

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CRS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Petitioner

v.

Patent of FRONTLINE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Patent Owner

Case CBM2012-00005

Patent 6,675,151

PATENT OWNER'S EXHIBIT LIST

**FRONTLINE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
EXHIBIT LISTING**

Exhibit No.	Description
2001	Selected pages from Dictionary of Computer and Internet Terms, Seventh Edition (2000)
2002	Selected pages from Webster's Third New International Dictionary Unabridged (1981)
2003	Declaration of Edward Yourdon in Response to Plaintiff's Petition for Transitional Post-Grant Review Under Section 18 of the Leahy-Smith America Invents Act and 35. U.S.C. § 321 submitted March 18, 2013

**FRONTLINE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT LISTING**

Demonstrative Exhibit No.	Description
DX-1	General Principles of law Regarding Subject Matter Eligibility
DX-2	<i>Ultramercial, Inc. v. Hulu, LLC</i> : The Most Recent law on §101 Eligibility
DX-3	<i>Ultramercial, Inc. v. Hulu, LLC</i> : The Most Recent law on §101 Eligibility
DX-4	<i>Ultramercial, Inc. v. Hulu, LLC</i> : The Most Recent law on §101 Eligibility
DX-5	<i>Ultramercial, Inc. v. Hulu, LLC</i> : The Most Recent law on §101 Eligibility
DX-6	<i>Ultramercial, Inc. v. Hulu, LLC</i> : The Most Recent law on §101 Eligibility
DX-7	<i>Ultramercial, Inc. v. Hulu, LLC</i> : The Most Recent law on §101 Eligibility
DX-8	<i>Ultramercial, Inc. v. Hulu, LLC</i> : The Most Recent law on §101 Eligibility
DX-9	<i>Ultramercial, Inc. v. Hulu, LLC</i> : The Most Recent law on §101 Eligibility
DX-10	<i>Ultramercial, Inc. v. Hulu, LLC</i> : The Most Recent law on §101 Eligibility
DX-11	<i>Ultramercial, Inc. v. Hulu, LLC</i> : The Most Recent law on §101 Eligibility
DX-12	<i>Ultramercial, Inc. v. Hulu, LLC</i> : The Most Recent law on §101 Eligibility
DX-13	<i>Ultramercial, Inc. v. Hulu, LLC</i> : The Most Recent law on §101 Eligibility, Judge Lourie Concurrence
DX-14	<i>Ultramercial, Inc. v. Hulu, LLC</i> : The Most Recent law on §101 Eligibility, Judge Lourie Concurrence
DX-15	§101 Eligibility Process
DX-16	Step 1: Determine Statutory Category
DX-17	Claim 3 of the '151 Patent = Process
DX-18	Claims 16, 24 and 33 of the '151 Patent = Process
DX-19	Claim 6 of the '151 = Machine
DX-20	Claim 7 of the '151 = Machine
DX-21	Step 2: Determine Whether Judicial Exception Applies
DX-22	Determine Whether Exception to Patent Eligibility Applies
DX-23	Step A: Does the Claim involve an Intangible Abstract Idea?
DX-24	'151 Patent Claims do Not Involve an Intangible Abstract Idea
DX-25	The Claims Do Not Relate to Mere Mental Steps
DX-26	CRS Has Alleged the Claims Involve an Abstract Idea

DX-27	Step B: Is the Claim Meaningfully Limited to Less Than the Abstract Idea?
DX-28	Meaningful Limitations = Patent Eligible
DX-29	'151 Patent – Background
DX-30	'151 Patent – Background
DX-31	'151 Patent – Background
DX-32	'151 Patent – Disclosed System
DX-33	'151 Patent – Claim Construction
DX-34	<i>Ultramercial, Inc. v. Hulu, LLC</i> Application of §101 Eligibility Law
DX-35	<i>Ultramercial, Inc. v. Hulu, LLC</i> Application of §101 Eligibility Law
DX-36	<i>Ultramercial, Inc. v. Hulu, LLC</i> Application of §101 Eligibility Law
DX-37	<i>Ultramercial, Inc. v. Hulu, LLC</i> Application of §101 Eligibility Law
DX-38	<i>Ultramercial, Inc. v. Hulu, LLC</i> Application of §101 Eligibility Law
DX-39	<i>Ultramercial, Inc. v. Hulu, LLC</i> Application of §101 Eligibility Law
DX-40	<i>Ultramercial, Inc. v. Hulu, LLC</i> Application of §101 Eligibility Law
DX-41	<i>Ultramercial, Inc. v. Hulu, LLC</i> Application of §101 Eligibility Law
DX-42	<i>Ultramercial, Inc. v. Hulu, LLC</i> Application of §101 Eligibility Law
DX-43	<i>Ultramercial, Inc. v. Hulu, LLC</i> Application of §101 Eligibility Law
DX-44	<i>Ultramercial, Inc. v. Hulu, LLC</i> Application of §101 Eligibility Law
DX-45	<i>Ultramercial, Inc. v. Hulu, LLC</i> Application of §101 Eligibility Law
DX-46	Following Reasoning of Ultramercial, The '151 Patent Claims Are Patent Eligible: Claim 3 of the '151 Patent Imposes Meaningful Limits On the Abstract Idea of Automated Substitute Fulfillment
DX-47	Following Reasoning of Ultramercial, The '151 Patent Claims Are Patent Eligible: Claim 3 of the '151 Patent Imposes Meaningful Limits On the Abstract Idea of Automated Substitute Fulfillment (Cont'd.)
DX-48	Following Reasoning of Ultramercial, The '151 Patent Claims Are Patent Eligible: Claim 6 of the '151 Patent Imposes Meaningful Limits On the Abstract Idea of Automated Substitute Fulfillment
DX-49	Following Reasoning of Ultramercial, The '151 Patent Claims Are Patent Eligible: Claim 6 of the '151 Patent Imposes Meaningful Limits On the Abstract Idea of Automated Substitute Fulfillment (Cont'd.)
DX-50	Following Reasoning of Ultramercial, The '151 Patent Claims Are Patent Eligible: Similar to the Reasoning in Ultramercial, It Would Be Error to Strip Away the Recited Structure
DX-51	Following Reasoning of Ultramercial, The '151 Patent Claims Are Patent Eligible: Similar to the Claims in Ultramercial, the Figures of the '151 Patent Demonstrate That the Claim is Not a Disembodied Abstract Idea
DX-52	Following Reasoning of Ultramercial, The '151 Patent Claims Are Patent Eligible: Similar to the Claims in Ultramercial, the Figures of the '151 Patent Demonstrate That the Operations Are Tied to a Computer Implementation (Cont'd.)
DX-53	Following Reasoning of Ultramercial, The '151 Patent Claims Are Patent Eligible: Similar to the Claims in Ultramercial, the Invention

	Involves an Extensive Computer Interface
DX-54	Following Reasoning of Ultramercial, The '151 Patent Claims Are Patent Eligible: Similar to the Claims in Ultramercial, the Invention Involves an Extensive Computer Interface (Cont'd.)
DX-55	Following Reasoning of Ultramercial, The '151 Patent Claims Are Patent Eligible: Similar to the Claims in Ultramercial, The Claims of the '151 Patent Are Not Highly Generalized
DX-56	Following Reasoning of Ultramercial, The '151 Patent Claims Are Patent Eligible: Similar to the Claims in Ultramercial, The Breadth of the Claims of the '151 Patent Does Not Render the Claims Abstract
DX-57	Following Reasoning of Ultramercial, The '151 Patent Claims Are Patent Eligible: Similar to the Claims in Ultramercial, The Claims of the '151 Patent Do Not Claim a Mathematical Algorithm or Mental Steps
DX-58	Following Reasoning of Ultramercial, The '151 Patent Claims Are Patent Eligible: Similar to the Claims in Ultramercial, The Claims of the '151 Patent Are Not So Manifestly Abstract as to Override §101
DX-59	Following Reasoning of Ultramercial, The '151 Patent Claims Are Patent Eligible: Under Judge Lourie's Reasoning, The Claims of the '151 Patent Do Not Cover the Full Abstract Idea Itself
DX-60	Following Reasoning of Ultramercial, The '151 Patent Claims Are Patent Eligible: Under Judge Lourie's Reasoning, The Claims of the '151 Patent Do Not Cover the Full Abstract Idea Itself (Cont'd.)
DX-61	CRS's Analysis is Incorrect: CRS Incorrectly Alleges the Claims Describe Generic Technology That is Not Integral to the Claimed Invention (Paper No. 2 at pp. 3, 25-26, 30)
DX-62	CRS's Analysis is Incorrect: CRS Incorrectly Alleges the Claims Describe Generic Technology That is Not Integral to the Claimed Invention (Paper No. 2 at pp. 3, 25-26, 30) (Cont'd.)
DX-63	CRS's Analysis is Incorrect: CRS Incorrectly Alleges the Claims Describe Generic Technology That is Not Integral to the Claimed Invention (Paper No. 2 at pp. 3, 25-26, 30) (Cont'd.)
DX-64	CRS's Analysis is Incorrect: CRS Incorrectly Alleges the Claims Describe Generic Technology That is Not Integral to the Claimed Invention (Paper No. 2 at pp. 3, 25-26, 30) (Cont'd.)
DX-65	CRS's Analysis is Incorrect: CRS Incorrectly Alleges the Claims Describe Generic Technology That is Not Integral to the Claimed Invention (Paper No. 2 at pp. 3, 25-26, 30) (Cont'd.)
DX-66	CRS's Analysis is Incorrect: CRS Incorrectly Alleges the Claims Describe Generic Technology That is Not Integral to the Claimed Invention (Paper No. 2 at pp. 3, 25-26, 30) (Cont'd.)
DX-67	CRS's Analysis is Incorrect: CRS Incorrectly Alleges the Claims Describe Generic Technology That is Not Integral to the Claimed Invention (Paper No. 2 at pp. 3, 25-26, 30) (Cont'd.)
DX-68	CRS's Analysis is Incorrect: CRS Misinterprets Yourdon's Testimony

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.