

# *Exhibit A*

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

VOLKSWAGEN GROUP OF AMERICA, INC.,  
Petitioner

v.

NEO WIRELESS LLC,  
Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2023-00426  
U.S. Patent No. 8,467,366

---

**DECLARATION OF LEONARD J. CIMINI, JR., PH.D.**

***Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"***  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

*Declaration of Leonard J. Cimini, Jr.  
U.S. Patent No. 8,467,366*

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

|       |   |    |
|-------|---|----|
| I.    | INTRODUCTION .....  | 1  |
| II.   | GROUNDS OF UNPATENTABILITY.....   | 3  |
| III.  | QUALIFICATIONS .....  | 5  |
| IV.   | MATERIALS CONSIDERED .....  | 9  |
| V.    | LEGAL UNDERSTANDING .....   | 11 |
|       | A. My Understanding of Claim Construction .....   | 11 |
|       | B. My Understanding of Obviousness .....  | 11 |
|       | C. My Understanding of a Person Having Ordinary Skill in the Art .....  | 14 |
| VI.   | THE '366 PATENT .....   | 15 |
|       | A. Overview .....   | 15 |
|       | B. Prosecution History .....  | 18 |
|       | C. IPR2021-01480 .....  | 19 |
|       | D. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art .....   | 20 |
|       | E. Claim Construction.....  | 21 |
| VII.  | BACKGROUND OF THE TECHNOLOGY .....  | 23 |
|       | A. Multiple Access Techniques .....   | 23 |
|       | B. Orthogonal Frequency-Division Multiplexing (OFDM) & Orthogonal<br>Frequency-Division Multiple Access (OFDMA) ..... | 25 |
|       | C. Guard Bands and Guard Periods .....  | 26 |
|       | D. Peak-to-Average Power Ratio (PAP, PAR, or PAPR).....   | 27 |
|       | E. Reducing or Eliminating Signal Interference.....   | 28 |
| VIII. | GROUND 1: CLAIMS 1-4, 6-20, 22-24 WOULD HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS<br>OVER JEONG AND SUZUKI .....                              | 30 |
|       | A. Jeong.....   | 30 |
|       | B. Suzuki .....   | 35 |
|       | C. Motivation to Combine .....  | 39 |
|       | D. Independent Claim 1 .....  | 41 |
|       | 1. Claim 1's Preamble .....   | 42 |

*Declaration of Leonard J. Cimini, Jr.*  
*U.S. Patent No. 8,467,366*

|     |  |    |
|-----|--|----|
| 2.  | Limitation 1.1 .....   | 44 |
| 3.  | Limitation 1.2.....  | 46 |
| 4.  | Limitation 1.3.....  | 47 |
| 5.  | Limitation 1.4.....  | 50 |
| 6.  | Limitation 1.5.....  | 51 |
| E.  | Independent Claims 9, 17, and 22.....  | 54 |
| F.  | Dependent Claims 2 and 10 .....  | 63 |
| G.  | Dependent Claims 3 and 11 .....  | 65 |
| H.  | Dependent Claims 4 and 20 .....  | 66 |
| I.  | Dependent Claims 6 and 18 .....  | 68 |
| J.  | Dependent Claims 7 and 19 .....  | 69 |
| K.  | Dependent Claim 8.....   | 70 |
| L.  | Dependent Claims 12-14.....  | 71 |
| M.  | Dependent Claims 15 and 23 .....   | 73 |
| N.  | Dependent Claims 16 and 24 .....   | 74 |
| IX. | GROUND 2: CLAIMS 2-3, 5, 10-11, AND 21 WOULD HAVE BEEN<br>OBVIOUS OVER JEONG, SUZUKI, AND GIBSON. ....   | 75 |
| A.  | Gibson.....  | 75 |
| B.  | Dependent Claims 2 and 10 .....  | 76 |
| C.  | Dependent Claims 3 and 11 .....  | 77 |
| D.  | Dependent Claims 5 and 21 .....  | 79 |
| X.  | GROUND 3: CLAIMS 1, 4, 6-9, 12, 15-20, AND 22-24 WOULD HAVE<br>BEEN OBVIOUS OVER THE COMBINATION OF KOO, 802.16AB,<br>CHAYAT, AND SUZUKI. .... | 83 |
| A.  | Koo .....  | 83 |
| B.  | IEEE 802.16ab-01/01r2 (“802.16ab”).....  | 86 |
| C.  | Chayat.....  | 96 |
| D.  | Suzuki .....   | 97 |
| E.  | Motivation to Combine .....  | 97 |

*Declaration of Leonard J. Cimini, Jr.  
U.S. Patent No. 8,467,366*

|      |   |     |
|------|---|-----|
| 1.   | Koo with 802.16ab.....  | 97  |
| 2.   | Koo and 802.16ab with Chayat.....   | 98  |
| 3.   | Koo, 802.16ab, and Chayat with Suzuki .....   | 100 |
| F.   | Independent Claim 1 .....   | 101 |
| 1.   | Claim 1’s Preamble.....   | 101 |
| 2.   | Limitation 1.1.....   | 104 |
| 3.   | Limitation 1.2.....   | 107 |
| 4.   | Limitation 1.3.....   | 108 |
| 5.   | Limitation 1.4.....   | 111 |
| 6.   | Limitation 1.5.....   | 112 |
| G.   | Independent Claims 9, 17, and 22 .....  | 115 |
| H.   | Dependent Claims 4 and 20 .....   | 119 |
| I.   | Dependent Claims 6 and 18 .....   | 120 |
| J.   | Dependent Claims 7 and 19 .....   | 121 |
| K.   | Dependent Claim 8.....  | 122 |
| L.   | Dependent Claim 12.....   | 122 |
| M.   | Dependent Claims 15 and 23 .....  | 123 |
| N.   | Dependent Claims 16 and 24 .....  | 124 |
| XI.  | GROUND 4: CLAIMS 2-3, 5, 10-11, 13-14, AND 21 WOULD HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS OVER THE COMBINATION OF KOO, 802.16AB, CHAYAT, SUZUKI, AND GIBSON. .... | 126 |
| A.   | Dependent Claims 2 and 10 .....   | 126 |
| B.   | Dependent Claims 5 and 21 .....   | 128 |
| C.   | Dependent Claims 13 and 14 .....  | 129 |
| XII. | CONCLUSION.....   | 131 |

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.