

Exhibit 1 (Partially Redacted)

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

NETWORK-1 TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Plaintiff,

- against -

GOOGLE LLC and YOUTUBE, LLC

Defendants.

14 Civ. 2396 (PGG)

14 Civ. 9558 (PGG)

**HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
OUTSIDE ATTORNEY'S EYES
ONLY**

EXPERT REPORT OF DR. TREVOR DARRELL

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	QUALIFICATIONS	4
III.	COMPENSATION	6
IV.	MATERIALS CONSIDERED	6
V.	LEGAL PRINCIPLES OF INVALIDITY	7
A.	Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art	7
B.	Presumption of Validity	7
C.	Anticipation	8
D.	Obviousness	9
E.	Written Description and Enablement	12
F.	Patentable Subject Matter	13
VI.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	13
VII.	TECHNOLOGICAL BACKGROUND	14
A.	The Internet and Content Distribution	14
B.	Media Content Recognition/Identification	26
I.	<i>Extracting features from audio</i>	28
II.	<i>Extracting features from images and video</i>	36
III.	<i>Comparison of query fingerprints to reference fingerprints</i>	44
IV.	<i>Faster search algorithms</i>	48
VIII.	THE PATENTS-IN-SUIT	57
IX.	THE PRIOR ART SYSTEMS OVER WHICH THE ASSERTED CLAIMS OF THE PATENTS-IN-SUIT ARE INVALID	64

A. Clango	64
B. FreeAmp	76
X. THE INVALIDITY OF THE '988 PATENT	88
A. Anticipation of Claim 17	88
<i>I. Anticipation by Clango</i>	89
<i>i. A method for associating an electronic work with an action, the electronic work comprising at least one of audio and video, the method comprising</i>	90
<i>ii. electronically extracting features from the electronic work</i>	93
<i>iii. electronically determining an identification of the electronic work based on the extracted features</i>	99
<i>iv. wherein the identification is based on a non-exhaustive search identifying a neighbor</i>	102
<i>v. electronically determining an action based on the identification of the electronic work and electronically performing the action</i>	118
<i>vi. wherein the non-exhaustive search is sublinear</i>	121
<i>vii. Clango Summary</i>	123
B. Obviousness of Claim 17	124
<i>I. FreeAmp</i>	124
<i>i. A method for associating an electronic work with an action, the electronic work comprising at least one of audio and video, the method comprising</i>	124
<i>ii. electronically extracting features from the electronic work</i>	129
<i>iii. electronically determining an identification of the electronic work based on the extracted features</i>	134
<i>iv. wherein the identification is based on a non-exhaustive search identifying a neighbor</i>	137

v. <i>electronically determining an action based on the identification of the electronic work and electronically performing the action.</i>	147
vi. <i>wherein the non-exhaustive search is sublinear</i>	151
vii. <i>Obviousness of Claim 17 over FreeAmp</i>	154
II. <i>FreeAmp in combination with Arya</i>	154
i. <i>The Arya paper suggests a non-exhaustive, sublinear search to identify a neighbor</i>	155
ii. <i>A POSA would have been motivated to combine Arya with FreeAmp, with a reasonable expectation of success</i>	160
III. <i>Chen in combination with one of Clango or Arya</i>	170
i. <i>A method for associating an electronic work with an action, the electronic work comprising at least one of audio and video, the method comprising</i>	170
ii. <i>electronically extracting features from the electronic work</i>	172
iii. <i>electronically determining an identification of the electronic work based on the extracted features</i>	174
iv. <i>wherein the identification is based on a non-exhaustive search identifying a neighbor</i>	175
v. <i>electronically determining an action based on the identification of the electronic work and electronically performing the action</i>	177
vi. <i>wherein the non-exhaustive search is sublinear</i>	179
vii. <i>Motivation to combine and reasonable expectation of success</i>	179
C. Claim 17 is Directed to Ineligible Subject Matter	182
XI. THE INVALIDITY OF THE '237 PATENT	186
A. Obviousness of Claim 33	186
I. <i>Clango</i>	187

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.