

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

IN RE NEO WIRELESS, LLC
PATENT LITIG.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§

2:22-MD-03034-TGB

HON. TERRENCE G. BERG

**PLAINTIFF NEO WIRELESS, LLC'S
OPENING CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. LEGAL AUTHORITY 1

III. BACKGROUND OF THE PATENTED TECHNOLOGY 3

A. The Asserted Patents 3

B. The Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art 4

IV. AGREED CLAIM TERMS 4

V. DISPUTED CLAIM TERMS 4

A. '366 Patent Terms 5

1. “the ranging signal exhibits a low peak-to-average power ratio in the time domain” 5

2. “a ranging sequence selected from a set of ranging sequences” 9

B. '908 Patent Terms 12

1. “wherein the portion of the frequency band used for transmission of the random access signal does not include control channels” 12

2. “associated with” 15

3. “random access signal” 18

C. '450 Patent Terms 21

1. “time-frequency resource unit” 21

D. '941 Patent Terms 24

1. “the antenna transmission scheme comprising a transmission diversity scheme or a multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) scheme” 24

2. “the mobile station-specific transmission parameters indicate ... a corresponding subchannel configuration ... the corresponding subchannel configuration characterized by distributed subcarriers or localized subcarriers in the frequency domain” 28

E. '302 Patent Terms 29

- 1. “probing signal”29
- 2. “the probing signal is configured to occupy a portion of spectrum in the uplink frequency band not designated for transmission of uplink control signals in the system”32
- 3. “a receiver configured to receive a request for a probing signal from a base station in the system”34
- 4. the probing signal is configured to overlap, in the time domain, with uplink signals transmitted over an uplink frequency band by other mobile devices in the system”34
- F. ’512 Patent Terms.....35
 - 1. “wherein the first plurality of subcarriers and the second plurality of subcarriers are received in at least one of the time slots”35
 - 2. “second pilots of a second type”36
- VI. CONCLUSION.....38

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

3M Innovative Proprs. Co. v. Tredegar Corp.
 725 F.3d 1315 (Fed. Cir. 2013)24

All Dental Prodx, LLC v. Advantage Dental Prods., Inc.
 309 F.3d 774 (Fed. Cir. 2002)3

Braintree Labs., Inc. v. Novel Labs., Inc.
 749 F.3d 1349 (Fed. Cir. 2014)8

Comark Comms., Inc. v. Harris Corp.
 156 F.3d 1182 (Fed. Cir. 1998)20

Continental Circuits LLC v. Intel Corp.
 915 F.3d 788 (Fed. Cir. 2019) 32, 36

Dell Inc. v. Neo Wireless LLC
 IPR2021-01486 (PTAB Sept. 16, 2021)23

Dell Inc. v. Neo Wireless, LLC
 IPR2022-00277 (PTAB Dec. 14, 2021) 17, 18

ERBE Elektromedizin GmbH v. Canady Tech. LLC
 629 F.3d 1278 (Fed. Cir. 2010)23

Flypsi, Inc. v. Dialpad, Inc.
 No. 6:21-cv-00642, 2022 WL 3593131 (W.D. Tex. Aug. 22, 2022).....16

Fractus, S.A. v. AT&T Mobility LLC
 No. 2:18-CV-00135, 2019 WL 1641357 (E.D. Tex. Apr. 16, 2019).....7

Golight, Inc. v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.
 355 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2004)24

H-WTech., L.C v. Overstock.com, Inc.
 758 F.3d 1329 (Fed. Cir. 2014)2

i4i Ltd. P’ship v. Microsoft Corp.
598 F.3d 831 (Fed. Cir. 2010)12

Intel Corp. v. VIA Techs., Inc.
319 F.3d 1357 (Fed. Cir. 2003)3

Interval Licensing LLC v. AOL, Inc.
766 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2014)5, 8

Invitrogen Corp. v. Biocrest Mfg., L.P.
424 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2005) 3, 27

Joao Control & Monitoring Sys., LLC v. Protect Am., Inc.
No. 1-14-cv-134, 2015 WL 4937464 (W.D. Tex. Aug. 18, 2015)16

Liebel-Flarsheim Co. v. Medrad, Inc.
358 F.3d 898 (Fed. Cir. 2004)37

Markman v. Westview Instruments, Inc.
517 U.S. 370 (1996)1

Nautilus, Inc. v. Biosig Instruments, Inc.
572 U.S. 898 (2014)3

Neo Wireless LLC v. Dell Techs. Inc.
No. 1:22-CV-00060-DAE (W.D. Tex. Jan. 12, 2021) 26, 27

Oatey Co. v. IPS Corp.
514 F.3d 1271 (Fed. Cir. 2008)22

Omega Eng’g, Inc. v. Raytek Corp.
334 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2003)2

Orthokinetics, Inc. v. Safety Travel Chairs, Inc.
806 F.2d 1565 (Fed. Cir. 1986)7

Packaging Specialties, Inc. v. Anchor Bay Packaging Corp.
2010 WL 5146423 (E.D. Mich. Dec. 13, 2010).....21

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.