

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

IN RE NEO WIRELESS, LLC,
PATENT LITIG.

NO. 2:22-MD-03034-TGB

HON. TERRENCE G. BERG

NEO WIRELESS, LLC,

Plaintiff,

NO. 2:22-CV-11406-TGB

HON. TERRENCE G. BERG

v.

TOYOTA MOTOR CORPORATION,
TOYOTA MOTOR NORTH AMERICA,
INC., TOYOTA MOTOR SALES, U.S.A.,
INC., & TOYOTA MOTOR ENGINEERING
& MANUFACTURING NORTH
AMERICA, INC., & TOYOTA MOTOR
CREDIT CORPORATION

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendants.

**DEFENDANTS' FIRST AMENDED ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO
PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

Defendants Toyota Motor Corporation (“TMC”), Toyota Motor North America, Inc. (“TMNA”), Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc. (“TMS”), Toyota Motor Engineering & Manufacturing North America, Inc. (“TEMA”), and Toyota Motor Credit Corporation (“TMCC”) (collectively, “Toyota”) hereby submit this First Amended Answer and assert defenses to the First Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement (“First Amended Complaint”) filed by Plaintiff Neo Wireless, LLC on June 24, 2022.

THE PARTIES

1. Toyota lacks sufficient knowledge and information to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 1 of the First Amended Complaint and therefore denies them.

2. Toyota Motor Corporation admits that it is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Japan, with a place of business at 1 Toyota-Cho, Toyota City, Aichi Prefecture, 471-8571, Japan. Toyota denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 2 of the First Amended Complaint.

3. Toyota Motor North America, Inc. admits that it is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of California with its principal place of business at 6565 Headquarters Drive, Plano, Texas, 75024. TMNA admits that its registered agent is CT Corporation System, at 1999 Bryan Street, Suite 900, Dallas, Texas, 75201. Toyota denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 3 of the First Amended Complaint.

4. Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc. admits that it is a corporation organized under the laws of California with its principal place of business at 6565 Headquarters Drive, Plano, Texas 75024. TMS admits that its registered agent is CT Corporation System, at 1999 Bryan Street, Suite 900, Dallas, Texas, 75201. Toyota denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 4 of the First Amended Complaint.

5. Toyota Motor Engineering & Manufacturing North America, Inc. admits that it is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Kentucky with its principal place of business at 6565 Headquarters Drive, Plano, Texas 75024. TEMA admits that its registered agent is CT Corporation System, at 1999 Bryan Street, Suite 900, Dallas, Texas, 75201. Toyota denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 5 of the First Amended Complaint.

6. Toyota Motor Credit Corporation admits that it is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California with its principal place of business at 6565 Headquarters Drive, Plano, Texas 75024. TMCC admits that its registered agent is CT Corporation System, at 1999 Bryan Street, Suite 900, Dallas, Texas, 75201. Toyota denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 6 of the First Amended Complaint.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. Admitted.

8. Admitted.

9. Paragraph 9 contains legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, for the purposes of this action only, Toyota does not dispute that venue is proper in this District. Toyota denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 9.

10. Paragraph 10 contains legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, for the purposes of this action only, Toyota does not dispute that venue is proper in this District. Toyota denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 10.

11. Paragraph 11 contains legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, for the purposes of this action only, Toyota does not contest personal jurisdiction. Toyota denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 11.

12. Paragraph 12 contains legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, for the purposes of this action only, Toyota does not contest personal jurisdiction. Toyota denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 12.

13. Paragraph 13 contains legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, for the purposes of this action only, Toyota does not contest personal jurisdiction. Toyota denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 13.

14. Paragraph 14 contains legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, for the purposes of this action only, Toyota does not contest personal jurisdiction. Toyota denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 14.

15. Paragraph 15 contains legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, for the purposes of this action only, Toyota does not contest personal jurisdiction. Toyota denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 15.

16. Paragraph 16 contains legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, for the purposes of this action only, Toyota does not contest personal jurisdiction. Toyota denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 16.

17. Paragraph 17 contains legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, for the purposes of this action only, Toyota does not contest personal jurisdiction. Toyota denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 17.

18. Paragraph 18 contains legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, for the purposes of this action only, Toyota does not contest personal jurisdiction. Toyota denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 18.

THE ASSERTED PATENTS

The '366 Patent

19. Toyota admits that U.S. Patent No. 8,467,366 (“the ’366 patent”) was issued on June 18, 2013 and is titled “Methods and Apparatus for Random Access in Multi-Carrier

Communication Systems.” Toyota admits that Exhibit 1 to the First Amended Complaint appears to be a copy of the ’366 patent. Toyota denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 19.

20. Toyota lacks sufficient knowledge and information to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 20 of the First Amended Complaint and therefore denies them.

21. Denied.

The ’908 Patent

22. Toyota admits that U.S. Patent No. 10,833,908 (“the ’908 patent”) was issued on April 17, 2018 and is titled “Channel Probing Signal for a Broadband Communication System.” Toyota admits that Exhibit 2 to the First Amended Complaint appears to be a copy of the ’908 patent. Toyota denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 22.

23. Toyota lacks sufficient knowledge and information to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 23 of the First Amended Complaint and therefore denies them.

24. Denied.

The ’941 Patent

25. Toyota admits that U.S. Patent No. 10,075,941 (“the ’941 patent”) was issued on September 11, 2018 and is titled “Methods and Apparatus for Multi-Carrier Communication Systems with Adaptive Transmission and Feedback.” Toyota admits that Exhibit 3 to the First Amended Complaint appears to be a copy of the ’941 patent. Toyota denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 25.

26. Toyota lacks sufficient knowledge and information to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 26 of the First Amended Complaint and therefore denies them.

27. Denied.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.