UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

BLOOMBERG INC.; BLOOMBERG L.P.;
BLOOMBERG FINANCE L.P.;
THE CHARLES SCHWAB CORPORATION;
CHARLES SCHWAB & CO., INC.;
E*TRADE FINANCIAL CORPORATION; E*TRADE SECURITIES LLC;
E*TRADE CLEARING LLC; OPTIONSXPRESS HOLDINGS INC.;
OPTIONSXPRESS, INC.; TD AMERITRADE HOLDING CORP.;
TD AMERITRADE, INC.; TD AMERITRADE IP COMPANY, INC.; and
THINKORSWIM GROUP INC.
Petitioners.

V.

MARKETS-ALERT PTY LTD.
Patent Owner.

Case CBM2013-00005 (JYC) Patent 7,941,357

Before JAMESON LEE, SALLY C. MEDLEY, and JONI Y. CHANG, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

PATENT OWNER MARKETS-ALERT MOTION TO EXCLUDE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. §42.64



TABLE OF CONTENTS

			<u>Page</u>	
I.	STA	TEMENT OF REQUESTED RELIEF	1	
II.	STA	STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS		
III.	STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR REQUESTED RELIEF		3	
	A.	Applicable Law & Principles	3	
	B.	Conclusory Opinions By Kursh Should Be Excluded	6	
	C.	New References Are Needlessly Cumulative	12	
	D.	New References Were Not of Record Or Known	14	
IV.	CONCLUSION		15	



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<u>Page</u>
Federal Cases	
ActiveVideo Networks, Inc. v. Verizon Communications, Inc., 694 F.3d 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	4, 5, 9, 10
Dynacore Holdings Corp. v U.S. Philips Corp., 363 F.3d 1263 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	5
Innogenetics, N.V. v. Abbott Labs., 512 F.3d 1363 (Fed. Cir 2008)	5
KSR International Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	4
Microstrategy Inc. v. Business Objects, S.A., 429 F.3d 1344 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	4
Sitrick v. Dreamworks, LLC, 516 F.3d 993 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	5
Federal Statues	
37 C.F.R. §42.62	3
37 C.F.R. §42.64	1
37 C.F.R. §42.65(a)	3
37 C.F.R. 42.64(c)	3
Fed. R. Evid. 402	14
Fed. R. Evid. 403	6
Fed. R. Evid. 702	4
Other Authorities	
77 Fed. Reg. 48612 at 48633	14



I. STATEMENT OF REQUESTED RELIEF

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §42.64 and Patent Owner Markets-Alert Objections
To Evidence ("Objections"), Markets-Alert hereby seeks the exclusion of
Paragraph Nos. 7, 12-15, 17, 32-34, 44, and 46-57 of Exhibit No. 1043 ("Second Kursh Decl.") and newly submitted references in Exhibit Nos. 1036-1041 ("New References") for failing to comport with the Federal Rules of Evidence.

II. STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS

- (1) On October 2, 2013, Petitioners submitted the Second Kursh Decl. and New References in support of Petitioner's Opposition to Patent Owner Markets-Alert Motion to Amend (Paper 50) ("Opposition") and Petitioner's Reply to Patent Owner Markets-Alert Response (Paper 51) ("Reply"). Markets-Alert timely served its Objections to this evidence on October 8, 2013.
- (2) The Second Kursh Decl. contains testimony in the form of alleged expert opinions at Paragraph Nos. 7, 12-15, 17, 32-34, 44, and 46-57.
- (3) Petitioners cite previously submitted Exhibit Nos. 1006-1014 and 1025-1033 as teaching "methods of technical analysis-based stock market data monitoring and alerting." Ex. 1043 at 31.
- (4) On March 29, 2013, the Board held that these references (among others to which Petitioners no longer cite) presented grounds for unpatentability



Case No. CBM2013-00005 (JYC) Patent 7,941,357

redundant to the four grounds instituted. Decision, Paper 18, at 40.

- (5) Petitioners cite previously submitted Exhibit No. 1004 (*Satow*) as teaching "server-based, scalable and redundant," Ex. 1043 at 27, and a "network of computers," Ex. 1002 at 52.
- (6) Petitioners cite *Satow* as an anticipating reference for a "networkbased stock trading system" and "technical analysis," Ex. 1002 at 51-56, and "monitors stock market data in real-time and executes a trade upon satisfaction of the selected criteria, with the user concurrently being notified in real time of trade execution," Ex. 1043 at 34.
- (7) Petitioners cite previously submitted Exhibit No. 1033 (*eSignal*) as teaching "a server-based, scalable and redundant network." Ex. 1043 at 40.
- (8) Petitioners submit New References in Exhibit Nos. 1037 (*Chapraty*), 1038 (*Keilani*), 1039 (*Ambrose*), and 1040 (*Lim*) solely for their alleged teaching of "server-based, scalable and redundant networks." Ex. 1043 at 41-43.
- (9) Petitioners submit New Reference in Exhibit No. 1041 (*Toy*) for its alleged teachings of a "method and system for monitoring stock market information and notifying users in real time when an event of interest occurs... over a network of computers." Ex. 1043 at 47.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

