

STEVEN E. KLEIN, OSB #051165
stevenklein@dwt.com
KALEY L. FENDALL, OSB #093509
kaleyfendall@dwt.com
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP
1300 S.W. Fifth Avenue, Suite 2400
Portland, Oregon 97201-5610
Telephone: (503) 241-2300
Facsimile: (503) 778-5299

Attorneys for Black Entertainment Television LLC

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF OREGON
AT PORTLAND

TYRONE BLOCKER,

PLAINTIFF,

v.

**BET, MYSPACE, and AMAZON.COM,
INC.,**

DEFENDANTS.

Case No. 3:17-cv-01406-AC

**DEFENDANT BLACK
ENTERTAINMENT TELEVISION
LLC'S MOTION TO DISMISS
PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT**

MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND.....	2
III. LEGAL STANDARD.....	4
IV. ARGUMENT	4
A. Plaintiff Fails to State a Claim for Copyright Infringement.	4
1. Plaintiff Has Failed to Adequately Plead Copyright Registration.....	4
2. Plaintiff Has Failed to Adequately Plead Ownership of Copyright.....	5
3. Plaintiff Has Failed to Allege Any Act of Copyright Infringement.	7
4. Plaintiff’s Copyright Claims Are Time-Barred.	7
B. Plaintiff Fails to State a Claim for Trademark Infringement.....	8
1. Plaintiff Fails to Allege Ownership of a Registered or Unregistered Trademark.	8
2. Plaintiff Has Failed to Allege Any Act of Trademark Infringement.....	9
3. Plaintiff’s Trademark Claims Are Time-Barred.	10
C. Plaintiff Fails to State a Claim for Trademark Dilution.	10
V. CONCLUSION.....	11

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Federal Cases	
<i>Aalmuhammed v. Lee</i> , 202 F.3d 1227 (9th Cir. 2000)	7
<i>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</i> , 556 U.S. 662 (2009).....	4
<i>Avery Dennison Corp. v. Sumpton</i> , 189 F.3d 868 (9th Cir. 1999)	11
<i>Aviva USA Corp. v. Vazirani</i> , 632 Fed. Appx. 885 (9th Cir. 2015).....	9
<i>Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly</i> , 550 U.S. 544 (2007).....	4
<i>Choyce v. SF Bay Area Independent Media Center</i> , 2013 WL 6234628 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 2, 2013).....	5
<i>Comnty. for Creative Non-Violence v. Reid</i> , 490 U.S. 730 (1989).....	5
<i>Cosmetic Ideas, Inc. v. IAC/Interactivecorp</i> , 606 F.3d 612 (9th Cir. 2010)	5
<i>Estrada v. Toyota Motor Sales U.S.A., Inc.</i> , 2009 WL 10671571 (C.D. Cal. Feb. 11, 2009).....	6
<i>Garcia v. Google, Inc.</i> , 786 F.3d 733 (9th Cir. 2015) (en banc)	6
<i>Jada Toys, Inc. v. Mattel, Inc.</i> , 518 F.3d 628 (9th Cir. 2008)	11
<i>Jarrow Formulas, Inc. v. Nutrition Now, Inc.</i> , 304 F.3d 829 (9th Cir. 2002)	10
<i>Johannsen v. Brown</i> , 797 F. Supp. 835 (D. Or. 1992)	10
<i>L.A. Gem & Jewelry Design, Inc. v. Ecommerce Innov., LLC</i> , 2017 WL 1535084 (C.D. Cal. Apr. 27, 2017)	3

Lee v. City of Los Angeles,
250 F.3d 668 (9th Cir. 2001)3

Perfect 10, Inc. v. Giganews, Inc.,
847 F.3d 657 (9th Cir. 2017)7

Peruta v. County of San Diego,
678 F. Supp. 2d 1046 (S.D. Cal. 2010).....3

Reed Elsevier, Inc. v. Muchnick,
559 U.S. 154 (2010).....4

Rice v. Fox Broad. Co.,
330 F.3d 1170 (9th Cir. 2003)5

Richlin v. Metro–Goldwyn–Mayer Pictures, Inc.,
531 F.3d 962 (9th Cir. 2008)6

Roley v. New World Pictures, Ltd.,
19 F.3d 479 (9th Cir. 1994)8

Ruggers, Inc. v. U.S.,
736 F. Supp. 2d 336 (D. Mass. 2010)10

Sengoku Works Ltd. v. RMC Intern., Ltd.,
96 F.3d 1217 (9th Cir. 1996)8

Sprewell v. Golden State Warriors,
266 F.3d 979 (9th Cir. 2001)4

Stephen W. Boney, Inc. v. Boney Services, Inc.,
127 F.3d 821 (9th Cir. 1997)9

Vasquez v. L. A. Cnty.,
487 F.3d 1246 (9th Cir. 2007)4

Federal Statutes

15 U.S.C. § 1114.....8, 9

15 U.S.C. § 1125(a)8, 9

15 U.S.C. § 1125(c)(1).....11

15 U.S.C. § 1125(c)(2)(A)11

17 U.S.C. § 101.....6

17 U.S.C. § 102.....	5
17 U.S.C. § 106.....	7
17 U.S.C. § 201(a)	5
17 U.S.C. § 411(a)	4
17 U.S.C. § 507(b).....	8
State Statutes	
Or. Rev. Stat. 12.110(1).....	10
Rules	
Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6).....	1, 4, 11

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.