## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

IN RE NEO WIRELESS, LLC PATENT LITIG.

Case No. 2:22-md-03034-TGB HON. TERRENCE G. BERG JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

## PLAINTIFF'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE PLAINTIFF'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF <u>NEO'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT UNDER SEAL</u>

Pursuant to Local Rule 5.3(b), Plaintiff Neo Wireless, LLC ("Neo"), respectfully requests entry of an order granting leave to file under seal their Reply in Support of Neo's Motion for Summary Judgment Under Seal.

Plaintiff's Reply contains "Confidential" and/or "Highly Confidential – Attorneys Eyes Only" information pursuant to the Protective Order (ECF No. 125) entered in this case, or otherwise describes or quotes such designated materials. Such information was designated by Neo, Defendants, and/or third parties (such as AT&T) and relates to expert reports and discussions of AT&T's confidential materials related to the Project Angel reference.

Determination of a motion for leave to file under seal is within the sound discretion of the district court. *Meyer Goldberg, Inc. v. Fisher Foods, Inc.*, 823 F.2d 159, 161 (6th Cir. 1987). The right of the public to access judicial records "is not absolute," and the Sixth Circuit recognizes that "certain privacy rights of participants" are significant interests which can outweigh the public's right to access.

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp. v. F.T.C., 710 F.2d 1165, 1179 (6th Cir. 1983) (citing Nixon v. Warner Comme'ns, Inc., 435 U.S. 589, 598 (1978)) (additional citations omitted).

Here, the information sought to be filed under seal includes confidential and highly confidential information (such as source code, financials, licensing communications, technical information, etc.) for the parties in this case, as well as relevant third parties. Neo's Motion, the supporting Brief, and the exhibits thereto should be permitted to be filed under seal to respect the privacy rights of the parties. *See id.* at 1179. These exhibits should be permitted to be filed under seal to respect the competitive advantage that the parties achieve by keeping detailed financial information private. *See Apple Inc. v. Samsung Elecs., Co.,* 727 F3d 1214, 1225–26 (Fed. Cir. 2013) (holding the district court abused its discretion in ordering unsealing as such the parties have "a significant interest in preventing the release of their detailed financial information."). Good cause therefore exists for the proposed sealed filing, and no opposition exists.

For the reasons set forth above, the Neo respectfully requests that the Court grant its Unopposed Motion for Leave to File Under Seal.

A proposed Order is concurrently submitted through the ECF system.

DATED: July 18, 2024

DOCKE

Respectfully submitted, <u>/s/ Christopher S. Stewart</u> Jason D. Cassady Texas Bar No. 24045625 Email: jcassady@caldwellcc.com Christopher S. Stewart Texas Bar No. 24079399 Email: cstewart@caldwellcc.com Daniel R. Pearson Texas Bar No. 24070398 Email: dpearson@caldwellcc.com Hamad M. Hamad Texas Bar No. 24061268 Email: hhamad@caldwellcc.com **Bailey A. Blaies** Texas Bar No. 24109297 Email: bblaies@caldwellcc.com Bjorn. A. Blomquist Texas Bar No. 24125125 Email: bblomquist@caldwellcc.com James F. Smith Texas Bar No. 24129800 Email: jsmith@caldwellcc.com James Yang California Bar No. 329979 Email: jyang@caldwellcc.com Alexander J. Gras Texas Bar No. 24125252 Email: agras@caldwellcc.com CALDWELL CASSADY CURRY P.C. 2121 N. Pearl St., Suite 1200 Dallas, Texas 75201 Telephone: (214) 888-4848 Facsimile: (214) 888-4849

Jaye Quadrozzi (P71646) Email: jcquadrozzi@varnumlaw.com VARNUM LLP 480 Pierce Street, Suite 300 Birmingham, Michigan 48009 Telephone: (248) 567-7800 Facsimile: (214) 567-7423

#### ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF NEO WIRELESS LLC

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that counsel of record is being served with a copy of the foregoing document via the Court CM/ECF system on August 1, 2024

<u>/s/ Christopher S. Stewart</u> Christopher S. Stewart

## **CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE**

Counsel for Neo communicated with counsel for Defendants on July 31st, 2024, via electronic mail, regarding the relief requested in this motion. Counsel for Defendants concur in the relief requested in this motion.

<u>/s/ Christopher S. Stewart</u> Christopher S. Stewart