

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE CO.

Petitioner

v.

PROGRESSIVE CASUALTY INSURANCE CO.

Patent Owner

Case CBM2012-00003

Patent 8,140,358

Before the Honorable JAMESON LEE, JONI Y. CHANG, and MICHAEL R. ZECHER, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

**PETITIONER LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE CO.'S NOTICE OF
THIRD SET OF OBJECTIONS TO PATENT OWNER PROGRESSIVE
CASUALTY INSURANCE CO.'S EXHIBITS**

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1), the undersigned, on behalf of and acting in a representative capacity for Petitioner, Liberty Mutual Insurance Company (“Petitioner”), hereby provides Notice to the Board that the objections made on the record herewith to Patent Owner Progressive Casualty Insurance Co.’s (“Patent Owner”) new Exhibit 2018 were served to Patent Owner pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64.

Respectfully submitted,

ROPES & GRAY LLP

October 8, 2013

By /J. Steven Baughman/

J. Steven Baughman, Lead Counsel

Nicole M. Jantzi

ROPES & GRAY LLP

Prudential Tower

800 Boylston Street

Boston, Massachusetts 02199-3600

Steven.baughman@ropesgray.com

Nicole.jantzi@ropesgray.com

Attorneys for Petitioner Liberty Mutual Insurance Co.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE CO.
Petitioner

v.

PROGRESSIVE CASUALTY INSURANCE CO.
Patent Owner

Case CBM2012-00003
Patent 8,140,358

Before the Honorable JAMESON LEE, JONI Y. CHANG, and MICHAEL R. ZECHER, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

**PETITIONER LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE CO.'S THIRD SET OF
OBJECTIONS TO PATENT OWNER PROGRESSIVE CASUALTY
INSURANCE CO.'S EXHIBITS**

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1), the undersigned, on behalf of and acting in a representative capacity for Petitioner, Liberty Mutual Insurance Company (“Petitioner”), hereby submits the following objections to Patent Owner Progressive Casualty Insurance Co.’s (“Patent Owner”) new Exhibit 2018, and any reference to/reliance on the foregoing in connection with Patent Owner’s Response Pursuant To 37 C.F.R. § 42.220 (“Response” or “Resp.”) or otherwise. As required by 37 C.F.R § 42.62, Petitioner’s objections below apply the Federal Rules of Evidence (“F.R.E.”).

I. Objections to Exhibit 2018

Petitioner objects to Exhibit 2018 and particularly to Progressive's attempt to introduce this additional evidence, in the form of Exhibit 2018, at this stage in the proceeding on October 4, 2013, days before oral hearing, as untimely in violation of the Board's Rules governing this proceeding. *See* 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.6(c), 42.51(b)(1), 42.63, and 42.64(b)(2). *See also* 37 C.F.R. § 42.223; F.R.E 403 ("undue delay"). Progressive had ample opportunity to submit this evidence with Mr. Miller's declaration filed with its Response, the first document in which it was purportedly cited in this proceeding, or in response to Petitioner's First and Second Set of Objections pointing out Progressive's failure to submit this evidence. 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.64(b)(2), 42.223. Petitioner pointed out this very issue in its previous objections (*e.g.*, MX1045 § IV, MX1046 § II), the last of which was served on July 3, 2013. Thus, Progressive had notice of the defect and 10 business days to submit supplemental evidence to cure. 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.64(b)(2). Instead, Progressive chose not to produce the evidence as permitted by the Rules; it cannot belatedly change its mind and submit the evidence in violation of the Rules, three months later and days before the oral hearing in this trial.

Respectfully submitted,

ROPES & GRAY LLP

October 8, 2013

By /J. Steven Baughman/
J. Steven Baughman, Lead Counsel
Nicole M. Jantzi
ROPES & GRAY LLP
Prudential Tower
800 Boylston Street
Boston, Massachusetts 02199-3600
Steven.baughman@ropesgray.com
Nicole.jantzi@ropesgray.com

Attorneys for Petitioner Liberty Mutual Insurance Co.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.