

Exhibit 12

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Huizhou TCL Mobile Communication Co. Ltd., TCT Mobile (US) Inc., TCL
Mobile Communication (HK) Co., Ltd.
Petitioners

v.

WI-LAN INC.,
Patent Owner

INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,854,577 B2
Case IPR No.: IPR2020-00304

DECLARATION OF DR. TITUS LO
EX 1003

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
I. Introduction.....	3
II. Background and Qualifications	4
III. Priority Date and One of Ordinary Skill.....	8
IV. Materials Relied Upon.....	9
V. Legal Standards	10
A. Obviousness.....	10
VI. BACKGROUND OF THE ART	15
VII. Analysis of the '577 Patent.....	17
A. Overview of the '577 Patent.....	17
B. Claim Construction of the '577 Patent Claims	17
1. “receive unit” (claims 1 and 9)	18
2. “transmit unit” (claim 4)	20
3. “control data via control channels” (claims 1 and 12).....	23
VIII. OVERVIEW OF THE PRIOR ART REFERENCES	24
IX. PRIORITY OF GOROKHOV	24
X. SPECIFIC GROUNDS OF CHALLENGE.....	27
A. Gound 1A: Claims 1, 3-6, 8-12, 14-17, and 19-22 are rendered obvious by Gorokhov	27
B. Gound 1B: Claims 2 and 13 are rendered obvious by Gorokhov and Jung.....	55
C. Gound 1C: Claims 7 and 18 are rendered obvious by Gorokhov and Zhao	58
D. Gound 1D: Claims 10 and 21 are rendered obvious by Gorokhov and Walton	63
E. Gound 2A: Claims 1, 3-5, 11-12, 14-16, and 22 are rendered obvious by Ryu and Yamaura	66
(a) Claim 1 is rendered obvious by Ryu and Yamaura	68
(b) Claim 3 is rendered obvious by Ryu and Yamaura	83
(c) Claim 4 is rendered obvious by Ryu and Yamaura	85

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
(d) Claim 5 is rendered obvious by Ryu and Yamaura	85
(e) Claim 11 is rendered obvious by Ryu and Yamaura	86
(f) Claim 12 is rendered obvious by Ryu and Yamaura	87
(g) Claim 14 is rendered obvious by Ryu and Yamaura	88
(h) Claim 15 is rendered obvious by Ryu and Yamaura	89
(i) Claim 16 is rendered obvious by Ryu and Yamaura	89
(j) Claim 22 is rendered obvious by Ryu and Yamaura	89
F. Gound 2B: Claims 2 and 13 are rendered obvious by Ryu, Yamura, and Jung.....	89
G. Gound 2C: Claims 7 and 18 are rendered obvious by Ryu, Yamura, and Zhao	92
(a) Claim 7 is rendered obvious by Ryu, Yamaura, and Zhao.....	95
(b) Claim 18 is rendered obvious by Ryu, Yamaura, and Zhao.....	96
H. Gound 2D: Claims 10 and 21 are rendered obvious by Ryu, Yamura, and Walton.....	97
(a) Claim 10 is rendered obvious by Ryu, Yamaura, and Walton.....	98
(b) Claim 21 is rendered obvious by Ryu, Yamaura, and Walton.....	99
XI. Secondary Considerations	99
XII. Conclusion	100

Petition for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent 9,854,577 B2
PTAB Case No. IPR2020-00304
EX1003: Declaration of Dr. Titus Lo

I. INTRODUCTION

1. My name is Titus Lo, and I have been retained by counsel for
Petitioner TCT Mobile, Inc., TCT Mobile US Holdings Inc., TCL Communication
Inc. (“TCL” or “Petitioner” or “Petitioners”) as an expert witness to provide my
5 opinion regarding certain prior art references and U.S. Patent No. 9,854,577 (the
“’577 patent”).

2. I have been asked to consider the validity of claims 1-22 of the ’577
patent in view of the understanding of a person of ordinary skill in the art
 (“POSITA”) as it relates to the ’577 patent. I have personal knowledge of the facts
10 and opinions set forth in this declaration, and believe them to be true. If called
upon to do so, I would testify competently thereto.

3. I am being compensated for my time at my standard consulting rate. I
am also being reimbursed for expenses that I incur during the course of this work.
My compensation is not contingent upon the results of my study, the substance of
15 my opinions, or the outcome of any proceeding involving the challenged claims. I
have no financial interest in the outcome of this matter or on the pending litigation
between Petitioner and Patent Owner.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.