

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

CRS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Petitioner

v.

Patent of FRONTLINE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Patent Owner

---

Case CBM2012-00005

Patent 6,675,151

---

PATENT OWNER FRONTLINE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.'S  
MOTION FOR ADMISSION *PRO HAC VICE*  
OF R. SCOTT TEWES

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

### **I. Relief Requested**

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §42.10, Patent Owner Frontline Technologies, Inc. requests that the Board admit R. Scott Tewes *pro hac vice* in this proceeding.

### **II. Statement of Facts Showing There is Good Cause for the Board to Recognize Counsel *Pro Hac Vice* During the Proceeding**

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), the Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner and to any other conditions as the Board may impose. Section 42.10(c) indicates that, “where lead counsel is a registered practitioner, a motion to appear *pro hac vice* may be granted upon a showing that counsel is an experienced litigating attorney and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding.” The facts here establish good cause for the Board to recognize R. Scott Tewes *pro hac vice* during this proceeding.

1. Lead counsel, John P. Donohue, is a registered practitioner.

2. Counsel, R. Scott Tewes, is an experienced litigating attorney and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding. Accompanying this motion is the Declaration of R. Scott Tewes in Support of Motion for Admission *Pro Hac Vice* (“Tewes Declaration”). In the Tewes Declaration, Mr. Tewes states, *inter alia*, that: “I am a member in good standing of the South Carolina Bar, the State Bar of Georgia, and The District of Columbia Bar and am also admitted to practice in the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit and the Supreme Court of the United States. I am currently admitted to practice *pro hac vice* in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. I have been in private practice since 1983, and have litigated many civil cases since that time, including a number involving patent infringement.” Tewes Declaration at ¶ 2.

3. Mr. Tewes also states that: “I am very familiar with the subject matter at issue in this proceeding. I am counsel for Plaintiff Frontline Technologies, Inc. in the *Frontline Technologies, Inc. v. CRS, Inc.* patent infringement litigation, Civil Action No. 2:07-cv-2457 (E.D. Pa.), which involves the same patent at issue in this proceeding. Since that lawsuit was filed in 2007, I have been involved in the day-to-day management and conduct of that litigation for my client. I have drafted portions of many of the substantive papers filed in that litigation, defended

depositions of Frontline's witnesses and experts, and personally deposed CRS witnesses and experts." *Id.* at ¶ 10.

4. In the Tewes Declaration, Mr. Tewes attests, *inter alia*, that he has read and will comply with Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, and agrees to be subject to the United States Patent and Trademark Office Code of Professional Responsibility set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 10.20 *et seq.* and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a). Tewes Declaration at ¶ 7-8.

### III. Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, Patent Owner, Frontline Technologies, Inc., respectfully requests that the Board admit R. Scott Tewes *pro hac vice* in this proceeding.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: February 1, 2013

By: /John P. Donohue, Jr./

John P. Donohue, Jr., Reg. No. 29,916  
Woodcock Washburn, LLP  
Cira Centre – 12th Floor  
2929 Arch Street  
Philadelphia, PA 19103  
Phone: 215.568.3100  
Fax: 215.568.3439  
Email: donohue@woodcock.com

Case CBM2012-00005  
Patent 6,675,151  
Attorney Docket No. FPT-L6

Attorneys for Patent Owner Frontline  
Technologies, Inc.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.