

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CRS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Petitioner

v.

Patent of FRONTLINE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Patent Owner

Case CBM2012-00005

Patent 6,675,151

PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.220

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction.....	1
II.	Background.....	4
	A. The 151 Patent.....	6
	B. Patent Claims.....	6
	1. Method Claims 3, 16, 24, and 33	6
	2. System Claims 6 and 7.....	9
III.	Claim Construction.....	12
	A. Ordinary Meaning Should Apply.....	13
	1. “Website”	13
	2. “One or more computers”	14
	3. “Organization worksite”	15
	4. “Receiving”	16
	5. “Generating”	16
	6. “Posting”	17
	7. “Information”	18
	8. “Providing”	18
IV.	Claims 3, 6, 7, 16, 24, and 33 Recite Patentable-Eligible Subject Matter Because They Fall Within Permitted Statutory Categories and They Are Not Abstract Ideas.....	19
	A. The Claims Are Directed To Patent Eligible Processes and Machines	21
	B. The Claims Are Not An Abstract Idea.....	22

1.	Claims 6 and 7 Recite Machines, Not an Abstract Idea	22
2.	Computing Hardware Imposes a Meaningful Limit on the Recited Operations	23
C.	<i>Bancorp</i> is Factually Different	29
D.	The Claim Language May Not Be Disregarded.....	31
E.	The Claims Do Not “Preempt” an Abstract Idea	36
V.	Conclusion	42

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

<i>Aro Mfg. Co. v. Convertible Top Replacement Co.</i> , 365 U.S. 336 (1961).....	2, 31
<i>Bancorp Services, LLC v. Sun Life Assur. Co. of Canada (US)</i> , 687 F.3d 1266 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	24, 29, 30
<i>Bilski v. Kappos</i> , 130 S. Ct. 3218 (2010).....	19, 20
<i>Burr v. Duryee</i> , 68 U.S. (1 Wall.) 531 (1863)	3, 22
<i>Diamond v. Chakrabarty</i> , 447 U.S. 303 (1980).....	18
<i>Diamond v. Diehr</i> , 450 U.S. 175 (1981).....	1, 30, 31, 32, 35
<i>Fuzzysharp Technologies Inc. v. 3dLabs Inc.</i> , 447 Fed. Appx. 182.....	34, 35
<i>Gottschalk v. Benson</i> , 409 U.S. 63 (1972).....	36
<i>In re Alappat</i> , 33 F.3d 1526 (Fed. Cir. 1994) (en banc)	22, 23
<i>In re Iwahashi</i> , 888 F.2d 1370 (Fed. Cir. 1989)	22, 32
<i>In re Nuijten</i> , 500 F.3d 1346 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	3, 22
<i>In re Warmerdam</i> , 33 F.3d 1354 (Fed. Cir. 1994)	22
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	32

<i>Mayo Collab. Servs. v. Prometheus Labs., Inc.</i> , 132 S. Ct. 1289 (2012).....	20, 21, 24
<i>Ortho Pharm. Corp. v. Smith</i> , 959 F.2d 936,942 (Fed. Cir. 1992)	31
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18
<i>Research Corp. Techs., Inc. v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , 627 F.3d 859 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	19, 20, 21
<i>SiRF Tech., Inc. v. Int’l Trade Comm’n</i> , 601 F.3d 1319 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	3, 22, 23, 24

STATUTES

35 U.S.C. § 101	1, 2, 3, 18, 19, 20, 21, 31, 32, 34, 35, 42
35 U.S.C. § 102	3, 37
35 U.S.C. § 103	3, 37
35 U.S.C. § 112	3
35 U.S.C. § 282	31
35 U.S.C. § 321	1, 12

OTHER AUTHORITY

37 C.F.R. § 42.220	13
--------------------------	----

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.