

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

SAP AMERICA, INC.  
Petitioner,

v.

VERSATA DEVELOPMENT GROUP, INC.  
Patent Owner.

---

Case CBM2012-00001 (MPT)  
Patent 6,553,350

---

Before MICHAEL P. TIERNEY, *Lead Administrative Patent Judge*, and SALLY C. MEDLEY and RAMA G. ELLURU, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

MEDLEY, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

**DECISION – VERSATA Motion – 37 C.F.R. § 42.14**

On November 30, 2012, Versata filed a motion to file certain documents under seal. (Paper 28). In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.14, Versata identifies its Patent Owner Preliminary Response along with certain exhibits to be sealed. The parties filed subsequent papers essentially agreeing that the Patent Owner

Case CBM2012-00001  
Patent 6,553,350

Preliminary Response need not be sealed, but that Versata Exhibits 2045, 2046 and 2047 be maintained under seal in their entirety. (Papers 31 and 32).

Upon consideration of Versata Motion to Seal, and the subsequent papers filed by the parties regarding the matter, the Board has determined that Versata has sufficiently demonstrated why Versata Exhibits 2045, 2046 and 2047 should remain sealed in their entirety. Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that Versata Motion to Seal is GRANTED-IN-PART;

FURTHER ORDERED that Versata Preliminary Response shall be made publicly available; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Versata Exhibits 2045, 2046 and 2047 remain sealed in their entirety.

PETITIONER:

Erika Arner  
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, L.L.P  
SAP-PGR@finnegan.com

and

Michael L. Kiklis  
Oblon, Spivak, McClelland, Maier & Neustadt LLP  
CPdocketkiklis@oblon.com

PATENT OWNER:

Nick J. Linck & Martin M. Zoltick  
Rothwell, Figg, Ernst & Manbeck, P.C.  
nlinck@rfem.com  
VERSATA-PGR@rfem.com