UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

IN RE: NEO WIRELESS, LLC, PATENT LITIGATION

Case No. 2:22-MD-03034-TGB HON. TERRENCE G. BERG

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

DEFENDANTS' UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE UNDER SEAL PORTIONS OF THEIR BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO EXCLUDE THE OPINIONS OF NEO'S DAMAGES EXPERT, MS. HARVEY, AND CERTAIN OPINIONS OF NEO'S TECHNICAL EXPERTS, <u>DR. MAHON AND MR. JONES, AND RELATED EXHIBITS</u>

Pursuant to Local Rule 5.3(b), Defendants respectfully move for an Order

permitting them to file portions of their Brief in Support of Its Motion to Exclude

the Opinions of Neo's Damages Expert, Ms. Harvey, and Certain Opinions of

Neo's Technical Experts, Dr. Mahon and Mr. Jones ("Defendants' Brief"), and the

following exhibits, under seal:

Exhibit A – License Agreement;

Exhibit B – License Agreement;

Exhibit C – Excerpts of Ms. Dean's Damages Rebuttal Report;

Exhibit D – License Agreement;

Exhibit E – License Agreement;

Exhibit F – License Agreement;

Exhibit G – Ms. Harvey's Damages Opening Report;

Exhibit H – Excerpts of Ms. Harvey's deposition transcript;

Exhibit J – Information produced by third-party Avanci;

Exhibit L – Excerpt of Neo's document related to licensing negotiations;

Exhibit N – Excerpts of Ms. Harvey's Defendant Specific Appendices;

Exhibit P – Dr. Mahon's Appendix I to Opening Report;

Exhibit Q – Excerpts of Dr. Mahon's deposition transcript;

Exhibit R – Mr. Jones's Appendix A;

Exhibit S – Dr. Mahon's Appendix F to Opening Report;

Exhibit T – Dr. Mahon's Appendix E to Opening Report;

Exhibit U – Mr. Jones's Tesla Supplement;

Exhibit V – Excerpts of Mr. Jones's deposition transcript;

Exhibit X – Dr. Mahon's Appendix I to Opening Report.

(referred to collectively as "the Sealed Exhibits").

Both Defendants' Brief and the Sealed Exhibits contain highly confidential information regarding licensing negotiations and discussions of licensing negotiation details, financial information, and other technical information that has been designated Confidential, Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only, or Outside Attorneys' Eyes Only – Source Code by Neo or third-parties pursuant to the Protective Orders (D.I. 125, D.I. 126, D.I. 210) entered in this case. Additional information about the particular privacy interests that apply to Defendants' Brief and to the Sealed Exhibits is provided in the attached Index of Documents Proposed for Sealing.

A determination on a motion for leave to file under seal is made pursuant to the sound discretion of the district court. *Meyer Goldberg, Inc. v. Fisher Foods, Inc.*, 823 F.2d 159, 161 (6th Cir. 1987). The right of the public to access judicial records "is not absolute." *Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp. v. F.T.C.,* 710 F.2d 1165, 1179 (6th Cir. 1983). The Sixth Circuit recognizes that "certain privacy rights of participants" are significant interests which can outweigh the public's right to access. *Id.* (citing *Nixon v. Warner Commc'ns, Inc.,* 435 U.S. 589, 598 (1978)) (additional citations omitted).

Here, the information sought to be filed under seal includes confidential negotiations and licensing details, including information that has been designated Highly Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only by parties to this action, and technical and business information designated Confidential, Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only, or Outside Attorneys' Eyes Only – Source Code by a third parties. Such information should therefore be permitted to be filed under seal to respect the parties' privacy and to respect that parties' competitive advantages gains by maintaining such information confidential. *See Brown & Williams*, 710

F.2d at 1179; *Apple Inc. v. Samsung Elecs., Co.*, 727 F.3d 1214, 1225-26 (Fed. Cir. 2013). Good cause therefore exists, and there is no opposition.

Redacted and unredacted copies of Defendants' Brief in Support of its Motion to Exclude the Opinions of Neo's Damages Expert, Ms. Harvey, and Certain Opinions of Neo's Technical Experts, Dr. Mahon and Mr. Jones are provided with this filing pursuant to L.R. 5.3(b)(3)(A)(v) and (vi), demonstrating that the proposed redactions are narrowly tailored. Because of the nature of the material in the Sealed Exhibits, Defendants request these documents be kept under seal in their entirety.

Counsel for Plaintiff Neo Wireless, LLC confirmed via email that it does not oppose the relief requested in this motion.

For the reasons set forth above, the Defendants respectfully requests that the Court grant its Unopposed Motion for Leave to File Under Seal. A proposed Order is concurrently submitted through the ECF system.

Date: June 20, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

<u>/s/ Joseph A. Herriges</u> Joseph A. Herriges, MN Bar No. 390350 Conrad A. Gosen, MN Bar No. 0395381

<u>/s/ Thomas H. Reger II</u>

Thomas H. Reger II Texas Bar No. 24032992 reger@fr.com FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.

4

James Huguenin-Love, MN Bar No. 0398706 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 60 South Sixth Street, Suite 3200 Minneapolis, MN 55402 Telephone: (612) 335-5070 herriges@fr.com, gosen@fr.com, huguein-love@fr.com

Michael J. McKeon, DC Bar No. 459780 Christian Chu, DC Bar No. 483948 Jared Hartzman, DC Bar No. 1034255 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 1000 Maine Avenue SW, Suite 1000 Washington, DC 20024 Telephone: (202) 783-5070 mckeon@fr.com, chu@fr.com, hartzman@fr.com

J. Michael Huget (P39150) Sarah E. Waidelich (P80225) HONIGMAN LLP 315 East Eisenhower Prkwy., Ste. 100 Ann Arbor, MI 48108 Tel: (734) 418-4254 mhuget@honigman.com, swaidelich@honigman.com

Counsel for Defendants General Motors Company and General Motors LLC

<u>/s/ John S. LeRoy</u> John S. LeRoy (P61964) Christopher C. Smith (P73936) Kyle G. Konz (P79452) BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C. 1000 Town Center, 22nd Floor

DOCKF

1717 Main Street, Suite 5000 Dallas, TX 75201 Telephone: (214) 747-5070

Lawrence Jarvis Georgia Bar No. 102116 jarvis@fr.com FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 1180 Peachtree Street NE, 21st Floor Atlanta, Georgia 30309 Telephone: (404) 892-5005

Elizabeth Ranks Massachusetts Bar No. 693679 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 1 Marina Park Drive Boston, Massachusetts 02210 Telephone: (617) 542-5070 ranks@fr.com

J. Michael Huget (P39150) Sarah E. Waidelich (P80225) HONIGMAN LLP 315 East Eisenhower Prkwy., Ste. 100 Ann Arbor, MI 48108 Tel: (734) 418-4254 mhuget@honigman.com swaidelich@honigman.com

Counsel for Defendant Tesla Inc.

<u>/s/ Peter J. Brennan</u> Reginald J. Hill (IL Bar #6225173) Peter J. Brennan (IL Bar #6190873) JENNER & BLOCK LLP 353 N. Clark St. Chicago, IL 60654

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.