
IN THE
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

VERSATA SOFTWARE, INC. (formerly known as Trilogy Software, Inc.),
VERSATA DEVELOPMENT GROUP, INC. (formerly known as Trilogy
Development Group, Inc.), and VERSATA COMPUTER INDUSTRY
SOLUTIONS, INC. (formerly known as Trilogy Computer Industry Solutions, Inc.),

Plaintiffs-Cross Appellants,

v.

SAP AMERICA, INC. and SAP AG,

Defendants-Appellants.

**Appeals from the United States District Court for the
Eastern District of Texas in case no. 07-CV-0153,
Magistrate Judge Charles Everingham.**

BRIEF OF DEFENDANTS-APPELLANTS SAP AMERICA, INC. AND SAP AG

JAMES R. BATCHELDER
LAUREN N. ROBINSON
ROPES & GRAY L.L.P.
1900 University Avenue
Palo Alto, CA 94303
(650) 617-4000

DAVID J. BALL, JR.
PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND,
WHARTON & GARRISON L.L.P.
2001 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 223-7300

J. MICHAEL JAKES
MICHAEL A. MORIN
JOHN M. WILLIAMSON
JENNIFER K. ROBINSON
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.
901 New York Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20001
(202) 408-4000

JOHN W. THORNBURGH
JUSTIN M. BARNES
CRAIG E. COUNTRYMAN
FISH & RICHARDSON, P.C.
12390 El Camino Real
San Diego, CA 92130
(858) 678-5070

KEVIN R. HAMEL
SAP AMERICA, INC.
3999 West Chester Pike
Newtown Square, PA 19073
(610) 610-1000

February 17, 2012

*Attorneys for Defendants-Appellants
SAP America and SAP AG*

VERSATA EXHIBIT 2044

CERTIFICATE OF INTEREST

Counsel for SAP America, Inc. and SAP AG certifies the following (use "None" if applicable; use extra sheets if necessary):

1. The full name of every party or amicus represented by me is:

SAP America, Inc. and SAP AG

2. The name of the real party in interest (if the party named in the caption is not the real party in interest) represented by me is:

SAP America, Inc. and SAP AG

3. All parent corporations and any publicly held companies that own 10 percent or more of the stock of the party or amicus curiae represented by me are:

SAP AG owns 10% or more of the stock of SAP America, Inc.

4. The names of all law firms and the partners or associates that appeared for the party or amicus now represented by me in the trial court or agency or are expected to appear in this Court are:

Fish & Richardson, P.C.: Thomas Melsheimer, John W. Thornburgh, Justin M. Barnes, Craig E. Countryman, Michael A. Bittner, Benjamin Charles Elacqua, *John E. Gartman, Taj Jamar Clayton, Timothy Devlin

Ropes & Gray L.L.P.: James R. Batchelder, Lauren N. Robinson

Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, L.L.P.: J. Michael Jakes, Michael Andre Morin, John M. Williamson, Jennifer Robinson

Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison, LLP: David J. Ball, Kenneth A. Gallo

Howrey LLP: James R. Batchelder, *Lloyd R. Day, Jr., *Paul S. Grewal, *Aaron R. Hand, *Anna M. Ison, *Susan M. Krumplitsch, *Alison L. Maddeford, *Jonathan D. Marshall, Mario Moore, *William P. Nelson,

*David W. Price, Lauren N. Robinson, *Victoria Q. Smith, *Sriranga Veeraraghavan

Parker Bunt & Ainsworth: Andrew Thompson Gorham, Robert Christopher Bunt, Robert M. Parker

Day Casebeer Madrid & Batchelder LLP: James R. Batchelder, *Lloyd R. Day, Jr., *Paul S. Grewal, *Aaron R. Hand, *Anna M. Ison, *Susan M. Krumplitsch, *Alison L. Maddeford, *Christian E. Mammen, *Jonathan D. Marshall, Mario Moore, *William P. Nelson, *Jackie N. Nakamura, *Lee Patch, *David W. Price, Lauren N. Robinson, *Victoria Q. Smith, *Sriranga Veeraraghavan, *Bradley A. Waugh, *Eva Zei

Truelove Law Firm: *Justin Kurt Truelove

Morgan Lewis & Bockius, LLP: Mario Moore

Law Offices of Patricia L. Peden: *Patricia L. Peden

Patton, Tidwell & Schroeder: *Robert William Schroeder, III, *Nicholas H. Patton

*Terminated

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	vi
STATEMENT OF RELATED CASES	ix
I. JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT	1
II. STATEMENT OF THE ISSUES	1
III. STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	2
IV. STATEMENT OF THE FACTS	6
A. Versata’s Patents.....	6
1. The ’400 Patent	7
2. The ’350 Patent	7
B. SAP’s Software.....	10
1. SAP’s ERP and CRM Business Solutions	10
2. “Hierarchical Access” in SAP’s Software	13
3. Numbers Used by SAP’s Software	14
C. The Infringement Findings Below.....	14
1. The Trial	14
2. The Verdict and JMOL Decision on Infringement	17
3. SAP’s Redesign.....	19
D. Versata’s Software and the Market for Pricing Software.....	19
E. The Damages and Injunction Findings Below	23
1. Lost Profits	23
2. Reasonable Royalty.....	29

3.	The District Court’s Injunction	31
V.	SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT	35
VI.	ARGUMENT	37
A.	Standard of Review	37
B.	The District Court’s Holding that SAP’s Software Is “Capable of” Performing Certain Claimed Steps (or “Causes a Computer” to Perform Those Steps) Should Be Reversed Because SAP’s Software Will Not Perform the Claimed Operations Without Added Computer Instructions	38
C.	The District Court’s Holding that SAP’s Software Uses “Denormalized Numbers” Should Be Reversed	43
1.	SAP’s Software Does Not Satisfy the Agreed-upon Definition of “Denormalized Numbers”	43
2.	SAP’s Software Is, in All Relevant Respects, Identical to Prior-Art SAP Software that Versata Expressly Disclaimed	44
D.	The Lost Profits Award Should Be Set Aside	46
1.	Versata Failed to Establish Causation in Fact.....	46
2.	Versata Failed to Apply the <i>Panduit</i> Factors in a Single Consistent Market	50
3.	Versata Failed to Show Demand for Pricer During the Damages Period (<i>Panduit</i> Factor 1)	53
4.	Versata Failed to Prove Lost Profits with Reasonable Probability (<i>Panduit</i> Factor 4).....	54
a)	Versata Did Not Prove It Would Have Resumed Selling Pricer at All in April 2003, Much Less at the Same Rate as 1996-98	55

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.