

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

MARVEL CHARACTERS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

PATRICK S. DITKO, in his capacity as
Administrator of the Estate of Stephen J.
Ditko,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 1:21-cv-07957

**COMPLAINT FOR
DECLARATORY RELIEF**

Plaintiff Marvel Characters, Inc. (“MCI”), for its complaint against defendant Patrick S. Ditko (“Patrick Ditko”), alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. Over its long history, MCI and its predecessors in interest (individually and collectively, “Marvel”) have engaged numerous writers and artists to contribute to Marvel’s comics. Stephen J. Ditko (“Steve Ditko”), who started working for Marvel in or around 1957, is one such artist. Marvel assigned Steve Ditko stories to illustrate, had the right to exercise creative control over his contributions, and paid him a per-page rate for his contributions. As a result, any contributions Steve Ditko made were at Marvel’s instance and expense, rendering his contributions work made for hire, to which the Copyright Act’s termination provisions do not apply. Nevertheless, defendant Patrick Ditko, in his capacity as the administrator of Steve Ditko’s estate, has served termination notices purportedly issued under that Act, in an invalid attempt to acquire certain rights to iconic Marvel comic book characters and stories published between 1962 and 1966.

2. In virtually identical circumstances, this Court, as affirmed by the Second Circuit,

granted Marvel summary judgment, finding that all of illustrator Jack Kirby's contributions between 1958 and 1963 were done at Marvel's instance and expense and thus were works made for hire. Because termination rights do not exist for works made for hire, the Kirby heirs' termination notices—which covered many of the very same comics that Patrick Ditko's termination notices do—were held to be invalid and of no legal force or effect. *See Marvel Worldwide, Inc. v. Kirby*, 777 F. Supp. 2d 720 (S.D.N.Y. 2011), *aff'd in relevant part*, 726 F.3d 119 (2d Cir. 2013).

3. Similarly, the District Court for the District of Delaware held in *In re Marvel Entertainment Grp.*, 254 B.R. 817 (D. Del. 2000), that contributions by an individual similarly situated during the same period at issue here were works made for hire. There, a writer claimed that he owned certain characters during Marvel's bankruptcy proceedings. The district court rejected that claim, holding that all of the writer's work was done at Marvel's instance and expense and was thus work made for hire.

4. *Kirby* and *In re Marvel Entertainment* dictate the same result here. As with the artists in those cases, Marvel had the right to exercise creative control over Steve Ditko's contributions and paid him a per-page rate for his work. As with the artists in those cases, when Steve Ditko worked for Marvel, he did so with the expectation that Marvel would pay him. And as with the artists in those cases, Steve Ditko never held the copyright in the famous Marvel characters and comics on which he worked; rather, Marvel does, as evidenced by the relevant copyright registration notices themselves. Marvel thus brings this declaratory relief action, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201, in response to Patrick Ditko's improper attempt to acquire Marvel's intellectual property.

PARTIES

5. MCI is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Burbank, California. MCI owns the intellectual property contained in the comic books and characters at issue.

6. Upon information and belief, Patrick Ditko is the brother of Steve Ditko and the administrator of Steve Ditko's estate.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. This is an action for declaratory relief brought under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201, *et seq.*, and under the Copyright Act of 1976, 17 U.S.C. §§ 101, *et seq.* This Court has federal question subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

8. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Patrick Ditko because he claims to have any termination rights possessed by Steve Ditko in his capacity as the administrator of Steve Ditko's estate. Upon information and belief, that estate is domiciled in New York.

9. Upon information and belief, venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) because Steve Ditko's estate is domiciled in this District in New York, New York. Venue is also proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) for the additional reason that a substantial part of the events giving rise to this action occurred in this District.

Specifically, most if not all of the contributions by Steve Ditko were made in this District, and all of the comic books at issue were published in this District.

ALLEGATIONS

10. At all relevant times, Steve Ditko was engaged as a comic book artist and writer by Marvel in New York, New York to contribute to various comic books (the "Works").

11. Any contributions Steve Ditko made were at Marvel's instance and expense.

12. Marvel editorial staff had the right to exercise creative control over Steve Ditko's contributions, and Marvel paid Steve Ditko a per-page rate for his contributions. When Steve Ditko worked for Marvel, he did so with the expectation that Marvel would pay him.

13. Steve Ditko did not obtain any ownership interest in or to any contributions he made.

14. Marvel registered copyrights in and to the Works, which are copyrightable subject matter under the copyright laws of the United States. The Register of Copyrights recorded the registrations, as set forth in Exhibit 1 to this complaint. Marvel has complied in all relevant respects with all laws governing copyright.

15. Between approximately May 28 and July 16, 2021, Patrick Ditko served four notices of termination on Marvel. Patrick Ditko claims to have a sufficient interest in the Works, as administrator of Steve Ditko's estate, to exercise purported rights of termination under the termination provisions of the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 304(c), and the regulations thereunder.

16. The notices specifically seek to "terminate all pre-January 1, 1978 exclusive or non-exclusive grants of the transfer or license of the renewal copyright(s) in and to" certain "illustrated comic book stor[ies]" that were allegedly "authored or co-authored" by Steve Ditko and published by Marvel between 1962 and 1966. The notices specify various effective termination dates, ranging from May 29 to July 17, 2023.

17. The comic book titles identified in the notices include *Amazing Spider-Man*, *Amazing Fantasy*, *Journey Into Mystery*, and *Strange Tales*. The notices purportedly terminate alleged grants of copyright interests in all characters, story elements, and "indicia" in the comic books, as well as "all material" allegedly "authored or co-authored by Stephen J. Ditko (in any and all medium(s), whenever created) that was reasonably associated with" these works and

“registered with the United States Copyright Office and/or published within the termination time window, as defined by 17 U.S.C. § 304(c), and the” purported “effective date of this Notice of Termination.”

18. True and correct copies of these notices are attached hereto as Exhibits 2 through 6.

COUNT I: ACTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF
[As to the Validity of All Notices]

19. Marvel repeats and realleges each allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 18 of this complaint as if fully set forth herein.

20. Patrick Ditko has served Marvel with the termination notices described above. On information and belief, Patrick Ditko submitted the notices for recordation with the U.S. Copyright Office.

21. The notices are invalid as a matter of law because the Works were created as works made for hire, and there are no termination rights for works made for hire. *See* 17 U.S.C. § 304(c).

22. The Works were made for hire because they were created at Marvel’s instance and expense.

23. Any contributions Steve Ditko made to the Works were done at the instance of Marvel’s editorial staff, who had the right to exercise creative control over Steve Ditko’s contributions.

24. Any contributions Steve Ditko made to the Works were done at Marvel’s expense because Marvel paid Steve Ditko a per-page rate for his contributions, Steve Ditko made those contributions to the Works with the expectation that Marvel would pay him, and Steve Ditko did not obtain any ownership interest in or to his contributions.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.