Exhibit 10

Paper No. 1

Date Filed: December 3, 2014

Filed on behalf of: Google Inc.

By:

James J. Elacqua james.elacqua@skadden.com (650) 470-4510

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Google Inc. Petitioner,

v.

Network-1 Technologies, Inc. Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-00345 U.S. Patent 8,205,237

PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,205,237 UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319



TABLE OF CONTENTS

			<u>Page</u>				
I.	MA	NDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1)	1				
II.		YMENT OF FEES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.103					
III.	GRO	GROUNDS FOR STANDING UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)					
IV.	SUMMARY OF CHALLENGE AND RELIEF REQUESTED						
V.	SUMMARY OF THE '237 PATENT						
	A.	Algorithms for Automatic Content Recognition	3				
	B.	Summary of the '237 Claims	3				
	C.	· ·	4				
	D.						
VI.	ANALYSIS OF GROUNDS FOR TRIAL						
	A.	Ground 1: Claims 1, 3-5, 7-9, 11-13, 15-16, 21-25, 29-30, 33,					
		and 37-38 of the '237 Patent Are Anticipated By Iwamura	7				
		1. Summary of Iwamura	7				
		2. Iwamura Discloses Every Element of Claims 1, 3-5, 7-9,					
		11-13, 15-16, 21-25, 29-30, 33, and 37-38	7				
	B.	Ground 2: Claims 1-25, 29-30, 32-33, 37-38, and 40 of the '237					
		Patent Are Obvious Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 Over Levy in view					
		of Arya	16				
		1. Summary of Levy					
		2. Summary of Arya	18				
		3. The Combination of Levy and Arya Discloses Every					
		Limitation of Claims 1-25, 29-30, 32-33, 37-38, and 40	18				
		4. A Person Having Ordinary Skill in the Art Would Have					
		Been Motivated to Combine Levy with Arya	20				
	C.	Ground 3: Claims 25, 32-33, and 40 of the '237 Patent Are					
		Obvious Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 Over Iggulden in view of					
		Böhm					
		1. Summary of Iggulden					
		2. Summary of Böhm	32				
		3. The Combination of Iggulden and Böhm Discloses Every					
		Limitation of Claims 25, 32-33, and 40	34				
		4. A Person Having Ordinary Skill in the Art Would Have					
		Been Motivated to Combine Iggulden with Böhm	35				
	D.	Ground 4: Claims 1-3, 5-7, 9-11, 13-15, and 21-24 Are					
		Anticipated By Ghias Under U.S.C. § 102(b)					
		1. Summary of Ghias	39				



		2.	Ghias Discloses Every Element of Claims 1-3, 5-7, 9-11,		
			13-15, and 21-24 of the '237 Patent	40	
	E.	Ground 5: Claims 9-16 and 23-24 Are Anticipated By Wood			
		Unde	er U.S.C. § 102(e)	47	
		1.	Summary of Wood	47	
		2.	Wood Discloses Every Element of Claims 9-16 and 23-		
			24	48	
	F.	Ground 6: Claims 26-27 and 34-35 of the '237 Patent Are			
		Obvi	ious Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 Over Iwamura in view of Chen	53	
		1.	Summary of Chen	54	
		2.	The Combination of Iwamura and Chen Renders Claims		
			26-27 and 34-35 Obvious	54	
		3.	A Person Having Ordinary Skill in the Art Would Have		
			Been Motivated to Combine Iwamura with Chen	56	
	G.	Ground 7: Claims 26-27 and 34-35 of the '237 Patent Are			
		Obvious Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 Over Levy in view of Arya and			
		Chen	1	57	
		1.	The Combination of Levy, Arya, and Chen Discloses		
			Every Limitation of Claims 26-27 and 34-35	58	
		2.	A Person Having Ordinary Skill in the Art Would Have		
			Been Motivated to Combine Levy and Arya with Chen	59	
VП	CON	CONCLUSION			



EXHIBIT LIST

1001	U.S. Patent No. 8,205,237 to Cox
1002	Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 8,205,237
1003	Visual Summary of Petition Grounds
1004	December 3, 2014 Declaration of Dr. Pierre Moulin
1005	Curriculum Vitae of Dr. Pierre Moulin
1006	Sunil Arya, et al., "An Optimal Algorithm for Approximate Nearest Neighbor Searching in Fixed Dimensions" ("Arya")
1007	Christian Böhm, et al., "Efficient Similarity Search in Digital Libraries" ("Böhm")
1008	U.S. Patent No. 7,444,353 ("Chen")
1009	U.S. Patent No. 6,970,886 ("Conwell")
1010	U.S. Patent No. 5,874,686 ("Ghias")
1011	U.S. Patent No. 6,597,405 ("Iggulden")
1012	U.S. Patent No. 6,188,010 ("Iwamura")
1013	U.S. Patent No. 6,505,160 ("Levy")
1014	U.S. Patent No. 6,098,106 ("Philyaw")
1015	U.S. Patent No. 7,743,092 ("Wood")
1016	Prosecution History of U.S. Patent App. No. 09/438,469
1017	Timo Raita, "Tuning the Boyer-Moore-Horspool String Searching Algorithm"
1018	Aristides Gionis, et al., "Similarity Search in High Dimensions via Hashing"
1019	Plaintiff Network-1 Technologies, Inc.'s Responses to Defendants Google, Inc. and YouTube, LLC's First Set of Interrogatories (Nos.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

