

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CRS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
Petitioner

v.

FRONTLINE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
Patent Owner

Case CBM2012-00005
Patent 6,675,151

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, THOMAS L. GIANNETTI, and JENNIFER
S. BISK, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

MEDLEY, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION
CRS Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

CRS Advanced Technologies, Inc. (CRS) filed a motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Darrel C. Karl. Paper 22. The motion is unopposed. The motion is GRANTED.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), the Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause. In authorizing motions for *pro hac vice*, the Board requires the moving party to provide a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in this proceeding. “Order”; Paper 24.

In its motion, CRS states that there is good cause for the Board to recognize Mr. Karl *pro hac vice* during this proceeding, since Mr. Karl is an experienced litigating attorney with an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding. In addition, the motion states that Mr. Karl is counsel for CRS in related litigation between CRS and patent owner. Mr. Karl made a declaration attesting to, and sufficiently explaining, these facts. Paper 23. The declaration¹ complies with the requirements set forth in the Order.

Upon consideration, CRS has sufficiently demonstrated that Mr. Karl has sufficient legal and technical qualifications to represent CRS in this proceeding. Moreover, the Board recognizes that there is a need for CRS to have its related litigation counsel involved in this proceeding. Accordingly, CRS has also established that there is good cause for admitting Mr. Karl.

It is

ORDERED that the CRS motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Darrel C. Karl for this proceeding is GRANTED;

FURTHER ORDERED that CRS is to continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel for this proceeding; and

¹ The declaration should have been submitted as an exhibit. 37 C.F.R. § 42.63.

Case CBM2012-00005
Patent 6,675,151

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Karl is to comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of the C.F.R., and to be subject to the Office's Code of Professional Responsibility set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 10.20 *et seq.* and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a).

PETITIONER:

E. Robert Yoches
Aaron J. Capron
Darrel C. Karl (pro hac vice)
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow
Garrett & Dunner, L.L.P.
bob.yoches@finnegan.com
aaron.capron@finnegan.com
darrel.karl@finnegan.com

PATENT OWNER:

John P. Donohue, Jr.
John E. McGlynn
Woodcock Washburn LLP
donohue@woodcock.com
mcglynn@woodcock.com