

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

IN RE: NEO WIRELESS, LLC,  
PATENT LITIGATION

Case No. 2:22-MD-03034-TGB  
HON. TERRENCE G. BERG

[REDACTED]

**DEFENDANTS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO EXCLUDE  
THE OPINIONS OF NEO'S DAMAGES EXPERT, MS. HARVEY, AND  
CERTAIN OPINIONS OF NEO'S TECHNICAL EXPERTS,  
DR. MAHON AND MR. JONES**



**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

|   | <b><u>Page</u></b> |
|---|--------------------|
| I. MS. HARVEY’S OPINIONS ARE IMPROPER AND UNRELIABLE .....  | 1                  |
| A. Ms. Harvey’s Opinions Go Far Beyond the Agreements She Allegedly Relies On, Making Her Opinions Unreliable.....                    | 1                  |
| B. Ms. Harvey’s Reliance on an Inadmissible Survey Renders Her Opinion Unreliable.....  | 4                  |
| C. Ms. Harvey’s 66% Increase for the Assumption of Validity Is Inadmissible Because It Is Not Tethered to the Facts of this Case..... | 6                  |
| D. Reliance on the Value of Standardization Violates <i>CSIRO</i> .....   | 7                  |
| II. NEO’S UNSUPPORTED SOURCE CODE ANALYSIS SHOULD BE EXCLUDED.....  | 8                  |
| A. The Parties Identify the Same Evidence of Non-Produced Code.....   | 8                  |
| B. Neo Admits Its Experts Did Not Analyze Non-Produced Code.....  | 8                  |
| III. CONCLUSION.....  | 10                 |

**CONTROLLING OR MOST APPROPRIATE AUTHORITY**

| <b><u>Cases</u></b>   | <b><u>Page(s)</u></b> |
|---|-----------------------|
| <i>Bledsoe v. FCA US LLC</i> ,<br>No. 4:16-CV-14024-TGB-RSW, 2022 WL 4596156 (E.D. Mich.<br>Sept. 30, 2022) .....           | 9                     |
| <i>CSIRO v. CISCO Systems, Inc.</i> ,<br>809 F.3d 1295 (Fed. Cir. 2015) .....   | 7                     |
| <i>EcoFactor, Inc. v. Google LLC</i> ,<br>104 F.4th 243 (Fed. Cir. 2024) .....  | 2, 3, 5               |
| <i>Ford Motor Co. v. InterMotive, Inc.</i> ,<br>No. 4:17-CV-11584-TGB, 2022 WL 4596306 (E.D. Mich. Sept.<br>30, 2022) ..... | 9                     |
| <i>Gaylord v. United States</i> ,<br>678 F.3d 1339 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....   | 3                     |
| <i>MAZ Encryption Techs. LLC v. Blackberry Corp.</i> ,<br>No. CV 13-304-LPS, 2016 WL 4490706 (D. Del. Aug. 25, 2016).....   | 6, 7                  |
| <i>Mondis Tech. Ltd v. LG Elecs., Inc.</i> ,<br>407 F. Supp. 3d 482 (D.N.J. 2019) .....                                     | 6                     |
| <i>Omega Patents, LLC v. CalAmp Corp.</i> ,<br>13 F.4th 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2021) .....   | 2, 3                  |
| <i>Sports Auth., Inc. v. Abercrombie &amp; Fitch, Inc.</i> ,<br>965 F. Supp. 925 (E.D. Mich. 1997) .....                    | 4, 5                  |
| <i>Thomas v. City of Chattanooga</i> ,<br>398 F.3d 426 (6th Cir. 2005) .....  | 9                     |
| <i>Uniloc USA, Inc. v. Microsoft Corp.</i> ,<br>632 F.3d 1292 (Fed. Cir. 2011) .....  | 7                     |



**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

|  | <b><u>Page(s)</u></b> |
|--|-----------------------|
| <i>Virnetx, Inc. v. Cisco Sys., Inc.</i> ,<br>767 F.3d 1308 (Fed. Cir. 2014) ..... | 6                     |
| <b>Other Authorities</b>   |                       |
| Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 .....   | 10                    |
| Fed. R. Evid. 403 .....  | 10                    |



**TABLE OF EXHIBITS**

| <b>Exhibit</b> | <b>Description</b>   |
|----------------|--|
| CC             | Excerpt of Deposition Transcript of Nigel Andrew Jones, dated May 3, 2024 [Sealed] |
| DD             | Third party component table, produced as QCNEOWIRELESS3034_0000009 [Sealed]        |

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.