

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

MEDAC PHARMA, INC. and MEDAC)	
GESELLSCHAFT FÜR KLINISCHE)	
SPEZIALPRÄPARATE MBH,)	No. 1:14-cv-01498-JBS-KMW
)	
Plaintiffs,)	Motion Date: August 4, 2014
)	
v.)	
)	
ANTARES PHARMA, INC., LEO PHARMA A/S,)	
and LEO PHARMA INC.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS'
MOTION TO STAY PENDING *INTER PARTES* REVIEW**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION1

II. BACKGROUND1

 A. Antares’ Accused Product and Medac’s Asserted Patent1

 B. The Present Litigation.....2

 C. *Inter Partes* Review Proceedings.....3

 D. Antares’ IPR Petition Will Likely Succeed In Cancelling the ’231 Patent Claims5

III. ARGUMENT6

 A. All Factors Favor a Stay6

 B. The Early Stage of This Litigation Favors a Stay8

 C. A Stay Will Simplify the Issues and May Eliminate the Need for Trial9

 D. A Stay Will Not Unduly Prejudice Medac13

 E. The Case Should Be Stayed While the PTAB Considers Instituting Antares’ IPR Petition15

IV. CONCLUSION.....18

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Federal Cases	
<i>Bonutti Skeletal Innovations, L.L.C. v. Zimmer Holdings, Inc.</i> , No. 12-CV-1109 (GMS), 2014 WL 1369721 (D. Del. Apr. 7, 2014)	13, 14
<i>Brass Smith, LLC v. RPI Indus., Inc.</i> , No. 09-06344 (NLH/JS), 2010 WL 4444717 (D.N.J. Nov. 1, 2010)	7, 8, 9, 13
<i>Brixham Solutions Ltd. v. Juniper Networks, Inc.</i> , No. 13-CV-00616-JCS, 2014 WL 1677991 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 28, 2014)	8, 10, 11
<i>Celorio v. On Demand Books, LLC</i> , No. 12-821-GMS, 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 121449 (D. Del. Aug. 21, 2013).....	14
<i>Derma Sciences, Inc. v. Manukamed Ltd.</i> , No. 12-3388 (JAP), 2013 WL 6096459 (D.N.J. July 18, 2013)	16
<i>Destination Maternity Corp. v. Target Corp.</i> , No. CIV.A. 12-5680, 2014 WL 1202941 (E.D. Pa. Mar. 24, 2014).....	14, 17
<i>e-Watch, Inc. v. ACTi Corp.</i> , No. SA-12-CA-695, 2013 WL 6334372 (W.D. Tex. Aug. 9, 2013)	12, 16
<i>EI Du Pont De Nemours & Co. v. MacDermid Printing Solutions LLC</i> , No. CIV.A. 10-3409 MLC, 2012 WL 2995182 (D.N.J. July 23, 2012).....	14, 15
<i>Ethicon, Inc. v. Quigg</i> , 849 F.2d 1422 (Fed. Cir. 1988).....	7
<i>Evolutionary Intelligence, LLC v. Apple, Inc.</i> , No. C 13-04201 WHA, 2014 WL 93954 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 9, 2014).....	11, 13
<i>Evolutionary Intelligence, LLC v. Facebook, Inc.</i> , Nos. C 13-4202, -4204 SI, 2014 WL 261837 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 23, 2014).....	17
<i>Hill-Rom Servs., Inc. v. Stryker Corp.</i> , No. 1:11-CV-1120-JMS-DKL, 2012 WL 5878087 (S.D. Ind. Nov. 20, 2012)	15
<i>ICI Uniqema, Inc. v. Kobo Prods., Inc.</i> , No. 06-2943 (JAP), 2009 WL 4034829 (D.N.J. Nov. 20, 2009)	6, 7, 8
<i>Ignite USA LLC v. Pac. Market Int'l, LLC</i> , No. 14-C-856, 2014 WL 2505166, at (N.D. Ill. May 29, 2014)	10

Indus. Tech. Research Inst. v. LG Electronics Inc.,
 No. CIV.A. 12-949 ES, 2013 WL 5180108 (D.N.J. Sept. 12, 2013).....7

Internet Patents Corp. v. eBags, Inc.,
 No. C 12-03385 SBA, 2013 WL 4609533 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 28, 2013).....13, 16

Krippelz v. Ford Motor Co.,
 667 F.3d 1261 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....12

Lincoln Nat’l Life Ins. Co. v. Transamerica Fin. Life Ins. Co.,
 No. 1:08-CV-135, 2009 WL 1108822 (N.D. Ind. Apr. 24, 2009)9

Neste Oil OYJ v. Dynamic Fuels, LLC,
 No. CV 12-1744-GMS, 2013 WL 3353984 (D. Del. July 2, 2013)8, 10

Oy Ajat, Ltd. v. Vatech Am., Inc.,
 No. 10-4875 (PGS), 2012 WL 1067900 (D.N.J. Mar. 29, 2012)9, 14

Peschke Map Technologies, LLC v. J.J. Gumberg Co.,
 No. CV 12-1525-SLR, 2014 WL 1652633 (D. Del. Apr. 24, 2014)7

Power Survey, LLC v. Premier Utility Services, LLC,
 No. 2:13-cv-05670 (D.N.J. June 4, 2014).....16

Riverbed Tech., Inc. v. Silver Peak Sys., Inc.,
 No. C 13-02980 JSW, 2014 WL 1647399 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 14, 2014)10, 12, 13, 17

Semiconductor Energy Lab. Co., Ltd. v. Chimei Innolux Corp.,
 No. SACV 12-21-JST JPRX, 2012 WL 7170593 (C.D. Cal. Dec. 19, 2012)9, 17

SMT Solutions, Inc. v. ExpoEvent Supply LLC,
 No. 11–6225 (ES)(CLW), 2012 WL 3526830 (D.N.J. Aug. 15, 2012).....13

Software Rights Archive, LLC v. Facebook, Inc.,
 Nos. C-12-3970, -3971, -3972 RMW, 2013 WL 5225522 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 17,
 2013)11

Star Envirotech, Inc. v. Redline Detection, LLC,
 No. SACV 12-01861 JGB, 2013 WL 1716068 (C.D. Cal. Apr. 3, 2013)9, 11

Tierravision, Inc. v. Google, Inc.,
 No. 11cv2170 DMS(BGS), 2012 WL 559993 (S.D. Cal. Feb. 21, 2012)9

Federal Statutes

35 U.S.C. §§ 301-19, *et seq.*3

35 U.S.C. § 307.....4

35 U.S.C. § 311(b).....3
35 U.S.C. § 313.....3
35 U.S.C. § 314.....3
35 U.S.C. § 314(a)4
35 U.S.C. § 315(e)(2).....4, 11
35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(11).....4
35 U.S.C. § 318(a)4
Leahy-Smith America Invents Act, Pub. L. No. 112-29, 125 Stat. 284 (2011).....3

Regulations

37 C.F.R. § 42.107(b)3
77 Fed. Reg. 48,680 (Aug. 14, 2012).....1, 3, 8, 11, 16

Other Authorities

Corning Gilbert Inc. v. PPC Broadband, Inc.,
IPR2013-00343, Paper 16 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 23, 2013).....4
Mobotix Corp. v. e-Watch, Inc.,
IPR2013-00499, Paper 17 (P.T.A.B. Apr. 9, 2014).....4

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.