Filed on behalf of: Versata Development Group, Inc.

d,

DOCKET

Δ

Paper _____

By: Nancy J. Linck, Lead Counsel Martin M. Zoltick, Backup Counsel Rothwell, Figg, Ernst & Manbeck, P.C. 607 14th St., N.W., Suite 800 Washington, DC 20005 Phone: 202-783-6040 Facsimile: 202-783-6031 E-mail: <u>nlinck@rfem.com</u> <u>mzoltick@rfem.com</u>

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAP AMERICA, INC. ET AL. Petitioner

v.

VERSATA DEVELOPMENT GROUP, INC. Patent Owner

> Case CBM2012-00001 (MPT) Patent 6,553,350

DECLARATION OF MATTHIAS LIEBICH

VERSATA EXHIBIT 2091 SAP v. VERSATA CASE CBM2012-00001

LARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

The undersigned, Matthias Liebich, does hereby declare and state as follows:

1. I make the following declaration based upon my knowledge and belief.

I. Background and Qualifications

2. I earned a Diplom-Betriebswirt degree in Business Administration, with an emphasis on Trade, from the Berufsakademie Karlsruhe, in Karlsruhe, Germany, in 1991.

3. I have been working as a business software consultant on SAP-related projects since 1991. During that time, I have developed an expertise in several SAP modules, including R/2 RV (Sales and Distribution), Release 4.3H-4.3J and R/3 SD (Sales and Distribution), MM (Materials Management) and FI (Financial), Release 2.1D – ECC6.0. I am also a certified SAP SD consultant in R/3 3.0 Sales and Distribution (SD). In addition to my functional SAP experience I also have experience in SAP development (ABAP, DDIC). Besides my hands-on experience in these modules of SAP I have particular expertise with the pricing condition technique of the SD module.

4. Some of the companies for which I have consulted on SAP-related projects include The Coca Cola Company, Smith & Nephew, Georgia-Pacific Corporation, James River Corporation, Siemens Medical Systems, Sara Lee Corporation and Hercules Corporation. 5. I have authored 15 articles about SAP, and served as Associate Editor for Logistics and Pricing, for *ERPtips* magazine (formerly *SAPtips* magazine), a leading SAP-related magazine with worldwide distribution.

6. I am the author of "The Ultimate SAP Pricing Guide," a book that explains how pricing works in SAP.

7. Further aspects of my qualifications, background, and experience are outlined in my *curriculum vitae* attached as Appendix A to my declaration.

II. <u>The Patent Involved in This Proceeding</u>

 I am informed that the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (Board) granted a petition by SAP seeking covered business method review of U.S. Patent No. 6,553,350 (the '350 patent; SX 1001), filed on February 19, 1999 as U.S. Patent Application Ser. No. 09/253,427, which was a continuation of U.S. Patent Application No. 08/664,837, filed on June 17, 1996, now U.S. Patent No. 5,878,400 (the '400 patent).

9. I am informed that the '350 patent lists Thomas J. Carter of Austin, TX as the inventor and Trilogy Development Group, Inc. (Trilogy), of Austin, TX as the original assignee.

10. I am informed that the current owner of the '350 patent is Versata Development Group, Inc. (Versata).

III. Status as an Independent Expert Witness

11. I have been retained in this matter by Rothwell, Figg, Ernst & Manbeck, P.C. of Washington, D.C. (Rothwell Figg) to provide various opinions regarding the '350 patent. I am being compensated at my usual rate of \$350 per hour, plus expenses, which is my standard consulting fee, for my work in this matter. My compensation is not dependent on the outcome of this matter or on any of the opinions I provide below.

12. I have had no previous contact with Rothwell Figg or with the attorneys handling this proceeding.

13. I have had no previous contact with the inventor of the '350 patent, Trilogy, or Versata. With respect to SAP, I have worked with consultants from SAP on various client projects, attended SAP training classes at SAP in Germany and here in the U.S., and attended transportation demonstrations at SAP's headquarters.

IV. Materials Reviewed

14. In performing the analysis that is the subject of my testimony, I reviewed the '350 patent and its file history, as well as the file history of the related '400 patent, and various publically-available documents from the litigation in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas involving the '350 patent, styled *Versata Software, Inc. v. SAP America, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 2:07-cv-153

(the district court litigation). I have also reviewed: (1) the Petition for Post-Grant Review of the '350 patent (Petition), the Declaration of Michael Siegel, Ph.D. (Siegel Dec.), and the exhibits referred to in the Siegel Dec.; (2) Patent Owner Versata's Preliminary Response; (3) the Decision – Institution of Covered Business Method Review (Institution Decision); and (4) the Transcript of the March 1, 2013 Deposition of Michael Siegel, Ph.D. and the exhibits referred to in the deposition transcript. Additionally, I have reviewed the R/3 2.2 Online Documentation CDs (SX 1017) and documents that I obtained from searching the Internet about SAP's R/3 system. All of the materials that I considered are listed in Appendix B. I have also taken into account my own knowledge of pricing, in general, and the pricing functionality of SAP's SD module in particular, gained from over 20 years of experience in the field of computerized business systems and software, including its pricing functionality.

V. The Problems Addressed By The '350 Patent

15. Sometime prior to June 1996, Mr. Carter, like many other people in the pricing industry, recognized that the pricing software available at that time, including the pricing condition technique of the SD module of SAP's R/3 system, suffered from significant performance disadvantages.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.