UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE		
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD		
LIDEDTY MUTUAL INCLIDANCE CO		
LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE CO. Petitioner		
V.		
PROGRESSIVE CASUALTY INSURANCE CO. Patent Owner		
Case CBM2012-00003		
Patent 8,140,358		

PATENT OWNER'S NOTICE OF OBJECTIONS TO EVIDENCE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.64



The undersigned, on behalf of Patent Owner Progressive Casualty Insurance Co. ("Patent Owner"), hereby provides Notice to the Board that the objections made on the record herewith were served to Liberty Mutual Insurance Co. pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64. *See also* 37 C.F.R. 42, Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, part II, § I (77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,767 (Aug. 14, 2012)).

October 3, 2013

Respectfully submitted,

JONES DAY

By: /s/Calvin P. Griffith

Calvin P. Griffith

Registration No. 34,831

JONES DAY

901 Lakeside Avenue

Cleveland, Ohio 44114-1190

(216) 586-3939

Attorney For Patent Owner Progressive Casualty Insurance Co.



UNITED STATES	PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PA	ATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
LIBERT	Y MUTUAL INSURANCE CO. Petitioner
	V.
PROGRESSI	VE CASUALTY INSURANCE CO. Patent Owner
	Case CBM2012-00003 Patent 8,140,358

PATENT OWNER'S OBJECTIONS TO EVIDENCE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.64



Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1), the undersigned, on behalf of Patent Owner Progressive Casualty Insurance Co. ("Patent Owner"), hereby submits the following objections to Exhibits 1042-1044 filed on September 26, 2013 by Liberty Mutual Insurance Co. ("Liberty" or "Petitioner") in response to Patent Owner's Objections to Evidence, filed September 12, 2013. Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.62, Patent Owner's objections below apply the Federal Rules of Evidence ("F.R.E.").

I. PATENT OWNER MAINTAINS ITS PRIOR OBJECTIONS

Patent Owner maintains all objections it previously set forth, including its objections filed on August 22, 2013 (Paper No. 40) and September 12, 2013 (Paper No. 47).

II. EXHIBITS 1042-1044 GO BEYOND EVIDENCE PERMITTED UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(B)(2)

37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(2) provides that a "party relying on evidence to which an objection is timely served may respond to the objection by serving supplemental evidence within ten business days of service of the objection." Patent Owner objects to Exhibits 1042-1044 because they go beyond, and are not proper supplemental evidence pursuant to, 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(2).



III. OBJECTIONS TO EXHIBIT 1042 AND ANY REFERENCE TO/RELIANCE THEREON

Patent Owner hereby objects to Exhibit 1042, Declaration of Scott Andrews, dated September 26, 2013 ("Andrews Fourth Declaration").

Grounds for objection: 37 C.F.R. § 42.61 (Admissibility of Evidence), F.R.E. 402 (Relevance), F.R.E. 403 (Excluding Relevant Evidence for Prejudice, Confusion, Waste of Time, or Other Reasons), F.R.E. 901 (Authentication), 37 C.F.R. § 42.223 (Filing of Supplemental Evidence), F.R.E. 702, 703, 705 (Witness Not Qualified to Provide Expert Testimony), F.R.E. 602 (Lack of Personal Knowledge), F.R.E. 801, 802 (Impermissible Hearsay), 37 C.F.R. § 42.23(b) (Outside Scope of Response and Petition), and the Andrews Fourth Declaration is unauthorized testimony.

Patent Owner advanced no position that provides a proper basis for the belated submission of the Andrews Fourth Declaration or the exhibits referenced therein, *i.e.*, Exhibits 1034, 1037, 1038, 1040, and 1043. (37 C.F.R. § 42.23(b); 37 C.F.R. § 42.223; 37 C.F.R. 42, Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, part II, § I (77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,787 (Aug. 14, 2012)). The statements in the Andrews Fourth Declaration have no relevant bearing on any issue properly raised in this proceeding or argued by Patent Owner. (F.R.E. 402, 403; 37 C.F.R. § 42.61). Rather, the Andrews Fourth Declaration is an attempt to raise new theories to



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

