Case 1:14-cv-02396-PGG-SN Document 234-9 Filed 11/11/20 Page 1 of 6

# Exhibit 7

**DOCKET A L A R M** Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

#### Case 1:14-cv-02396-PGG-SN Document 234-9 Filed 11/11/20 Page 2 of 6

9/25/2019

DOCKET

Network-1 Technologies, v. Google LLC and Youtube LLC Confidential - Outside Attorneys' Eyes Only Jim Schrempp

Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

NETWORK-1 TECHNOLOGIES, INC., PLAINTIFF,

vs. No. 14 Civ. 2396 (PGG) GOOGLE LLC AND YOUTUBE, LLC, 14 Civ. 9558 (PGG) DEFENDANTS.

CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF JIM SCHREMPP Wednesday, September 25, 2019

Reported By: KATHLEEN WILKINS, CSR #10068, RPR-RMR-CRR-CCRR-CLR-CRC

BE IT REMEMBERED that on Wednesday, September 25, 2019, commencing at the hour of 11:04 a.m. thereof, at CROWELL & MORING, Three Embarcadero Center, 26th Floor, San Francisco, California, before me, Kathleen A. Wilkins, RPR-RMR-CRR-CCRR-CLR-CRC, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, in and for the State of California, personally appeared JIM SCHREMPP, a witness in the above-entitled court and cause, who, being by me first duly sworn, was thereupon examined as a witness in said action.

> DIGITAL EVIDENCE GROUP 1730 M Street, NW, Suite 812 Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 232-0646

### Case 1:14-cv-02396-PGG-SN Document 234-9 Filed 11/11/20 Page 3 of 6

### 9/25/2019

Г

DOCKE.

Α

Network-1 Technologies, v. Google LLC and Youtube LLC Confidential - Outside Attorneys' Eyes Only

Jim Schrempp

|    | Page 161  |
|----|---|
| 1  | indexed search of the database?                   |
| 2  | A. Well, I think on one of these documents        |
| 3  | didn't we just see one of these documents where I |
| 4  | said that we were running an indexed search? I'm  |
| 5  | getting you know, my didn't one of these          |
| 6  | announcements to people, didn't I say we've now   |
| 7  | improved and we've got an indexed search or       |
| 8  | something?  |
| 9  | Q. If you're thinking of a particular             |
| 10 | document, please go ahead and take your time. I   |
| 11 | don't recall that, but I'm not sure what document |
| 12 | you're thinking of.                               |
| 13 | A. Could someone else help me with the            |
| 14 | MR. RAMSEY: Unfortunately, that's not the         |
| 15 | way it works.                                     |
| 16 | THE WITNESS: Oh, okay. Thanks.                    |
| 17 | Okay. I think it's just that we say it's          |
| 18 | faster and bigger, but we don't say I didn't      |
| 19 | say yep. I don't recall right now having said     |
| 20 | that, but yeah.                                   |
| 21 | BY MR. LEDAHL:                                    |
| 22 | Q. And am I correct that you didn't provide       |

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

### Case 1:14-cv-02396-PGG-SN Document 234-9 Filed 11/11/20 Page 4 of 6

### Network-1 Technologies, v. Google LLC and Youtube LLC Confidential - Outside Attorneys' Eyes Only 9/25/2019

Jim Schrempp

|    | Page 162  |
|----|---|
| 1  | users of Clango with source code for any portion of |
| 2  | the system. Is that right?                          |
| 3  | A. We did not provide users of Clango with          |
| 4  | source code.  |
| 5  | Q. And the binary code that they had wouldn't       |
| 6  | tell them one way or the other how the search was   |
| 7  | being conducted, for example; is that right?        |
| 8  | MR. RAMSEY: Objection. Vague.                       |
| 9  | THE WITNESS: That is correct.                       |
| 10 | BY MR. LEDAHL:                                      |
| 11 | Q. And that search wasn't conducted on their        |
| 12 | computers, correct?                                 |
| 13 | A. Correct.   |
| 14 | Q. So what was sent from the client side to         |
| 15 | an Audible Magic server?                            |
| 16 | A. We sent an XML package that contained            |
| 17 | information about the client times. It contained a  |
| 18 | fingerprint that we had computed from an audio      |
| 19 | sample, and just various other metadata. You know,  |
| 20 | things that we what the probably how much           |
| 21 | memory the PC had, how much whatever we could get   |
| 22 | from kind of Windows system you know, system        |
|    |   |

DOCKE. ARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Δ

### Case 1:14-cv-02396-PGG-SN Document 234-9 Filed 11/11/20 Page 5 of 6

### 9/25/2019 Network-1 Technologies, v. Google LLC and Youtube LLC Confidential - Outside Attorneys' Eyes Only

Jim Schrempp

|    | Page 177   |
|----|--|
| 1  | Jim Schrempp. The time is 2:30 p.m., and we're off   |
| 2  | the record.  |
| 3  | (Whereupon, a recess was taken.)                     |
| 4  | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the                     |
| 5  | beginning of Media Unit Number 3 in the videotaped   |
| 6  | deposition of Jim Schrempp. The time is 2:40 p.m.,   |
| 7  | and we're on the record.                             |
| 8  | MR. RAMSEY: And, Counsel, before we get              |
| 9  | started, I just before I forget, I want to           |
| 10 | designate the entirety of today's transcript as      |
| 11 | confidential, outside counsel eyes' only. Thank      |
| 12 | you.   |
| 13 | MR. LEDAHL: Understood. We may need to               |
| 14 | take up specifics of that at some future time, but I |
| 15 | understand.  |
| 16 | MR. RAMSEY: Absolutely agree. We can                 |
| 17 | take up portions as necessary as we proceed.         |
| 18 | BY MR. LEDAHL:                                       |
| 19 | Q. Mr. Schrempp, I think because I didn't            |
| 20 | start out, there were a couple questions I would     |
| 21 | have wanted to ask you at the outset of the          |
| 22 | deposition that I'll just hit now quickly.           |
|    |  |

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET

# DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.