

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

MARKETS-ALERT PTY. LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.

BLOOMBERG FINANCE L.P.,
BLOOMBERG L.P., and
BLOOMBERG INC.,

Defendants.

Civil Action No.: _____

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff Markets-Alert Pty. Ltd. (“Markets-Alert” or “Plaintiff”) hereby alleges patent infringement against Defendants Bloomberg Finance L.P. (“Bloomberg Finance”), Bloomberg L.P. (“Bloomberg LP”), and Bloomberg Inc. (“Bloomberg Inc”) (individually and collectively, “Bloomberg”), on personal knowledge and information and belief, as follows:

THE PARTIES

1. Plaintiff is an Australian corporation with a principal location at 7-9 Cross Street, Bankstown NSW 2200, Australia.
2. On information and belief, Defendant Bloomberg Inc is a Delaware corporation with a principal place of business at 731 Lexington Avenue # L12, New York, New York 10022.
3. On information and belief, Defendant Bloomberg LP is a Delaware limited partnership with a principal place of business at 731 Lexington Avenue # L12, New York, New York 10022, and is a subsidiary of Bloomberg Inc.
4. On information and belief, Defendant Bloomberg Finance is a Delaware limited partnership with a principal place of business at 731 Lexington Avenue # L12, New York, New York 10022, and is a subsidiary of Bloomberg LP.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

6. Personal jurisdiction and venue are proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)-(c), and 1400(b). On information and belief, each Defendant has a regular and established place of business in this district or state, has transacted business in this district or state, and/or has committed, contributed to, and/or induced acts of patent infringement in this district or state.

7. On information and belief, each Defendant is subject to this Court's specific and general personal jurisdiction consistent with the principles of due process and/or the Long Arm Statute, due at least to its substantial business in this forum directly related to the allegations set forth herein, including: (i) a portion of the infringement alleged herein, including making, using, selling, offering to sell, and/or importing products, methods and/or systems that infringe the patent-in-suit; (ii) the presence of established distribution and/or marketing channels; and (iii) regularly doing or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent courses of conduct, and/or deriving substantial revenue from goods and services provided to individuals and entities in this state and judicial district.

COUNT I INFRINGEMENT

8. Plaintiff is the exclusive owner of all rights to United States Patent No. 7,941,357, entitled "Trading System" ("357 Patent"), including but not limited to the right to sue for damages. The United States Patent and Trademark Office duly issued the '357 Patent on May 10, 2011, which has a priority date of at least October 27, 2000. A true and correct copy of the '357 Patent is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit A.

9. In or around June 2004, Markets-Alert and Defendant Bloomberg commenced discussions regarding Defendant Bloomberg's interest in licensing Markets-Alert's patented

technology. The parties entered into the Mutual Supplier Non-Disclosure Agreement (“NDA”) in or around August 2004.

10. Markets-Alert provided Defendant Bloomberg with presentations and detailed explanations on the patented technology and the economic value to Defendant Bloomberg, including demonstrations of Markets-Alert’s “RTA” technology, a real-time technical analysis scanning and alerting platform.

11. Markets-Alert and Defendant Bloomberg discussed establishing a pilot project in or around October 2007.

12. Defendant Bloomberg abruptly ended the discussions in or around August 2008. Shortly thereafter, Defendant Bloomberg announced the launching of its Launchpad 2010, which on information and belief incorporates Markets-Alert’s patented technology.

13. On information and belief, Defendant Bloomberg Inc has been and now is infringing, inducing others to infringe, and/or contributorily infringing, literally, under the doctrine of equivalents, and/or jointly, one or more claims of the ‘357 Patent in this state, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States by, among other things, making, using, selling, offering to sell, and/or importing systems and methods that implement, utilize or otherwise embody the patented invention, including by way of example certain real-time stock trading platform products and services, such as its “Bloomberg Professional Launchpad” and “Bloomberg Terminal,” which are described at least in part online at http://www.bloomberg.com/professional/charts_launchpad/ and <http://www.bloomberg.com/professional/hardware/>. Therefore, Defendant Bloomberg Inc is liable for infringement of the ‘357 Patent.

14. On information and belief, Defendant Bloomberg LP has been and now is infringing, inducing others to infringe, and/or contributorily infringing, literally, under the doctrine of equivalents, and/or jointly, one or more claims of the ‘357 Patent in this state, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States by, among other things, making, using, selling, offering to sell, and/or importing systems and methods that implement, utilize or

otherwise embody the patented invention, including by way of example certain real-time stock trading platform products and services, such as its “Bloomberg Professional Launchpad” and “Bloomberg Terminal,” which are described at least in part online at http://www.bloomberg.com/professional/charts_launchpad/ and <http://www.bloomberg.com/professional/hardware/>. Therefore, Defendant Bloomberg LP is liable for infringement of the ‘357 Patent.

15. On information and belief, Defendant Bloomberg Finance has been and now is infringing, inducing others to infringe, and/or contributorily infringing, literally, under the doctrine of equivalents, and/or jointly, one or more claims of the ‘357 Patent in this state, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States by, among other things, making, using, selling, offering to sell, and/or importing systems and methods that implement, utilize or otherwise embody the patented invention, including by way of example certain real-time stock trading platform products and services, such as its “Bloomberg Professional Launchpad” and “Bloomberg Terminal,” which are described at least in part online at http://www.bloomberg.com/professional/charts_launchpad/ and <http://www.bloomberg.com/professional/hardware/>. Therefore, Defendant Bloomberg Finance is liable for infringement of the ‘357 Patent.

16. On information and belief, Defendant Bloomberg Inc offers trading technologies, tools, and services through its subsidiary Defendant Bloomberg LP, which provides the “Bloomberg Professional” web-site and in turn operates through its subsidiary Defendant Bloomberg Finance, such that Plaintiff’s right to relief against Defendants is joint, several, or in the alternative with respect to or arises out of the same transaction, occurrence, or series of transactions or occurrences, and gives rise to common questions of law or fact.

17. Plaintiff has been and is irreparably harmed by each Defendant’s infringement of the ‘357 Patent. Plaintiff has incurred and will continue to incur substantial damages, including monetary damages, unless each Defendant is enjoined from further acts of infringement.

18. By notice of this complaint, at least, each Defendant has been aware, since the

filing date or before, that the accused instrumentalities are not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use and are especially made and/or adapted for use in infringing the '357 Patent, and each Defendant's ongoing infringement is willful and deliberate.

19. To the extent that facts uncovered in discovery show that a Defendant's past infringement has been willful, Plaintiff reserves the right to seek enhanced damages and attorney fees for such past infringement.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court:

(a) enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff that each Defendant has infringed, and continues to infringe, the '357 Patent;

(b) enjoin each Defendant, its officers, subsidiaries, agents, servants, employees, and all persons in active concert with any of them, from any further infringement of the '357 Patent;

(c) award Plaintiff all monetary relief available under the patent laws of the United States, including but not limited to actual damages, pre- and post- judgment interest, enhanced damages, and costs pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;

(d) declare this case exceptional and award Plaintiff its reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285; and

(e) grant Plaintiff such other relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.