

IN THE  
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

---

VERSATA SOFTWARE, INC. (formerly known as Trilogy Software, Inc.),  
VERSATA DEVELOPMENT GROUP, INC. (formerly known as  
Trilogy Development Group, Inc.), and VERSATA COMPUTER  
INDUSTRY SOLUTIONS, INC. (formerly known as Trilogy Computer  
Industry Solutions, Inc.),

*Plaintiffs-Cross Appellants,*

v.

SAP AMERICA, INC. and SAP AG,

*Defendants-Appellants.*

---

Appeals from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas in  
case no. 07-CV-0153, Magistrate Judge Charles Everingham.

---

**BRIEF OF PLAINTIFFS-CROSS APPELLANTS VERSATA SOFTWARE,  
INC., VERSATA DEVELOPMENT GROUP, INC., AND VERSATA  
COMPUTER INDUSTRY SOLUTIONS, INC.**

---

Scott L. Cole  
*Principal Attorney*  
Joel L. Thollander  
MCKOOL SMITH, P.C.  
300 W. 6th Street, Suite 1700  
Austin, TX 78701  
(512) 692-8700

Mike McKool  
Douglas Cawley  
MCKOOL SMITH, P.C.  
300 Crescent Court, Suite 1500  
Dallas, TX 75201  
(214) 978-4000

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs-Cross Appellants  
Versata Software, Inc., Versata  
Development Group, Inc., and Versata  
Computer Industry Solutions, Inc.*

May 29, 2012

---

---

## CERTIFICATE OF INTEREST

Versata Software, Inc., Versata Development Group, Inc., and Versata Computer Industry Solutions, Inc. certify the following:

1. The full name of every party or amicus represented by me is:

Versata Software, Inc. (formerly known as Trilogy Software, Inc.); Versata Development Group, Inc. (formerly known as Trilogy Development Group, Inc.); and Versata Computer Industry Solutions, Inc. (formerly known as Trilogy Computer Industry Solutions, Inc.).

2. The name of the real party in interest (if the party named in the caption is not the real party in interest) represented by me is:

Versata Software, Inc., Versata Development Group, Inc., and Versata Computer Industry Solutions, Inc.

3. All parent corporations and any publicly held companies that own 10 percent or more of the stock of the party or amicus curiae represented by me are:

Versata Software, Inc., Versata Development Group, Inc., and Versata Computer Industry Solutions, Inc. are wholly owned subsidiaries of Versata Enterprises, Inc.; and Versata Enterprises, Inc. is a wholly owned subsidiary of Trilogy, Inc.

4. The names of all law firms and the partners or associates that appeared for the party or amicus now represented by me in the trial court or agency or are expected to appear in this court are:

**MCKOOL SMITH P.C.:** Peter J. Ayers, Sam Baxter, Ada Brown, Joshua W. Budwin, Leah Buratti, Steven Callahan, Douglas Cawley, Scott L. Cole, Douglas Edwards, Laurie L. Fitzgerald, Kevin M. Kneupper, Mike McKool, Jr., Michael Perez, Steven Pollinger, John M. Shumaker, Rosemary Snider, Theodore Stevenson, III, Joel L. Thollander, James Willi

**AHMAD, ZAVITSANOS & ANAIPAKOS, P.C.:** Joseph Ahmad, Amir H. Alavi, Demetrios Anaipakos, Steven Mitby

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE OF INTEREST .....	i
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES .....	v
STATEMENT OF RELATED CASES .....	ix
I. STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION .....	1
II. STATEMENT OF THE ISSUES .....	1
III. STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	2
IV. STATEMENT OF THE FACTS .....	4
A. The Invention Solves a Widespread Problem and Generates Significant Profits Through Pricer Sales to SAP Customers.....	4
B. Reacting to the Competitive Threat, SAP Decides to Add Functionality Designed to Work Like Pricer to Its Enterprise Software. ....	7
C. SAP Promises, Then Delivers, Versata-Like Pricing— and Destroys Pricer’s Principal Market. ....	9
D. Infringement Is Found in the First Trial.....	11
E. SAP Refuses to Remove the Infringing Functionality.....	14
F. Damages Are Awarded, and an Injunction Entered, in the Second Trial. ....	15
V. SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT .....	19
VI. STANDARD OF REVIEW .....	21
VII. ARGUMENT .....	23
A. Substantial Evidence Supports the “As Shipped” Infringement Finding.....	23

1.	The evidence confirms that all computer instructions necessary for infringement are found in SAP’s software “as shipped.” .....	24
2.	SAP’s “as shipped” argument is erroneous, misleading, waived, and in conflict with settled law. ....	28
B.	Substantial Evidence Supports the “Denormalized Numbers” Infringement Finding. ....	34
1.	The evidence confirms that SAP’s software uses “denormalized numbers,” and determines their units and application at runtime. ....	34
2.	SAP’s “determined at runtime” argument is nonsensical and was soundly rejected at trial. ....	35
3.	SAP’s “disclaimer” argument is erroneous, misleading, and in conflict with settled law. ....	37
C.	Substantial Evidence Supports the Lost-Profits Award. ....	40
1.	The evidence supports each of the four <i>Panduit</i> factors. ....	41
a.	There is substantial evidence of demand. ....	42
b.	There is substantial evidence of the absence of noninfringing alternatives. ....	45
c.	There is substantial evidence of capacity. ....	46
d.	There is substantial evidence of the amount lost. ....	47
2.	The evidence supports the causation finding. ....	52
3.	SAP’s “market-shifting” argument is erroneous and misleading. ....	53
D.	Substantial Evidence Supports the Reasonable-Royalty Award. ....	55

E.	The Injunction Should Be Affirmed. ....	59
1.	The injunction against future maintenance and seat sales is proper.....	59
2.	The injunction against future maintenance is proportionate. ....	62
F.	JMOL Should Not Have Been Granted on the '400 Patent. ....	65
G.	Versata's Reasonable-Royalty Testimony Should Not Have Been Excluded. ....	68
VIII.	CONCLUSION AND RELIEF REQUESTED.....	70

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.