

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X
NETWORK-1 TECHNOLOGIES, INC., §

Plaintiff, §

v. §

GOOGLE LLC and YOUTUBE LLC §

Defendants. §

Case No. 1:14-cv-02396-PGG

-----X
NETWORK-1 TECHNOLOGIES, INC., §

Plaintiff, §

v. §

GOOGLE LLC and YOUTUBE LLC §

Defendants. §

Case No. 1:14-cv-09558-PGG

-----X

JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING LIFTING OF STAYS

This Stipulation is entered into by and between Plaintiff Network-1 Technologies, Inc. (“Network-1”) on the one hand, and Defendants Google LLC and YouTube LLC (collectively, “Google”) on the other (together, the “Parties”).

WHEREAS, Network-1 and Google are parties to Case No. 1:14-cv-02396 (“Case I”) pending in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York;

WHEREAS, Network-1 has asserted infringement of four U.S. Patents in Case I: U.S. Patent No. 8,010,988 (the “988 patent”), U.S. Patent No. 8,205,237 (the “237 patent”), U.S. Patent No. 8,640,179 (the “179 patent”), and U.S. Patent No. 8,656,441 (the “441 patent”) (collectively, the “Case I Patents”);

WHEREAS, Google initiated Inter Partes Review (“IPR”) proceedings before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (“USPTO”) for each of the four Case I patents and those proceedings each led to a final written decision;

WHEREAS, Network-1 and Google are also parties to Case No. 1:14-cv-09558 (“Case II”) pending in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York;

WHEREAS, Network-1 has asserted infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8,904,464 (the “464 patent”) in Case II;

WHEREAS, Google initiated a Covered Business Method (“CBM”) review proceeding before the USPTO for the ’464 patent and that proceeding led to a final written decision;

WHEREAS, Case I and Case II were stayed pending the outcome of the four IPR proceedings (with respect to Case I) and the CBM proceeding (with respect to Case II);

WHEREAS, certain claims of some of the Case I Patents were upheld during IPR proceedings and were not the subject of any appeal and all claims of the ’464 Patent were upheld

during the CBM proceeding and the decision from the CBM proceeding was affirmed by the Court of Appeals;

WHEREAS, Google appealed USPTO decisions holding certain claims of the Case I Patents not unpatentable, and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit vacated those decisions and remanded to the USPTO for further proceedings;

WHEREAS, those remanded IPR proceedings currently remain pending before the USPTO; and

WHEREAS, the Parties have reached agreement regarding lifting the stay in Case I and Case II;

NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties hereby stipulate, through their respective counsel, as follows:

1. The stay of proceedings in Case I and Case II may be lifted.
2. In Case I, Network-1 may assert only the following claims: claim 17 of the '988 patent and claims 33, 34, and 35 of the '237 patent (the "Remaining Case I Claims"). Google agrees that Network-1 can substitute claims 33-35 of the '237 patent for previously asserted claims 25-27 of the '237 patent.
3. Network-1, and any successor-in-interest to any of the Case I Patents, will not assert any claims of any of the Case I Patents other than the Remaining Case I Claims against Google in Case I or Case II, or any other action. Network-1 will dismiss without prejudice Counts III and IV from Case I.
4. Network-1 covenants not to sue Google or any Affiliate of Google with respect to claims 15-16, 21-28, 31-33, 38, 51, and 52 of the '988 patent; claims 25-27, 29, and 30 of the '237 patent; claims 1-3, 6, 8-14, 18, 19, 21-27, 29-31, and 34-37 of the '179 patent; and claims

1-3, 6, 8-14, 18, 19, 21-27, 29, and 30 of the '441 patent (collectively the “Covenant Claims”) for any infringement of the Covenant Claims. With respect to an Affiliate that is acquired by Google after the date of this Stipulation, where Network-1 has a filed and pending claim of patent infringement against such Affiliate in any court at the time of the acquisition by Google, that pending claim—and only that pending claim and only as to damages accrued prior to the date of the acquisition—shall be excluded from the scope of this Stipulation, and as to that pending claim the Affiliate shall have the right to assert all defenses and counterclaims available to the Affiliate immediately prior to the date of the acquisition by Google. Nothing in the foregoing sentence modifies the covenant in paragraph 5. For purposes of this Stipulation, “Affiliate” or “Affiliates,” with respect to a party, shall mean (i) all entities now or in the future controlling, controlled by or under common control with that party; (ii) all entities in the past controlling, controlled by or under common control with that party, for the period of time that such control exists or existed; and (iii) predecessors, successors or successors in interest thereof, including all entities formed or acquired by that party in the future that come to be controlled by that party. As used herein, “control” means possession directly or indirectly of the power to direct or cause the direction of management or policies of a company or entity through the ownership of voting securities, contract, or otherwise, and “entities” includes all persons, companies, partnerships, corporations, associations, organizations, and other entities.

5. In addition, Network-1 covenants not to sue Google Partners (Google’s agents, advisors, attorneys, representatives, suppliers, distributors, customers, advertisers, and users of Google, Google Affiliates, and/or Google Products) for infringement of the Covenant Claims, but only insofar as any liability for such Partners is alleged to be based on their activities relating to any Google Product where a Google Product is alleged to satisfy one or more elements of a

Covenant Claim. As used herein, “Google Product” or “Google Products” means all former, current and future products, including but not limited to services, components, hardware, software, websites, processes, machines, manufactures, and any combinations and components thereof, of Google and/or Google Affiliates. The covenants in this paragraph 5 apply only with respect to Google Products and are limited to the specific Covenant Claims of the specific Case I Patents.

6. For the avoidance of doubt, the Parties further agree as follows regarding the covenants granted herein: The covenants recited in paragraphs 4 and 5 extend only to the Covenant Claims. The covenants do not encompass any other claim of any other patent existing now or in the future, regardless of the scope of any such other claim, even if such other claim has a comparable or broader scope than that of any Covenant Claim. The covenants do not apply to the Remaining Case I Claims, the '464 Patent, or to any other patent related to the '988, '237, '179, or '441 Patents (not including the '988, '237, '179, and '441 Patents themselves) and thus do not restrict Network-1's ability to assert the Remaining Case I Claims, the '464 Patent, or any other patent related to the '988, '237, '179 or '441 Patents (other than the '988, '237, '179 and '441 Patents themselves) against Google or any other entity. These covenants do not constitute a license, are not transferable, and may not be delegated or extended to any other entity other than as specifically enumerated in this Stipulation. These covenants do not give rise to any license, express or implied, or arising by any kind of estoppel, to Google or to any other entity. Nothing in the covenants shall operate to exhaust or give rise to any implied license to any patent claim other than the Covenant Claims, regardless of the scope of such other patent claim, even if comparable to or broader than that of any of the Covenant Claims.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.