

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

RAW STORY MEDIA, INC., ALTERNET
MEDIA, INC.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

OPENAI, INC., OPENAI GP, LLC,
OPENAI, LLC, OPENAI OPKO LLC,
OPENAI GLOBAL LLC, OAI
CORPORATION, LLC, and OPENAI
HOLDINGS, LLC,

Defendants.

No. 1:24-cv-01514-CM

**PLAINTIFFS' MEMORANDUM OF LAW
IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	BACKGROUND	2
	A. Plaintiffs publish thousands of news articles online that contain CMI.....	2
	B. Defendants intentionally remove CMI from Plaintiffs’ news articles	3
III.	LEGAL STANDARDS	4
IV.	ARGUMENT	5
	A. Plaintiffs have Article III standing.....	5
	1. Plaintiffs’ injuries are concrete	5
	2. Plaintiffs’ injuries are particularized.....	8
	3. Even on Defendants’ theory, Plaintiffs have standing to seek an injunction	9
	B. Plaintiffs were “injured” under section 1203(a)	10
	C. Plaintiffs state a claim under section 1202(b)(1)	12
	1. Plaintiffs adequately allege the existence and removal of CMI from their articles	12
	2. Plaintiffs adequately allege scienter.....	21
V.	CONCLUSION.....	21

Cases

<i>A&M Recs., Inc. v. Napster, Inc.</i> , 239 F.3d 1004 (9th Cir. 2001).....	7
<i>Aaberg v. Francesca’s Collections, Inc.</i> , No. 17-cv-115, 2018 WL 1583037 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 27, 2018)	15, 20
<i>Alan Ross Mach. Corp. v. Machinio Corp.</i> , No. 17-cv-3569, 2019 WL 1317664 (N.D. Ill. Mar. 22, 2019).....	11
<i>Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly</i> , 550 U.S. 544 (2007)	5
<i>Bohnak v. Marsh & McLennan Cos., Inc.</i> , 79 F.4th 276 (2d Cir. 2023).....	5
<i>Calloway v. Marvel Ent. Grp., a Div. of Cadence Indus. Corp.</i> , No. 82-cv-8697, 1983 WL 1141, (S.D.N.Y. June 30, 1983).....	13
<i>Cole v. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.</i> , No. 11-cv-2090, 2012 WL 3133520 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 1, 2012).....	14
<i>Cortec Indus., Inc. v. Sum Holding L.P.</i> , 949 F.2d 42 (2d Cir. 1991)	21
<i>Devocean Jewelry LLC v. Associated Newspapers Ltd.</i> , No. 16-cv-2150, 2016 WL 6135662, (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 19, 2016)	15, 20
<i>Doe I v. Github</i> , 672 F. Supp. 3d 837 (N.D. Cal. 2023).....	9, 10, 20
<i>Dow Jones & Co., Inc. v. Int’l Sec. Exch., Inc.</i> , 451 F.3d 295 (2d Cir. 2006).....	12
<i>Erickson v. Pardus</i> , 551 U.S. 89 (2007)	5
<i>F. W. Woolworth Co. v. Contemp. Arts</i> , 344 U.S. 228 (1952).....	8
<i>Felix the Cat Prods., Inc. v. Cal. Clock Co.</i> , No. 04-cv-5714, 2007 WL 1032267 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 30, 2007)	14
<i>Fischer v. Forrest</i> , 968 F.3d 216 (2d Cir. 2020).....	12
<i>Food Mktg. Inst. v. Argus Leader Media</i> , 588 U.S. 427 (2019)	11
<i>Fox Film Corp. v. Doyal</i> , 286 U.S. 123 (1932)	7
<i>Free Speech Sys., LLC v. Menzel</i> , 390 F. Supp. 3d 1162 (N.D. Cal. 2019).....	14
<i>George & Co. LLC v. Target Corp.</i> , No. 21-cv-4254, 2022 WL 1407236 (E.D.N.Y. Jan. 27, 2022).....	17
<i>Hirsch v. CBS Broad. Inc.</i> , No. 17-cv-1860, 2017 WL 3393845 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 4, 2017).....	15, 16, 20
<i>Izmo, Inc. v. Roadster, Inc.</i> , No. 18-cv-06092, 2019 WL 13210561 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 26, 2019).....	20
<i>Jewell-La Salle Realty Co. v. Buck</i> , 283 U.S. 202 (1931).....	8

..

Joint Stock Co. Channel One Russia Worldwide v. Infomir LLC, No. 16-cv-1318, 2017 WL 696126 (S.D.N.Y. Feb. 15, 2017)..... 14

Murphy v. Millennium Radio Grp. LLC, No. 08-cv-1743, 2015 WL 419884 (D.N.J. Jan. 30, 2015)..... 17

Palmer Kane LLC v. Scholastic Corp., No. 12-cv-3890, 2013 WL 709276 (S.D.N.Y. Feb. 27, 2013)..... 14

Saba Cap. Cef Opportunities I, Ltd. v. Nuveen Floating Rate Income Fund, 88 F.4th 103 (2d Cir. 2023)..... 5

Sherwood 48 Assocs. v. Sony Corp. of Am., 76 F. App'x 389 (2d Cir. 2003)..... 14

Sierra Club v. Con-Strux, LLC, 911 F.3d 85 (2d Cir. 2018)..... 4

Sitnet LLC v. Meta Platforms, Inc., No. 23-cv-6389, 2023 WL 6938283 (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 20, 2023)..... 14

Sonterra Cap. Master Fund Ltd. v. UBS AG, 954 F.3d 529 (2d Cir. 2020)..... 4

Spokeo, Inc. v. Robins, 578 U.S. 330 (2016) 5, 6, 8

Steele v. Bongiovi, 784 F. Supp. 2d 94, 97-98 (D. Mass. 2011) 11

Stevens v. CoreLogic, 899 F.3d 666, 674 (9th Cir. 2018)..... 20

The New York Times Company v. Microsoft Corp., No. 23-cv-11195 (S.D.N.Y. Feb. 26, 2024) 2, 19

TransUnion LLC v. Ramirez, 594 U.S. 413 (2021) 5, 7, 8, 9

Tremblay v. OpenAI, Inc., No. 23-cv-03223, 2024 WL 557720 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 12, 2024)..... 17

Wolo Mfg. Corp. v. ABC Corp., 349 F. Supp. 3d 176 (E.D.N.Y. 2018)..... 14

Statutes

1202(b)(3)..... 11

17 U.S.C. § 106..... 6

17 U.S.C. § 106(1)..... 6

17 U.S.C. § 106(2)..... 6

17 U.S.C. § 1202(b)..... 12, 18

17 U.S.C. § 1202(b)(1) 6, 11, 15

17 U.S.C. § 1203(a) 10

17 U.S.C. § 1203(c) 6

...

17 U.S.C. § 504(c)(1)..... 8
17 U.S.C. § 504(b)..... 6
Pub. L. 105-304, 112 Stat. 2860 (1998)..... 6

Other Authorities

Act of May 31, 1790, ch. 15, § 2 7, 8
Molly Bohannon, Lawyer Used ChatGPT In Court—And Cited Fake Cases. A Judge Is Considering Sanctions (Forbes June 8, 2023) 1

Rules

Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(2)..... 4

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.