles?	3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. This is the only standard of practice that deals with classifications. Q. Okay. In your opinion, has this statement of principles been relied upon by actuaries on matters that it's germane to? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	upon the concepts as they relate to classification. This is more simplistic than a lot of things one might read on the subject. Q. Are you aware of any other guidelines that conflict with the Exhibit 2012 statement of principles? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. This is the only standard of practice that deals with classifications. Q. Okay. In your opinion, has this statement of principles been relied upon by actuaries on matters that it's germane to? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403. A. This sounds like the same question you
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	upon the concepts as they relate to classification. This is more simplistic than a lot of things one might read on the subject. Q. Are you aware of any other guidelines that conflict with the Exhibit 2012 statement of principles? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403. A. These are the only guidelines per se	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. This is the only standard of practice that deals with classifications. Q. Okay. In your opinion, has this statement of principles been relied upon by actuaries on matters that it's germane to? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403. A. This sounds like the same question you asked me before, only instead of "adhered" you've
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	upon the concepts as they relate to classification. This is more simplistic than a lot of things one might read on the subject. Q. Are you aware of any other guidelines that conflict with the Exhibit 2012 statement of principles? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403. A. These are the only guidelines per se in terms of something that's been set forth as	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	 A. This is the only standard of practice that deals with classifications. Q. Okay. In your opinion, has this statement of principles been relied upon by actuaries on matters that it's germane to? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403. A. This sounds like the same question you asked me before, only instead of "adhered" you've now said "relied upon." My answer is the same.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 upon the concepts as they relate to classification. This is more simplistic than a lot of things one might read on the subject. Q. Are you aware of any other guidelines that conflict with the Exhibit 2012 statement of principles? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403. A. These are the only guidelines per se in terms of something that's been set forth as part of the standards of practice. The rest of 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. This is the only standard of practice that deals with classifications. Q. Okay. In your opinion, has this statement of principles been relied upon by actuaries on matters that it's germane to? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403. A. This sounds like the same question you asked me before, only instead of "adhered" you've now said "relied upon." My answer is the same. It's been considered as appropriate along with
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 upon the concepts as they relate to classification. This is more simplistic than a lot of things one might read on the subject. Q. Are you aware of any other guidelines that conflict with the Exhibit 2012 statement of principles? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403. A. These are the only guidelines per se in terms of something that's been set forth as part of the standards of practice. The rest of the body of generally accepted principles and 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. This is the only standard of practice that deals with classifications. Q. Okay. In your opinion, has this statement of principles been relied upon by actuaries on matters that it's germane to? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403. A. This sounds like the same question you asked me before, only instead of "adhered" you've now said "relied upon." My answer is the same. It's been considered as appropriate along with the rest of the body of actuarial literature.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 upon the concepts as they relate to classification. This is more simplistic than a lot of things one might read on the subject. Q. Are you aware of any other guidelines that conflict with the Exhibit 2012 statement of principles? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403. A. These are the only guidelines per se in terms of something that's been set forth as part of the standards of practice. The rest of the body of generally accepted principles and practices would come from professional writings, 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. This is the only standard of practice that deals with classifications. Q. Okay. In your opinion, has this statement of principles been relied upon by actuaries on matters that it's germane to? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403. A. This sounds like the same question you asked me before, only instead of "adhered" you've now said "relied upon." My answer is the same. It's been considered as appropriate along with the rest of the body of actuarial literature. Q. Have you ever publicly criticized any
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 upon the concepts as they relate to classification. This is more simplistic than a lot of things one might read on the subject. Q. Are you aware of any other guidelines that conflict with the Exhibit 2012 statement of principles? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403. A. These are the only guidelines per se in terms of something that's been set forth as part of the standards of practice. The rest of the body of generally accepted principles and practices would come from professional writings, publications, the body of actuarial literature. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. This is the only standard of practice that deals with classifications. Q. Okay. In your opinion, has this statement of principles been relied upon by actuaries on matters that it's germane to? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403. A. This sounds like the same question you asked me before, only instead of "adhered" you've now said "relied upon." My answer is the same. It's been considered as appropriate along with the rest of the body of actuarial literature. Q. Have you ever publicly criticized any of these statement of principles?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 upon the concepts as they relate to classification. This is more simplistic than a lot of things one might read on the subject. Q. Are you aware of any other guidelines that conflict with the Exhibit 2012 statement of principles? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403. A. These are the only guidelines per se in terms of something that's been set forth as part of the standards of practice. The rest of the body of generally accepted principles and practices would come from professional writings, publications, the body of actuarial literature. That's what I'm referring to that would expand 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. This is the only standard of practice that deals with classifications. Q. Okay. In your opinion, has this statement of principles been relied upon by actuaries on matters that it's germane to? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403. A. This sounds like the same question you asked me before, only instead of "adhered" you've now said "relied upon." My answer is the same. It's been considered as appropriate along with the rest of the body of actuarial literature. Q. Have you ever publicly criticized any of these statement of principles? A. I do not recall having done so. I may
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 upon the concepts as they relate to classification. This is more simplistic than a lot of things one might read on the subject. Q. Are you aware of any other guidelines that conflict with the Exhibit 2012 statement of principles? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403. A. These are the only guidelines per se in terms of something that's been set forth as part of the standards of practice. The rest of the body of generally accepted principles and practices would come from professional writings, publications, the body of actuarial literature. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. This is the only standard of practice that deals with classifications. Q. Okay. In your opinion, has this statement of principles been relied upon by actuaries on matters that it's germane to? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403. A. This sounds like the same question you asked me before, only instead of "adhered" you've now said "relied upon." My answer is the same. It's been considered as appropriate along with the rest of the body of actuarial literature. Q. Have you ever publicly criticized any of these statement of principles?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	upon the concepts as they relate to classification. This is more simplistic than a lot of things one might read on the subject. Q. Are you aware of any other guidelines that conflict with the Exhibit 2012 statement of principles? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403. A. These are the only guidelines per se in terms of something that's been set forth as part of the standards of practice. The rest of the body of generally accepted principles and practices would come from professional writings, publications, the body of actuarial literature. That's what I'm referring to that would expand upon this and actually be more technical than	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16 17	 A. This is the only standard of practice that deals with classifications. Q. Okay. In your opinion, has this statement of principles been relied upon by actuaries on matters that it's germane to? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403. A. This sounds like the same question you asked me before, only instead of "adhered" you've now said "relied upon." My answer is the same. It's been considered as appropriate along with the rest of the body of actuarial literature. Q. Have you ever publicly criticized any of these statement of principles? A. I do not recall having done so. I may have objected to someone perhaps stating that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 upon the concepts as they relate to classification. This is more simplistic than a lot of things one might read on the subject. Q. Are you aware of any other guidelines that conflict with the Exhibit 2012 statement of principles? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403. A. These are the only guidelines per se in terms of something that's been set forth as part of the standards of practice. The rest of the body of generally accepted principles and practices would come from professional writings, publications, the body of actuarial literature. That's what I'm referring to that would expand upon this and actually be more technical than this. You would find that in other writings, 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. This is the only standard of practice that deals with classifications. Q. Okay. In your opinion, has this statement of principles been relied upon by actuaries on matters that it's germane to? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403. A. This sounds like the same question you asked me before, only instead of "adhered" you've now said "relied upon." My answer is the same. It's been considered as appropriate along with the rest of the body of actuarial literature. Q. Have you ever publicly criticized any of these statement of principles? A. I do not recall having done so. I may have objected to someone perhaps stating that something should be adhered to or something is
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	upon the concepts as they relate to classification. This is more simplistic than a lot of things one might read on the subject. Q. Are you aware of any other guidelines that conflict with the Exhibit 2012 statement of principles? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403. A. These are the only guidelines per se in terms of something that's been set forth as part of the standards of practice. The rest of the body of generally accepted principles and practices would come from professional writings, publications, the body of actuarial literature. That's what I'm referring to that would expand upon this and actually be more technical than this. You would find that in other writings, which would become part of generally accepted	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21	 A. This is the only standard of practice that deals with classifications. Q. Okay. In your opinion, has this statement of principles been relied upon by actuaries on matters that it's germane to? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403. A. This sounds like the same question you asked me before, only instead of "adhered" you've now said "relied upon." My answer is the same. It's been considered as appropriate along with the rest of the body of actuarial literature. Q. Have you ever publicly criticized any of these statement of principles? A. I do not recall having done so. I may have objected to someone perhaps stating that something should be adhered to or something is set in stone type of rule. But I don't recall necessarily criticizing. I don't recall doing that. Anything is possible, I suppose.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22	 upon the concepts as they relate to classification. This is more simplistic than a lot of things one might read on the subject. Q. Are you aware of any other guidelines that conflict with the Exhibit 2012 statement of principles? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403. A. These are the only guidelines per se in terms of something that's been set forth as part of the standards of practice. The rest of the body of generally accepted principles and practices would come from professional writings, publications, the body of actuarial literature. That's what I'm referring to that would expand upon this and actually be more technical than this. You would find that in other writings, which would become part of generally accepted actuarial principles and practices as opposed to 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22	 A. This is the only standard of practice that deals with classifications. Q. Okay. In your opinion, has this statement of principles been relied upon by actuaries on matters that it's germane to? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403. A. This sounds like the same question you asked me before, only instead of "adhered" you've now said "relied upon." My answer is the same. It's been considered as appropriate along with the rest of the body of actuarial literature. Q. Have you ever publicly criticized any of these statement of principles? A. I do not recall having done so. I may have objected to someone perhaps stating that something should be adhered to or something is set in stone type of rule. But I don't recall necessarily criticizing. I don't recall doing that. Anything is possible, I suppose. Q. Let me refer you to Paragraph 7 of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23	 upon the concepts as they relate to classification. This is more simplistic than a lot of things one might read on the subject. Q. Are you aware of any other guidelines that conflict with the Exhibit 2012 statement of principles? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403. A. These are the only guidelines per se in terms of something that's been set forth as part of the standards of practice. The rest of the body of generally accepted principles and practices would come from professional writings, publications, the body of actuarial literature. That's what I'm referring to that would expand upon this and actually be more technical than this. You would find that in other writings, which would become part of generally accepted actuarial principles and practices as opposed to something that is actually part of the standards of practice. Q. Are there other standards of practice 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 23 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 23	 A. This is the only standard of practice that deals with classifications. Q. Okay. In your opinion, has this statement of principles been relied upon by actuaries on matters that it's germane to? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403. A. This sounds like the same question you asked me before, only instead of "adhered" you've now said "relied upon." My answer is the same. It's been considered as appropriate along with the rest of the body of actuarial literature. Q. Have you ever publicly criticized any of these statement of principles? A. I do not recall having done so. I may have objected to someone perhaps stating that something should be adhered to or something is set in stone type of rule. But I don't recall necessarily criticizing. I don't recall doing that. Anything is possible, I suppose. Q. Let me refer you to Paragraph 7 of your rebuttal declaration, Exhibit 1022. Feel
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 upon the concepts as they relate to classification. This is more simplistic than a lot of things one might read on the subject. Q. Are you aware of any other guidelines that conflict with the Exhibit 2012 statement of principles? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403. A. These are the only guidelines per se in terms of something that's been set forth as part of the standards of practice. The rest of the body of generally accepted principles and practices would come from professional writings, publications, the body of actuarial literature. That's what I'm referring to that would expand upon this and actually be more technical than this. You would find that in other writings, which would become part of generally accepted actuarial principles and practices as opposed to something that is actually part of the standards of practice that are in conflict with the statement of 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 8 9 20 21 22 23 24	 A. This is the only standard of practice that deals with classifications. Q. Okay. In your opinion, has this statement of principles been relied upon by actuaries on matters that it's germane to? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403. A. This sounds like the same question you asked me before, only instead of "adhered" you've now said "relied upon." My answer is the same. It's been considered as appropriate along with the rest of the body of actuarial literature. Q. Have you ever publicly criticized any of these statement of principles? A. I do not recall having done so. I may have objected to someone perhaps stating that something should be adhered to or something is set in stone type of rule. But I don't recall necessarily criticizing. I don't recall doing that. Anything is possible, I suppose. Q. Let me refer you to Paragraph 7 of your rebuttal declaration, Exhibit 1022. Feel free to refresh your memory by looking at that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 22 23	 upon the concepts as they relate to classification. This is more simplistic than a lot of things one might read on the subject. Q. Are you aware of any other guidelines that conflict with the Exhibit 2012 statement of principles? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403. A. These are the only guidelines per se in terms of something that's been set forth as part of the standards of practice. The rest of the body of generally accepted principles and practices would come from professional writings, publications, the body of actuarial literature. That's what I'm referring to that would expand upon this and actually be more technical than this. You would find that in other writings, which would become part of generally accepted actuarial principles and practices as opposed to something that is actually part of the standards of practice. Q. Are there other standards of practice 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 23 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 23	 A. This is the only standard of practice that deals with classifications. Q. Okay. In your opinion, has this statement of principles been relied upon by actuaries on matters that it's germane to? MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403. A. This sounds like the same question you asked me before, only instead of "adhered" you've now said "relied upon." My answer is the same. It's been considered as appropriate along with the rest of the body of actuarial literature. Q. Have you ever publicly criticized any of these statement of principles? A. I do not recall having done so. I may have objected to someone perhaps stating that something should be adhered to or something is set in stone type of rule. But I don't recall necessarily criticizing. I don't recall doing that. Anything is possible, I suppose. Q. Let me refer you to Paragraph 7 of your rebuttal declaration, Exhibit 1022. Feel

6 (Pages 18 to 21)

	i age 50		Tage 51
1	M. O'NEIL	1	M. O'NEIL
	claims data. In fact, he says actuarial class	2	estimated as well as taken from actual historical
	claims data, correct? Which could include other	3	results. Am I right?
4	types of claims data besides actual claims data,	4	A. Indeed, I'm not disagreeing with you.
5	correct?	5	I'm saying that expected claims loss still would
6	A. No. He says expected insurance claims	6	need to be based on the company's own data based
7	loss, which is actual claims data. It doesn't	7	on what Mr. Miller has said here, is what I'm
8	say actuarial.	8	saying. I'm saying in my thing that Mr. Miller
9	Q. So all expected claims loss data has	9	has not allowed for the fact that data could be
10	to be actual? It can't be estimated?	10	from some other outside source.
11	A. Even if it is estimated, it's still	11	Q. Nonetheless, he does not say "actual
	you're mistaking the word "actual" meaning of a	12	claims data" in that paragraph?
	company as opposed to from some other source.	13	A. He does not use that exact word. That
14	Q. What did you mean by "actual"?	14	is That is what I read whenever I read his
15	A. I meant of a company as opposed to	15	testimony. He said expected insurance claims
	some other source.	16	loss. That implies or basically is a statement
17	Q. You meant their own actual historical	17	that you would use the company's own expected
	experience; is that right?	18	insurance claims loss. Expected is estimated,
19	A. Correct. I meant the company's own	19	basically.
	experience as opposed to some other data from	20	So when I say "actual" here, it refers
	some other source.	21	to within the company as opposed to from some
22	Q. But you don't find that in	22	other source, which is what this paragraph talks
	Paragraph 16, do you?	23	about, is that you can use data from other
24	A. I believe I do.	24	sources, you could use industry data, you could
25	Q. Expected claims loss could be	25	use data from another company if it were
	Page 32		Page 33
1	M. O'NEIL	1	M. O'NEIL
	available. You could use data from there are	2	Q. So you don't read his entire
3	other collection places that you can get data	3	declaration as being consistent with his opinions
	from. And that's what's not mentioned here.	4	here?
5	Q. Mr. Miller doesn't say that you have	5	A. This statement is in a particular
б	to use data from a particular company, does he?	6	section of the declaration. The other I
7	A. The way this is written, a POSITA	7	assume that this definition that we just read in
8	would understand that he's saying that it's used	8	16 was meant to stand alone.
9	from the company that is setting up the class.	9	Q. Oh, I see.
10	Q. Let me direct your attention to	10	Isn't it correct that the statement of
	Paragraph 29	11	principles that Mr. Miller cites in his
12	A. Okay.	12	declaration allows for using data other than
13	Q of Exhibit 2010. Do you see the	13	actual claims loss data?
	first sentence of that paragraph, Ms. O'Neil?	14	A. We should check. Do we have a
15	A. Yes, I see that.	15	specific spot in here?
16	Q. Okay. And there Mr. Miller indicates	16	Q. You're the actuary. I wouldn't want
	that other considerations can be taken into	17	to point you to the wrong section, Ms. O'Neil.
	account, including the experience of other rate	18	But I'm referring to Exhibit 2012.
	filers, business judgment, and all other relevant	19	A. I'm not finding it right now, but I
	information and data within and outside the	20	suspect that I'm not sure that it actually
21 22	state.	21	addresses the exact source of the data. Because,
V /	$\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{r}}$		
	Do you see that?	22	once again, these are guidelines. They're not
23	A. Yes, I see that he wrote that there.	23	meant to be recipe books.
23 24	•		

9 (Pages 30 to 33)

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.