

Exhibit 1

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

AMERICAN HONDA MOTOR CO., INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

NEO WIRELESS LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2023-00797
Patent 10,771,302 B2

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. THIS SECOND PETITION BY DISTRICT-COURT CO-DEFENDANTS SHOULD BE DENIED UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 314(a).	3
A. Factor 1: The Board Already Rejected On The Merits A First Petition By Petitioner’s District Court Co-Defendant, Volkswagen. ...	6
B. Factor 2: The Asserted Art Should Have Been Known At The Time Of Co-Defendant Volkswagen’s Prior Petition.....	10
C. Factor 3: Petitioner Had Access To Patent Owner’s Response To Volkswagen’s Prior Petition Prior To Filing Its Later Petition.	13
D. Factor 4: Substantial Time Elapsed Between The Prior Petition And Petitioner’s Knowing Of The Prior Art, And This Petition.	14
E. Factor 5: Petitioner Fails To Offer Adequate Explanation For The Delay Between The Filing Of Volkswagen’s Petition And Its Own..	15
F. Factors 6-7: Fairness, Timing And Efficiency Weigh Against Institution.	16
G. The Additional Factor That The Office Already Considered Petitioner’s Primary Reference 802.16a-2003, Contrary To Petitioner’s Assertion Otherwise, Also Weighs Against Institution. .	16
H. Petitioner’s Assertion Of No Roadmapping Is Both Factually Incorrect And, In The Circumstances Here, Legally Irrelevant.	19
III. PETITIONER FAILS TO SHOW A “MOBILE DEVICE” AS CLAIMED (ALL GROUNDS, ALL CLAIMS).	24
A. The Claims Recite A “Mobile Device.”	24
B. Ground 1 Fails To Show A “Mobile Device” Because Koo Is Not Prior Art.	25
C. Ground 2 Fails Because Petitioner Fails To Show Either A Motivation Or A Reasonable Expectation Of Success To Implement IEEE 802.16a With Mobile Users In View Of Kitroser...	32
1. Petitioner Fails To Even Allege, Let Alone Prove, A Motivation To Modify The IEEE 802.16a-2003 Standard To Support Mobility In View Of Kitroser.....	34

2.	Petitioner Fails To Show A Reasonable Expectation Of Success To Implement The IEEE 802.16a-2003 Standard To Support Mobility In View Of Kitroser.....	37
a.	Kitroser Fails To Show “Sufficient Certainty” To Prove A Reasonable Expectation Of Success.	38
b.	Petitioner’s Reliance On The Truth Of Statements In Kitroser Is Inadmissible Hearsay.	45
IV.	CONCLUSION.....	46

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
COURT DECISIONS	
<i>Arctic Cat Inc. v. Polaris Indus.</i> , 795 Fed. Appx. 827 (Fed. Cir., 2019)	31
<i>Ariad Pharms., Inc. v. Eli Lilly & Co.</i> , 598 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	23
<i>Belden Inc. v. Berk-Tek LLC</i> , 805 F.3d 1064 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	31
<i>Bicon, Inc. v. Straumann Co.</i> , 441 F.3d 945 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	21
<i>Eli Lilly & Co. v. Teva Pharms. Int’l GmbH</i> , 8 F.4th 1331 (Fed. Cir. 2021).....	30, 42
<i>In Re Glob. IP Holdings LLC</i> , 927 F.3d 1373 (Fed. Cir. 2019).....	23
<i>Honeywell Int’l Inc. v. Mexichem Amanco Holding S.A. De C.V.</i> , 865 F.3d 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	29, 42
<i>Intelligent Bio-Systems, Inc. v. Illumina Cambridge, Ltd.</i> , 821 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	30
<i>In Re NTP, Inc.</i> , 654 F.3d 1268 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	23
<i>Purdue Pharma L.P. v. Faulding, Inc.</i> , 230 F.3d 1320 (Fed. Cir. 2000).....	23
<i>Union Oil Co. of California v. Atl. Richfield Co.</i> , 208 F.3d 989 (Fed. Cir. 2000).....	23
AGENCY DECISIONS	
<i>Abiomed, Inc. v. Maquet Cardiovascular, LLC</i> , IPR2017–02150, Paper 11 (Mar. 12, 2018)	4
<i>Advanced Bionics, LLC v. MED-EL Elektromedizinische Geräte GmbH</i> , IPR2019-01469, Paper 6 (PTAB Feb. 13, 2020) (precedential)	16
<i>Apple Inc. v. Zomm, LLC</i> , IPR2019-01030, Paper 8 (Nov. 14, 2019).....	9

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.