

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF KINGS

-----X
LEONARDO GONZALEZ,

Plaintiff,

-against-

RED HOOK CONTAINER TERMINAL, LLC.,

Defendant.
-----X

**BILL OF COSTS AND
DISBURSEMENTS
WITH SUPPORTING
AFFIRMATION**

Index No.: 512988/2016

COSTS

Costs on Appeal to the Appellate Division.....\$250.00
(CPLR 8203[a])

DISBURSEMENTS

Reasonable expense of printing, serving and filing
Record on Appeal, Appellant's Brief,
and Appellant's Reply Brief (CLPL §830l(a)(6) \$1,813.69

TOTAL COSTS, FEES AND DISBURSEMENTS **\$2,063.69**

DUANE R. MORGAN, under penalty of perjury, hereby affirms:

1. I am an attorney, admitted to practice before the Courts of the State of New York, and I am a member of the firm of MORGAN LEVINE DOLAN, P.C., attorneys for plaintiff LEONARDO GONZALEZ.

2. The disbursements specified above have been incurred, are reasonable in amount, and are prescribed by the order of the Appellate Division dated September 16, 2020, (copy attached as Exhibit "A") and CPLR §8301. I attach as Exhibit "B" a copy of the bill representing the sums expended by the plaintiff for the disbursements, which consisted of appellate printing and related services.

MORGAN LEVINE DOLAN, P.C.



By: Duane R. Morgan
Attorneys for Plaintiff
18 East 41st Street, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10017
(212) 785-5115

TO: BETANCOURT, VAN HEMMEN,
GRECO & KENYON LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
151 Bodman Place, Suite 200
Red Bank, New Jersey 07701
(732) 530-4646

**Supreme Court of the State of New York
Appellate Division: Second Judicial Department**

D63457
Y/htr

_____AD3d_____

Submitted - May 19, 2020

RUTH C. BALKIN, J.P.
SYLVIA O. HINDS-RADIX
COLLEEN D. DUFFY
VALERIE BRATHWAITE NELSON, JJ.

2018-11769

DECISION & ORDER

Leonardo Gonzalez, respondent, v Red Hook
Container Terminal, LLC, appellant.

(Index No. 512988/16)

Betancourt, Van Hemmen, Greco & Kenyon LLC, New York, NY (Kristin K. Robbins and Jeanne-Marie D. Van Hemmen of counsel), for appellant.

Morgan Levine Dolan, P.C., New York, NY (Duane R. Morgan of counsel), for respondent.

In an action to recover damages for personal injuries, the defendant appeals from an order of the Supreme Court, Kings County (Lawrence Knipel, J.), dated August 16, 2018. The order, insofar as appealed from, in effect, denied that branch of the defendant’s motion which was to exclude any third parties from observing the plaintiff’s medical examination by the defendant’s expert.

ORDERED that the order is affirmed insofar as appealed from, with costs.

In July 2016, the plaintiff commenced this action against the defendant, Red Hook Container Terminal, LLC, seeking to recover damages for injuries he alleges he sustained in July 2015 when he fell approximately 10 to 15 feet from machinery at the Red Hook Terminal, which is owned and operated by the defendant. The plaintiff alleges, inter alia, that he sustained permanent brain injuries from the accident. The defendant sought a neuropsychological medical examination of the plaintiff to assess the plaintiff’s mental status and to evaluate the existence of any cognitive impairments. When the plaintiff appeared for the examination, he requested that an individual from IME Watchdog, Inc. (hereinafter the third-party observer), be permitted to observe the examination. The defendant’s doctor did not allow the third-party observer to be present and thus the plaintiff refused to proceed with the examination. The defendant then moved, inter alia, for an order

compelling the plaintiff to undergo an examination without a third-party observer. In an order dated August 16, 2018, the Supreme Court, in effect, denied that branch of the defendant's motion which was to exclude the presence of any third parties from observing the examination. In October 2018, the plaintiff underwent the examination and the third-party observer was present. The defendant now appeals the denial of that branch of its motion and seeks to conduct a second examination without the presence of the third-party observer.

A plaintiff is entitled to have his or her attorney or other legal representative present during an examination as long as that individual does not interfere with the conduct of the examination (see *Matter of Alexander L.*, 60 NY2d 329, 337; *Ponce v Health Ins. Plan of Greater N.Y.*, 100 AD2d 963, 964). In 2017, in *Henderson v Ross* (147 AD3d 915, 916), this Court determined that a plaintiff's nonlegal representative may also be present during the examination, as long as that representative does not interfere with the conduct of the examination. The First and Fourth Departments also have permitted a plaintiff to have a third-party observer or watchdog, as well as other nonlegal representatives, be present during that plaintiff's examination unless a defendant establishes a justification for excluding that third-party observer or nonlegal representative (see *Markel v Pure Power Boot Camp, Inc.*, 171 AD3d 28, 30; *Martinez v Pinard*, 160 AD3d 440, 440; *Santana v Johnson*, 154 AD3d 452, 452; *Marriott v Cappello*, 151 AD3d 1580, 1583).


Here, we agree with the Supreme Court's determination, in effect, denying that branch of the defendant's motion which was to preclude the third-party observer from attending the plaintiff's examination since the defendant failed to meet its burden of establishing that the third-party observer would interfere with the conduct of the plaintiff's examination (see *Henderson v Ross*, 147 AD3d at 916; *Guerra v McBean*, 127 AD3d 462, 462).

The parties' remaining contentions are without merit.

BALKIN, J.P., HINDS-RADIX, DUFFY and BRATHWAITE NELSON, JJ., concur.

SUPREME COURT, STATE OF NEW YORK
APPELLATE DIVISION SECOND DEPT.

ENTER:



Aprilanne Agostino
Clerk of the Court

I, APRILANNE AGOSTINO, Clerk of the Appellate Division of the Supreme Court, Second Judicial Department, do hereby certify that I have compared this copy with the original filed in my office on _____ and that this copy is a correct transcription of said original. SEP 16 2020
IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of this Court on SEP 16 2020



KINGS COUNTY CLERK
FILED
2020 OCT - 7 AM 11:15



PrintingHousePress

10 East 39th Street, 7th Floor
New York, NY 10016

Phone: (212) 719-0990 Fax: (212) 398-9253

To: Glenn P. Dolan, Esq.
Morgan Levine Dolan, P.C.
18 East 41st Street, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10017**Invoice #62703**

Please refer to invoice number with Payment

If this invoice is being forwarded to a third party for payment, e.g., Insurance Carrier, Law Firm, Client, etc., then please cc: to ar@phpny.com. Thank you.

Invoice Date	Net Terms	Appellate Consultant	Court	
4/8/2019	Net 30 Days	Eric Kuperman	Appellate Division - Second Department	
Leonardo Gonzalez v. Red Hook Container Terminal LLC Supplemental Record & Brief				
Quantity	Description	Unit Price	Total	
11.00	Record Pages (10 Copies) @	4.85	53.35	
1.00	Typeset Cover @	135.00	135.00	
1.00	Typeset Table of Contents @	90.00	90.00	
3.00	Head Notes @	5.00	15.00	
1.00	CPLR - 2105 Certification @	62.50	62.50	
1.00	CPLR - 5531 Statement @	62.50	62.50	
1.00	Paralegal Hour @	95.00	95.00	
1.00	Electronic File Production and Review @	150.00	150.00	
<u>Respondent's Brief (10 Copies)</u>				
1.00	22 Page Brief (includes covers & binding) @	400.00	400.00	
1.00	Electronic File Production and Review @	450.00	450.00	
1.00	Service and Filing (1st party only) @	95.00	95.00	
10.00	Perfect Bound Books @	5.75	57.50	

Subtotal: **1,665.85**Sales Tax: **147.84**Total Invoice Amount: **1,813.69**Payment Received: **1,813.69**Please remit payment by: **5/9/2019****Total Amount Due: Paid in Full**

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.