## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

NETWORK-1 TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Plaintiff,

14 Civ. 2396 (PGG)

- against -

14 Civ. 9558 (PGG)

GOOGLE LLC and YOUTUBE, LLC

Defendants.

## **DECLARATION OF SAMUEL BRYANT DAVIDOFF**

- I, Samuel Bryant Davidoff, hereby declare as follows:
- I am a partner at the law firm of Williams & Connolly LLP and counsel for Google LLC and YouTube, LLC in the above-captioned actions.
- 2. I make this declaration based on my personal knowledge and in support of Defendants' Response Claim Construction Brief.
- 3. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 8,010,988.
- 4. Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 8,205,237.
- 5. Attached hereto as Exhibit C is a true and correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 8,904,464.
- 6. Attached hereto as Exhibit D is a true and correct excerpt of the prosecution history of U.S. Patent No. 8,010,988.



- 7. Attached hereto as Exhibit E is a true and correct excerpt of the prosecution history of U.S. Patent No. 8,904,464.
- 8. Attached hereto as Exhibit F is a true and correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 8,640,179.
- 9. Attached hereto as Exhibit G is a true and correct copy of the Final Written Decision (Paper No. 30) issued by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office's Patent Trial and Appeal Board in *Google Inc. v. Network-1 Technologies, Inc.*, Case No. IPR2015-00343.
- 10. Attached hereto as Exhibit H is a true and correct copy of the Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,640,179 (Paper No. 1) filed with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office's Patent Trial and Appeal Board in *Google Inc. v. Network-1 Technologies, Inc.*, Case No. IPR2015-00343.
- 11. Attached hereto as Exhibit I is a true and correct copy of the Brief of Cross-Appellant and Appellee Network-1 Technologies, Inc. (ECF No. 30) filed with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit in *Google Inc. v. Network-1 Technologies, Inc.*, Appeal Nos. 2016-2509, 2016-2510, 2016-2511, and 2016-2512.
- 12. Attached hereto as Exhibit J is a true and correct copy of the transcript of oral argument before the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit held on December 4, 2017 in *Google Inc. v. Network-1 Technologies, Inc.*, Appeal Nos. 2016-2509, 2016-2510, 2016-2511, and 2016-2512.
- 13. Attached hereto as Exhibit K is a true and correct copy of the transcript of the deposition of Professor Michael Mitzenmacher conducted on June 24, 2019.



- 14. Attached hereto as Exhibit L is a true and correct screenshot of the definitions of the word "derive" on the webpage https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/derive on June 28, 2019.
- 15. Attached hereto as Exhibit M is a true and correct excerpt of Richard O. Duda and Peter E. Hart, *Pattern Classification and Scene Analysis* (1973).
- 16. Attached hereto as Exhibit N is a true and correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 5,874,686.
- 17. Attached hereto as Exhibit O is a true and correct copy of Christian Böhm,
  Bernhard Braunmüller, Hans-Peter Kriegel, and Matthias Schubert, *Efficient Similarity Search in Digital Libraries* (2000).
- 18. Attached hereto as Exhibit P is a true and correct excerpt of Philip A. LaPlante, ed., *Dictionary of Computer Science, Engineering, and Technology* (2001).
- 19. Attached hereto as Exhibit Q is a true and correct copy of Jürg Nievergelt, Exhaustive Search, Combinatorial Optimization and Enumeration: Exploring the Potential of Raw Computing Power (2000).
- 20. Attached hereto as Exhibit R is a true and correct copy of Jon Louis Bentley, Multidimensional Binary Search Trees Used for Associative Searching (1975).
- 21. Attached hereto as Exhibit S is a true and correct screenshot of the definitions of the word "calculate" on the webpage https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/calculate on June 28, 2019.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.



Executed this 28th day of June, 2019.

/s/ Samuel Bryant Davidoff
Samuel Bryant Davidoff

