

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

Kowa Company, Ltd.,  
Kowa Pharmaceuticals America, Inc., and  
Nissan Chemical Industries, Ltd.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Aurobindo Pharma Limited and  
Aurobindo Pharma USA Inc.,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 14-CV-2497 (PAC)

Kowa Company, Ltd.,  
Kowa Pharmaceuticals America, Inc., and  
Nissan Chemical Industries, Ltd.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Amneal Pharmaceuticals, LLC,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 14-CV-2758 (PAC)

Kowa Company, Ltd.,  
Kowa Pharmaceuticals America, Inc., and  
Nissan Chemical Industries, Ltd.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Mylan Inc. and Mylan Pharmaceuticals  
Inc.,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 14-CV-2647 (PAC)

Kowa Company, Ltd.,  
Kowa Pharmaceuticals America, Inc., and  
Nissan Chemical Industries, Ltd.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Zydus Pharmaceuticals (USA) Inc., and  
Cadila Healthcare Ltd. (dba Zydus Cadila),

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 14-CV-2760 (PAC)

Kowa Company, Ltd.,  
Kowa Pharmaceuticals America, Inc., and  
Nissan Chemical Industries, Ltd.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Orient Pharma Co., Ltd.,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 14-CV-2759 (PAC)

Kowa Company, Ltd.,  
Kowa Pharmaceuticals America, Inc., and  
Nissan Chemical Industries, Ltd.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Sawai USA, Inc., and  
Sawai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 14-CV-5575 (PAC)

**UNOPPOSED MOTION TO EXTEND DISCOVERY DEADLINE**

Plaintiffs Kowa Company, Ltd., Kowa Pharmaceuticals America, Inc., and Nissan Chemical Industries, Ltd., respectfully move that the following discovery deadline set forth under the Civil Case Management Plan and Scheduling Order entered by the Court on October 17, 2014 (*see* ECF No. 43, in Civil Action No. 14-cv-2497) (“10/17/14 Scheduling Order”) in the above-captioned actions be modified as follows:

Event	Current Deadline	Proposed Modified Deadline
• <i>Markman</i> – jointly file a Joint Disputed Claim Terms Chart pursuant to Local Patent Rule 11	April 6, 2015	April 10, 2015

No other dates or deadlines set forth in the 10/17/14 Scheduling Order shall be altered by the present motion. The parties have not previously requested an extension to the foregoing deadline, and have made one previous request for extension of two dates with regard to the 10/17/14 Scheduling Order, which was granted.

Counsel for all defendants have indicated that they do not oppose the present motion.<sup>1/</sup>

The present motion is necessitated because counsel for the parties have not yet been able to agree on a Joint Disputed Claim Terms Chart as required by the schedule. Counsel for the parties have met and conferred in an attempt to prepare the Chart, but have unable to do so to date. The time for preparing and filing a Joint Disputed Claim Terms Chart was somewhat compressed by the extension of the prior two dates,<sup>2/</sup> and has been further complicated by the fact that the date for submission of the Chart was inadvertently set for the day after Easter.

<sup>1/</sup> The Apotex case caption is not included in these papers because no *Markman* issues are in dispute in that case.

<sup>2/</sup> The reason the time was compressed was as a result of plaintiffs’ consent to the defendants’ request to file the February 27th joint stipulation to move the earlier *Markman* deadlines to accommodate the defendants’ scheduling conflicts. Plaintiffs have been trying for days to get the defendants to consent to file a joint stipulation to move the date which is the subject of this motion. Despite plaintiffs’ previous cooperation and consent to defendants’ previous request to file a joint stipulation, the defendants have refused to join in a corresponding stipulation, thus necessitating the present motion.

The parties are working on scheduling a further meet and confer in an effort to see if any issues can be resolved.

It is respectfully requested that the Court grant the present motion, and move the date for filing a Joint Disputed Claim Terms Chart four days, from April 6, 2015 to April 10, 2015.

Dated: April 3, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

s/David G. Conlin

Jennifer L. Dereka  
MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS,  
GLOVSKY AND POPEO, P.C.  
Chrysler Center  
666 Third Avenue  
New York, NY 10017  
(212) 935-3000  
JLDereka@mintz.com

David G. Conlin (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Kathleen B. Carr (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Adam P. Samansky  
MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY  
AND POPEO P.C.  
One Financial Center  
Boston, MA 02111  
(617) 542-6000  
DGConlin@mintz.com  
KBCcarr@mintz.com  
APSamansky@mintz.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*  
*Kowa Company, Ltd.*  
*Kowa Pharmaceuticals America, Inc. and*  
*Nissan Chemical Industries, Ltd.*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, David G. Conlin, hereby certify that on this 3rd day of April, 2015, I caused to be served a true and accurate copy of the foregoing Unopposed Motion to Extend Discovery Deadline by first class mail and by email upon:

Constance S. Huttner (chuttner@buddlerner.com)  
Caroline Sun (csun@buddlerner.com)  
BUDD LARNER, PC  
150 John F. Kennedy Parkway  
Short Hills, NJ 07078-2703

*Attorneys for Defendant,  
Amneal Pharmaceuticals LLC*

H. Keeto Sabharwal (keetos@skgf.com)  
Chandrika Vira (cvira@skgf.com)  
Paul Ainsworth (painsworth@skgf.com)  
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX, PLLC  
1100 New York Avenue NW  
Washington, D.C. 20005

*Attorneys for Defendants  
Aurobindo Pharma Ltd. and  
Aurobindo Pharma USA Inc.*

Arnold B. Calmann (abc@saiber.com)  
SAIBER LLC  
One Gateway Center, Suite 1000  
Newark, New Jersey 07102

Jakob B. Halpern (jbh@saiber.com)  
SAIBER LLC  
18 Columbia Turnpike, Suite 200  
Florham Park, NJ 07932

and

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.