

Exhibit 15

Paper No. 1
Date Filed: December 3, 2014

Filed on behalf of: Google Inc.

By:
James J. Elacqua
james.elacqua@skadden.com
(650) 470-4510

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Google Inc.
Petitioner,
v.
Network-1 Technologies, Inc.
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-00347
U.S. Patent 8,010,988

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT NO. 8,010,988 UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | <u>Page</u> |
|---|-------------|
| I. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1) | 1 |
| II. PAYMENT OF FEES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.103 | 1 |
| III. GROUNDS FOR STANDING UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a) | 2 |
| IV. SUMMARY OF CHALLENGE AND RELIEF REQUESTED | 2 |
| V. SUMMARY OF THE '988 PATENT | 3 |
| A. Algorithms for Automatic Content Recognition | 3 |
| B. Summary of the '988 Claims | 3 |
| C. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art of the '988 Patent | 5 |
| D. Claim Construction Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3) | 5 |
| VI. ANALYSIS OF GROUNDS FOR TRIAL..... | 8 |
| A. Ground 1: Claims 1-3, 7-9, 13-17, 21-23, 28, 30-31, 40, 49, and 51 of the '988 Patent Are Anticipated By Ghias Under U.S.C. § 102(b) | 8 |
| 1. Summary of Ghias | 8 |
| 2. Ghias Discloses Every Element of Claims 1-3, 7-9, 13- 17, 21-23, 28, 30-31, 40, 49, and 51 of the '988 Patent | 9 |
| B. Ground 2: Claims 1-2, 7-9, 13-16, 21-23, 27-33, 38, 40-42, 47, 49, and 51 Are Anticipated By Wood Under U.S.C. § 102(e)..... | 16 |
| 1. Summary of Wood..... | 16 |
| 2. Wood Discloses Every Element of Claims 1-2, 7-9, 13- 16, 21-23, 27-33, 38, 40-42, 47, 49, and 51 | 16 |
| C. Ground 3: Claims 1-3, 7-17, 21-34, 37-38, 40-43, 46-47, and 49-52 Patent Are Obvious Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 Over Levy in view of Arya | 23 |
| 1. Summary of Levy | 24 |
| 2. Summary of Arya..... | 25 |
| 3. The Combination of Levy and Arya Discloses Every Limitation of Claims 1-3, 7-17, 21-34, 37-38, 40-43, 46- 47, and 49-52 | 25 |
| 4. A Person Having Ordinary Skill in the Art Would Have Been Motivated to Combine Levy with Arya..... | 27 |
| D. Ground 4: Claims 15-17, 21-22, 24-25, 27-28, 31-32, 37, 40-41, and 46 of the '988 Patent Are Obvious Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 Over Iggulden in view of Böhm | 36 |
| 1. Summary of Iggulden..... | 36 |
| 2. Summary of Böhm..... | 38 |

| | | |
|------|--|----|
| 3. | The Combination of Iggulden and Böhm Discloses Every Limitation of Claims 15-17, 21-22, 24-25, 27-28, 31-32, 37, 40-41, and 46 | 39 |
| 4. | A Person Having Ordinary Skill in the Art Would Have Been Motivated to Combine Iggulden with Böhm | 41 |
| E. | Ground 5: Claims 1-3, 7, 9, 13-17, 21, 23, 27-33, 38, 40-42, 47, 49, and 51 of the '988 Patent Are Anticipated By Iwamura | 46 |
| 1. | Summary of Iwamura | 46 |
| 2. | Iwamura Discloses Every Element of Claims 1-3, 7, 9, 13-17, 21, 23, 27-33, 38, 40-42, 47, 49, and 51 | 46 |
| F. | Ground 6: Claims 8, 10-12, 22, 24-26, 50, and 52 of the '988 Patent Are Obvious Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 Over Ghias | 54 |
| G. | Ground 7: Claims 8, 10-12, 22, 24-26, 50, and 52 of the '988 Patent Are Obvious Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 Over Wood..... | 57 |
| VII. | CONCLUSION..... | 60 |

..

EXHIBIT LIST

- 1001** U.S. Patent No. 8,010,988 to Cox
- 1002** Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 8,010,988
- 1003** Visual Summary of Petition Grounds
- 1004** December 3, 2014 Declaration of Dr. Pierre Moulin
- 1005** Curriculum Vitae of Dr. Pierre Moulin
- 1006** Sunil Arya, et al., "An Optimal Algorithm for Approximate Nearest Neighbor Searching in Fixed Dimensions" ("Arya")
- 1007** Christian Böhm, et al., "Efficient Similarity Search in Digital Libraries" ("Böhm")
- 1008** U.S. Patent No. 7,444,353 ("Chen")
- 1009** U.S. Patent No. 6,970,886 ("Conwell")
- 1010** U.S. Patent No. 5,874,686 ("Ghias")
- 1011** U.S. Patent No. 6,597,405 ("Iggulden")
- 1012** U.S. Patent No. 6,188,010 ("Iwamura")
- 1013** U.S. Patent No. 6,505,160 ("Levy")
- 1014** U.S. Patent No. 6,098,106 ("Philyaw")
- 1015** U.S. Patent No. 7,743,092 ("Wood")
- 1016** Prosecution History of U.S. Patent App. No. 09/438,469
- 1017** Timo Raita, "Tuning the Boyer–Moore–Horspool String Searching Algorithm"
- 1018** Aristides Gionis, et al., "Similarity Search in High Dimensions via Hashing"
- 1019** Plaintiff Network-1 Technologies, Inc.'s Responses to Defendants Google, Inc. and YouTube, LLC's First Set of Interrogatories (Nos.

...

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.