

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

Kowa Company, Ltd.,
Kowa Pharmaceuticals America, Inc., and
Nissan Chemical Industries, Ltd.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Aurobindo Pharma Limited and
Aurobindo Pharma USA Inc.,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 14-CV-2497 (PAC)

Kowa Company, Ltd.,
Kowa Pharmaceuticals America, Inc., and
Nissan Chemical Industries, Ltd.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Amneal Pharmaceuticals LLC,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 14-CV-2758 (PAC)

Kowa Company, Ltd.,
Kowa Pharmaceuticals America, Inc., and
Nissan Chemical Industries, Ltd.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Mylan Inc. and Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc.,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 14-CV-2647 (PAC)

Kowa Company, Ltd.,
Kowa Pharmaceuticals America, Inc., and
Nissan Chemical Industries, Ltd.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Orient Pharma Co., Ltd.,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 14-CV-2759 (PAC)

Kowa Company, Ltd.,
Kowa Pharmaceuticals America, Inc., and
Nissan Chemical Industries, Ltd.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Zydus Pharmaceuticals (USA) Inc., and Cadila
Healthcare Ltd. (dba Zydus Cadila),

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 14-CV-2760 (PAC)

Kowa Company, Ltd.,
Kowa Pharmaceuticals America, Inc., and
Nissan Chemical Industries, Ltd.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Sawai USA, Inc., and
Sawai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 14-CV-5575 (PAC)

DEFENDANTS' JOINT RESPONSIVE CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	ii
GLOSSARY OF TERMS	iv
PRELIMINARY STATEMENT	1
ARGUMENT	3
I. Federal Circuit Precedent Mandates Resolution of the Parties’ Claim Construction Disputes.....	3
II. Defendants Are Not Seeking an Advisory Opinion.....	5
A. Construing the Disputed Terms During Claim Construction Proceedings Would Not Result in an Advisory Opinion.....	5
B. The Case Law on Which Plaintiffs Rely Is Inapplicable.....	10
III. The ‘336 Patent.....	12
A. Construction of the Disputed Claim Term Is Necessary.....	12
B. Plaintiffs’ Proposed Construction of the Disputed Claim Term in the ‘336 Patent Is Unsupported and Inconsistent with the Understanding of a Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	13
IV. The ‘477 Patent.....	19
CONCLUSION.....	22

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Federal Cases

<i>Bayer AG v. Elan Pharm. Research Corp.</i> , 212 F.3d 1241 (Fed. Cir. 2000).....	7
<i>Best Mgmt. Prods. v. New England Fiberglass, L.L.C.</i> , No. 07-151-JL, 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 39462 (D.N.H. May 12, 2008)	12
<i>Endo Pharm. Inc. v. Mylan Pharm. Inc.</i> , No. 11-717 (JEI/KMW), 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 111004 (D. Del. Aug. 7, 2013).....	16
<i>Ill. Computer Research LLC v. HarperCollins Publishers, Inc.</i> , No. 10 Civ. 9124(KBF), 2012 WL 163801 (S.D.N.Y. Jan 19, 2012)	6
<i>In re Cuozzo Speed Techs.</i> , 787 F.3d 1271 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	9
<i>Infosint, S.A. v. H. Lundbeck A/S</i> , 603 F. Supp. 2d 748 (S.D.N.Y. 2009).....	17, 18
<i>Jang v. Boston Scientific Corp.</i> , 532 F.3d 1330 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	10, 11
<i>Kyocera Wireless Corp. v. Int’l Trade Comm’n</i> , 545 F.3d 1340 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	8
<i>Largan Precision Co. v. Genius Elec. Optical Co.</i> , No. 13-cv-02502-JD, 2014 WL 5358426 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 20, 2014)	9
<i>MaxLinear, Inc. v. Silicon Labs, Inc.</i> , Nos. 12-CV-1161-H-MMD, 12-CV-1765-H-MMD, 2013 WL 3927739 (S.D. Cal. May 16, 2013).....	4
<i>NanoEnTek, Inc. v. Bio-Rad Labs., Inc.</i> , No. C-11-06237 JCS, 2013 WL 256018 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 23, 2013)	4
<i>O2 Micro Int’l Ltd. v. Beyond Innovation Tech. Co.</i> , 521 F.3d 1351 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	3, 4, 5
<i>Pfizer Inc. v. Teva Pharm. USA, Inc.</i> , 555 F. App’x 961 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	18
<i>Pfizer, Inc. v. Ranbaxy Labs. Ltd.</i> , 457 F.3d 1284 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	18
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	8, 9

..

Probatter Sports, LLC v. Sports Tutor Inc.,
 No. 3:05-CV-01975 (VLB), 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS, 43124
 (D. Conn. Mar. 31, 2014)..... 12

Program Rewards Solutions LLC v. Points Int’l Ltd.,
 No. 10 Civ. 1561(RJS), 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 12970 (S.D.N.Y. Jan 13, 2012)..... 4, 9

Rambus, Inc. v. LSI Corp.,
 Nos. C 10-05446 RS, C 10-05449 RS, 2012 WL 4466578
 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 26, 2012) 5

SmithKline Beecham Corp. v. Apotex Corp.,
 403 F.3d 1331 (Fed. Cir. 2005)..... 18

Superior Indus. v. Masaba, Inc.,
 553 F. App’x 986 (Fed. Cir. 2014) 11

Vivid Techs., Inc. v. Am. Sci. & Eng’g, Inc.,
 200 F.3d 795 (Fed. Cir. 1999)..... 11

Westvaco Corp. v. Viva Magnetics Ltd.,
 No. 00 Civ. 9399 (LTS)(KNF), 2002 U.S. Dist. Lexis 17177
 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 17, 2002)..... 12

Federal Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 102..... 10

35 U.S.C. § 103..... 10

35 U.S.C. § 112..... 2, 7, 10

...

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.