

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

IN RE NEO WIRELESS, LLC
PATENT LITIG.

Case No. 2:22-md-03034-TGB
HON. TERRENCE G. BERG
JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**PLAINTIFF NEO WIRELESS, LLC’S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF NEO’S
MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

FILED UNDER SEAL

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Neo Advances the Correct Legal Standard.....1

II. Defendants Fail to Raise Any Record Evidence that Precludes Drawing Other Reasonable Inferences Regarding Non-Disclosure of Project Angel.4

III. Defendants Fail to Marshal Sufficient Evidence of Inequitable Conduct.6

 A. Intent to Deceive Is Not a Reasonable Inference.....6

 B. Defendants Cannot Show Materiality With Stricken Theories.8

 C. *Adaptix* Materials Are Not Admissible at Trial.....9

IV. Defendants’ Cursory Unclean Hands Theory is Inadequate.12

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

Adaptix, Inc. v. Apple, Inc.
No. 5:13-CV-01776-PSG, 2015 WL 218932 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 15, 2015) passim

Adidas Am., Inc. v. TRB Acquisitions LLC
2017 WL 337983 (D. Or. Jan. 23, 2017).....13

American Calcar, Inc. v. American Honda Motor Co.
768 F.3d 1185 (Fed. Cir. 2014) 8, 11

Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc.
477 U.S. 242 (1986)14

Baumel v. Barber Power L. Grp., PLLC
No. 3:22-cv-00170, 2023 WL 6121001 (W.D.N.C. Sept. 18, 2023)11

Baxter Int’l, Inc. v. CareFusion Corp.
No. 15 C 9986, 2022 WL 981115 (N.D. Ill. Mar. 31, 2022)3

Brown v. City of Shreveport
No. CV 11-1260, 2017 WL 405419 (W.D. La. Jan. 30, 2017)10

Bryant v. Kentucky
490 F.2d 1273 (6th Cir. 1974)12

CAO Lighting, Inc. v. Feit Elec. Co., Inc.
No. CV 20-04926-AB (PJW), 2023 WL 3316997 (C.D. Cal. Mar. 16, 2023)3

Epicentre Strategic Corp. v. Cleveland Constr. Inc.
No. 04-40278, 2007 WL 1017644 (E.D. Mich. Mar. 30, 2007)12

Fujitsu Ltd. v. Tellabs Operations, Inc.
No. 09 C 4530, 2012 WL 3133548 (N.D. Ill. July 31, 2012)8

Fuma Int’l LLC v. R.J. Reynolds Vapor Co.
No. 1:19-CV-260, 2020 WL 3470458 (M.D.N.C. Mar. 6, 2020)3

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY

<i>Griffin v. Keystone Mushroom Farm, Inc.</i> 453 F. Supp. 1283 (E.D. Pa. 1978).....	15
<i>Hope v. Hewlett-Packard Co.</i> No. 14-11497, 2016 WL 827381 (E.D. Mich. Mar. 3, 2016)	10
<i>Illumina, Inc. v. BGI Genomics Co.</i> 559 F. Supp. 3d 1072 (N.D. Cal. 2021).....	6
<i>Intercontinental Great Brands LLC v. Kellogg N. Am. Co.</i> 869 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	1, 2, 3
<i>Lear Corp. v. NHK Seating of Am. Inc.</i> No. 13-12937, 2022 WL 876021 (E.D. Mich. Mar. 23, 2022)	3, 8
<i>Luv n’ Care, Ltd. v. Laurain</i> 98 F.4th 1081 (Fed. Cir. 2024).....	14
<i>Navico Inc. v. Garmin Int’l, Inc.</i> No. 2:16-CV-00190-JRG-RSP, 2017 WL 3676787 (E.D. Tex. Aug. 25, 2017) ...	3
<i>Navico Inc. v. Garmin Int’l, Inc.</i>	
No. 2:16-CV-00190-JRG-RSP, 2017 WL 3701189 (E.D. Tex. Aug. 7, 2017)	3
<i>Optium Corp. v. Emcore Corp.</i> 603 F.3d 1313 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	2
<i>Skillz Platform Inc. v. Aviagames Inc.,</i> No. 21-CV-02436-BLF, 2023 WL 6542147 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 6, 2023).....	2, 3
<i>Sysmex Corp. v. Beckman Coulter, Inc.</i> No. 29-1642, 2022 WL 1503987 (D. Del. May 6, 2022).....	3
<i>Therasense, Inc. v. Becton, Dickinson & Co.</i> 649 F.3d 1276 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	passim
<i>United States v. Collier</i> 68 F. App’x 676 (6th Cir. 2003).....	11

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY

Virgil v. City of Newport
545 F. Supp. 3d 444 (E.D. Ky. 2021).....10

W. Plastics, Inc. v. DuBose Strapping, Inc.
No. 2021-1371, 2022 WL 576218 (Fed. Cir. Feb. 25, 2022).....2

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 285.....15

Rules

Fed. R. Civ. P. 11.....15

Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e).....15

Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(c)(1).....15

Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(c).....14

Fed. R. Evid. 201(b).....11

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.