IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

FISH KISS LLC & ANNE KLEIN,

Plaintiffs,

v.

NORTH STAR CREATIONS, LLC; ROOTED MADE; ROOTEDUSA; BERNADETTE GODWIN; ERIC BERNSTEIN; and JOHN DOES 1-10,

Defendants.

HONORABLE JEROME B. SIMANDLE

Civil Action No. 17-8193 (JBS/AMD)

OPINION

APPEARANCES:

Jessie Basner, Esq.
CLEMENTE MUELLER PA
P.O. Box 1296
Morristown, NJ 07962
Attorney for Plaintiffs

Robert McKinley, Esq.
LAULETTA BIRNBAUM LLC
591 Mantua Blvd., Suite 200
Sewell, NJ 08080
Attorney for Defendants

SIMANDLE, District Judge:

I. Introduction

This case arises out of the alleged copyright infringement and breach of contract by Defendants North Star Creations, LLC; Rooted Made; Rooted USA; Bernadette Godwin, and Eric Bernstein. The action was brought by Fish Kiss, LLC, a corporation founded



by Anne Klein who was later added as a plaintiff (collectively, "Plaintiffs"). [Docket Item 14.]

Citing a lack of specificity as to the copyright claim, lack of standing, and lack of an enforceable contract, Defendants moved to dismiss all counts of Plaintiffs' complaint pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) or in the alternative, for an order requiring Plaintiffs to submit a more definite statement pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(e). [Docket Items 13 ("First Motion to Dismiss") and 51 ("Second Motion to Dismiss").] Additionally, Defendants have alternatively moved for summary judgment under Fed. R. Civ. P. 56 with regard to Count 5.

The issues to be decided include whether violation of the scope of an exclusive license can serve as the basis for a breach of contract claim; whether the "first sale" doctrine is applicable to Plaintiffs' copyright infringement claims; whether Plaintiffs have adequately pled their copyright infringement claims; the adequacy of the claims pled against the Individual Defendants; whether Plaintiffs state a claim under the Lanham Act (or whether summary judgment is warranted on that claim); and whether Plaintiffs have standing to pursue a claim under the New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act. For the reasons discussed below, the Court will grant in part and deny in part Defendants' Second Motion to Dismiss.



II. Background

A. Factual Background

Plaintiff Anne Klein is the President of Fish Kiss, LLC ("Fish Kiss"). Fish Kiss is a "small lifestyle brand company that specializes in the creation of unique designs and artwork." [Docket Item 45 at 1.] Fish Kiss owns fifty-one artwork designs for which each design is copyrighted (Copyright Registration no. VA 2-049-471). Id. at 2. Bernadette Godwin ("Godwin") and Eric Bernstein ("Bernstein")(collectively, "Individual Defendants") are the owners of North Star, LLC ("North Star"). Id. at 15. North Star LLC is a manufacturer of textile products. The Individual Defendants, North Star, and its subsidiaries, RootedUSA and Rooted Made, are collectively referred to as "Defendants." Id. at 2.

On January 1, 2016, Plaintiffs and Defendants executed a one-year license agreement ("License Agreement") for Fish Kiss Artwork. Id. at 3. The License Agreement granted Defendants the exclusive right to manufacture and sell Christmas stockings, Christmas tree skirts, adult kitchen aprons, cotton tea/dish towels and decorative pillows bearing Fish Kiss artwork ("Licensed Products"). Id. The term of the License Agreement began on January 1, 2016 and continued until January 1, 2017 with no residual sell-off period after termination. Id. Under



the License Agreement, Klein had the right to review and approve new iterations of the Licensed Products for sample approval before they were permitted to be offered for sale. Id. Under the License Agreement, the Licensed Products were to consistently bear Fish Kiss branding, labeling, and packaging. Id. at 4. Under the Schedule of Artwork annexed to the License Agreement, Defendants were to pay Klein royalties of 15% of wholesale cost of Christmas stockings, Christmas tree skirts, adult kitchen aprons, and cotton tea/dish towels, and 20% of wholesale cost of decorative pillows. Id. Defendants were required to remit royalty payments within thirty days of the shipment of Licensed Products to Defendants' customers. Id..

Defendants represented to Plaintiffs that they had total sales in the amount of \$13,895.00 from the Licensed Products from January 1, 2016 to December 31, 2016. Id. Plaintiffs allege that Defendants have willfully misrepresented the amount of sales of Licensed Products to avoid paying Klein appropriate royalties due. Id. at 5. As evidence, Plaintiffs submit that, in May 2016, Defendants requested an order for 1,000 additional wrappers. Id. Defendants sold the wrappers for approximately \$5.50 to \$6.00 each. Id. Plaintiffs allege that the May 2016 sale alone would equal \$5,550 to \$6,000 in sales. Id.

Nevertheless, in September 2016, Defendants sent Plaintiffs a proposal for renewal of the license, representing that their



year-to-date sales of Licensed Products through August 31, 2016 amounted only to \$5,408. <u>Id</u>. Plaintiffs also point to an email Klein received in January 2017 from North Star sales representative Dan Kennedy, stating that he alone sold \$11,000 worth of Licensed Products. <u>Id</u>. at 4. Plaintiffs allege that they have discovered an additional \$10,561.50 in sales of Licensed Products unaccounted for in the \$13,895 figure presented by Defendants. [Id.]

Plaintiffs received payments in the amount of \$2,143.65 as royalties for the time period of January 1, 2016 to December 31, 2016. Id. Plaintiffs allege that Defendants did not make royalty payments from sales made in November of 2016 until January 28, 2017, in violation of the License Agreement. Id. at 5.

Plaintiffs allege that one of Defendants' customers, Quaile

Connect, LLC, placed orders for Licensed Products on January 6, 2017. Id. at 5. Plaintiffs allege that Defendants sold Licensed

Product to ETShops in February 2017. Id. Plaintiffs allege that Defendants posted an unauthorized alteration of a Fish Kiss

Christmas Tree Skirt on eBay, id., and that Defendants sold new iterations of Licensed Products on eBay and Amazon without the approval of Plaintiffs. Id. at 6.

B. Procedural Background

On February 9, 2017, Plaintiffs sent a letter to Defendants alleging that Defendants violated the copyrights and demanded



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

