Exhibit F

1	
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
4	x
5	NETWORK-1 TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
6	Plaintiff,
7	Civil Action No.
8	-against- 1:14-cv-02396-PGG
9	
10	GOOGLE, INC, and YOUTUBE, LLC,
11	Defendants.
12	x
13	May 28, 2015, 2014
14	9:10 a.m.
15	** OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY **
16	VOLUME I
17	Confidential Videotaped Deposition of
18	DAVID ERB, taken by the Plaintiffs, pursuant
19	to Notice and Rule 30(b)(6) Notice, at the
20	offices of SKADDEN ARPS SLATE MEAGHER & FLOM
21	LLP, Four Times Square, New York, New York,
22	before David Levy, CSR, RPR, CLR, a Notary
23	Public of the State of New York.
24	
25	
Care & Montellin POE die Research 2018 Physiology 2 art	
	1

1	Erb - Confidential - Outside Counsel Only
2	Q. And first of all, did you assist in
3	preparing the list of alleged alternatives that
4	are provided in response to interrogatory number
5	13?
6	A. I consulted with counsel in in a
7	discussion about this general question.
8	Q. Okay. Now, I'd like to, what I'd like
9	to do is go through each of these for a few
10	moments. And the first one that's listed here,
11	which is on, begins around the middle of page 20,
12	refers to locating the servers running the accused
13	instrumentalities or a portion of the accused
14	instrumentalities outside of the United States, do
15	you see that?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Do you have any understanding of what
18	that would mean in terms of an alternative to the
19	way the Google system is currently operated?
20	A. I think so.
21	Q. What would that mean?
22	A. I think that would mean running, for
23	example, the fingerprinting and/or the match
24	servers and/or the claiming logic on, in data
25	centers that are outside the United States.

259

1	Erb - Confidential - Outside Counsel Only
2	Q. Why doesn't Google currently do that?
3	A. Because we first, we have no reason
4	to do that. And second, because there are some
5	minor advantages to running servers close to where
б	the user uploads give it to us.
7	Q. Where are those advantages?
8	A. We avoid the delays and the and the
9	network traffic to transport the video data from
10	the United States to a data center in, for
11	example, Europe.
12	Q. And would implementing a system where
13	all the servers running the ContentID system were
14	outside the U.S also limit the redundancy
15	available for load balancing?
16	A. No.
17	Q. Why not?
18	A. Because we have multiple data centers
19	in Europe and Asia.
20	Q. And why aren't you using those
21	multiple data centers for running the ContentID
22	system now?
23	A. Because we have no reason to.
24	Q. What would be the cost to switch in
25	this manner?

260

1	Erb - Confidential - Outside Counsel Only
2	A. To the best of my knowledge, there
3	would be no cost associated with it except for the
4	labor, maybe two days' worth of engineering time,
5	for someone to actually configure the system in a
6	different data center and to and bring up all
7	the relevant servers, build the database or
8	replicate it to the build the fingerprint store
9	and the reference index in the appropriate new
10	data center.
11	Q. Did you do any analysis or
12	investigation to determine whether such an
13	alternative would or would not be infringing?
14	A. No.
15	MR. NEMEC: I just caution the witness
16	not to reveal any attorney-client
17	communications in an answer.
18	Q. So you're not you have no
19	information one way or the other on whether that
20	would in fact be non-infringing; your ability to
21	provide testimony about it is limited to the
22	feasibility of such an alternative system, is that
23	fair?
24	A. My knowledge and testimony is only
25	about the feasibility of of making such a

261