```
Page 186
            UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
2
              BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
3
4
5
      LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE
      COMPANY,
                                       ) No. CBM2012-00002
6
                                               CBM2012-00004 (JL)
                   Petitioner,
                                       )
                                               Patent 6,064,970
7
                                       )
                                          No. CBM2013-0004 (JL)
                   vs.
8
                                               Patent 8,090,598
      PROGRESSIVE CASUALTY
9
      INSURANCE COMPANY,
                                          No. CBM2012-0003
                                              CBM2013-0009 (JL)
10
                                      )
                                              Patent 8,140,358
                   Patent Owner.
11
12
                VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF SCOTT ANDREWS
                        Palo Alto, California
13
                    Tuesday, September 24, 2013
                               Volume 2
14
15
16
17
18
     Reported by:
19
     LESLIE ROCKWOOD, RPR, CSR 3462
20
     Job No. 65807
21
                                            Progressive Exhibit 2019
22
                                            Liberty Mutual v. Progressive
23
                                             CBM2012-00003
24
25
```



Page 311

relating to car maintenance or management change. So that seems to me to be about as real time as it's going to get.

- Q. Let me direct your attention to paragraph 44 of your rebuttal declaration.
 - A. Okay.

2.4

- Q. So here you're providing opinions on whether Mr. Zatkovich is qualified to opine on certain subjects; correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. So in this paragraph, are you opining that his testimony, as a matter of law, should be excluded?
- A. What I'm saying here is that the things that he appears to be opining on are things related to cost determinations and generating the use of an actuarial class within an insurance context or generating a rating factor and that he's not presented himself in his report as being an insurance expert. He's presented himself as being a technical expert.
- Q. You're not an expert on whether or not Mr. Zatkovich's testimony is admissible, are you?
 - A. No.
- Q. Okay. So let's turn to paragraph 50 of your rebuttal declaration.
 - A. Okay.

Q. And here you state your opinion that Figure 4 of the '650 application depicts a communications link and an operations control center but does not disclose that the link is configured to transfer selected vehicle data from the in-vehicle memory to a distributed network and a server.

Page 312

Page 314

Do you see that?

I see that.

- Q. Is a cellular phone network a distributed network?
- A. Not in the context of the distributed network and server of the patent.
- Q. Not in the context of the distributed network of the '358 patent?
- A. That's right. A distributed network and server would be a system that had a network with a variety of components attached to it, some of which might be a server, some of which might be data storage, computational engines, et cetera. A cellular system is a communications network.
 - Q. And it's distributed; right?
- A. I wouldn't actually say that. If you said that it was distributed, then you would have different components located at different parts of the network. But a cellular network is actually like a star: You go

Page 313

from each cell site to the public telephone network. You don't go from cell site to cell site.

So I don't think that I would call a cellular network -- it's certainly a communications link, which is what's referred to in Figure 4 of the '650 application, but it's not a distributed network which has a variety of resources and services that are not all in the same box the way the distributed network of the '358 patent is described.

- Q. Just to be clear, you don't think a cellular phone network has a variety of resources or services that are not all in the same box?
 - A. The cellular telephone network itself?
 - Q. Right.

A. The cellular telephone network is a bay station that connects a cellular link to the telephone network, and there certainly are services, but they are services associated with managing and delivering a call or routing data to some place outside the network. They are not the distributed network that is described in the '358 patent, which has -- well, it's actually not really described here very well, either, I guess.

Anyway, the cellular network is definitely distributed. It's a different kind of network than I think is -- is referenced in the '358 patent. It's a

communications link, and there may be network aspects associated with it, but I don't think that by saying that there's a reference to a cellular network in Figure 4 that that is the same as the distributed network and server described in the claims of the '358 patent.

Q. Is it your testimony that servers aren't used in cellular networks?

A. As I said earlier, if there is a server that's used in a cellular network, it would be something associated with handling the billing and various administrative aspects of handling the call.

If you were to go use a service with a smartphone over a cellular network, the server that you're going to is not part of the cellular network. It's on the internet somewhere.

Q. Is transmission of data by cellular communication a transmission over a distributed network?

MR. MYERS: Objection. 402, 403.

THE WITNESS: It is transmission over a communications network, but it is not transmission to a distributed network and server.

BY MR. WAMSLEY:

Q. For the reasons you've mentioned previously; is that right?



33 (Pages 311 to 314)