

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS**

PHILIPS NORTH AMERICA LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

FITBIT LLC,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 1:19-cv-11586-FDS

**DEFENDANT FITBIT LLC'S MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO PHILIPS'  
MOTION TO STRIKE PORTIONS OF FITBIT'S EXPERT REBUTTAL REPORTS**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b><u>Pages</u></b>
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND.....	2
A. Fitbit Designated Deposition Witnesses Regarding Non-Infringing Alternatives.....	2
B. Dr. Paradiso’s Opinion Regarding The Lack Of Provisional Support For The ’377 Patent Was Raised As Soon As Possible.....	5
III. LEGAL STANDARD.....	6
IV. ARGUMENT .....	7
A. Philips’ Request To Strike Expert Opinions On Non-Infringing Alternatives Should Be Denied.....	7
1. Fitbit Designated Multiple Corporate Witnesses On Non-Infringing Alternatives.....	9
2. Fitbit Timely Disclosed Its Expert Opinions Regarding Non- Infringing Alternatives In Rebuttal Reports. ....	11
3. Philips Does Not Identify Any Other Basis That Required Fitbit To Disclose Non-Infringing Alternatives During Fact Discovery. ....	14
4. To The Extent Fitbit’s Non-Infringing Alternatives Were Not Timely Disclosed, Philips’ Proposed Sanction Of Exclusion Is Unwarranted.....	15
B. Philips’ Request To Strike Dr. Paradiso’s Opinions Regarding The Priority Date Of The ’377 Patent Should Be Denied.....	16
1. Dr. Paradiso’s Opinions Regarding The Priority Date Of The ’377 Patent Were Timely Disclosed In His Rebuttal Report. ....	17
2. To The Extent Dr. Paradiso’s Opinions Regarding The Priority Date Of The ’377 Patent Were Not Timely, Philips Proposed Sanction Of Exclusion Is Unwarranted.....	19
V. CONCLUSION.....	20

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b><u>Pages</u></b>
<b><u>CASES</u></b>	
<i>Acorn Semi, LLC v. Samsung Elecs. Co., Ltd.</i> , No. 2:19-CV-00347-JRG, 2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 225373 (E.D. Tex. Apr. 29, 2021) .....	10
<i>Aro Mfg. Co. v. Convertible Top Replacement Co.</i> , 377 U.S. 476 (1964).....	6
<i>Aylus Networks, Inc. v. Apple Inc.</i> , No. 13-CV-04700-EMC (KAW), 2015 WL 6667460 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 2, 2015) .....	15
<i>Berwind Prop. Grp. Inc. v. Env't Mgmt. Grp., Inc.</i> , 233 F.R.D. 62 (D. Mass. 2005).....	10
<i>Biedermann Techs. GmbH &amp; Co. KG v. K2M, Inc.</i> , No. 2:18-CV-585, 2020 WL 4720083 (E.D. Va. May 14, 2020) .....	11
<i>Centricut, LLC v. Esab Grp., Inc.</i> , 390 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	13
<i>Cruz-Vázquez v. Mennonite Gen. Hosp., Inc.</i> , 613 F.3d 54 (1st Cir. 2010).....	6
<i>DataTreasury Corp. v. Wells Fargo &amp; Co.</i> , No. 2:06-CV-72 DF, 2011 WL 8810604 (E.D. Tex. Aug. 2, 2011).....	12
<i>Depuy Spine, Inc. v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek, Inc.</i> , 567 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	12
<i>Esposito v. Home Depot U.S.A., Inc.</i> , 590 F.3d 72 (1st Cir. 2009).....	7
<i>Glass Dimensions, Inc. ex rel. Glass Dimensions, Inc. Profit Sharing Plan &amp; Tr. v. State St. Bank &amp; Tr. Co.</i> , 290 F.R.D. 11 (D. Mass. 2013).....	17
<i>LaserDynamics, Inc. v. Quanta Computer, Inc.</i> , No. 2:06-CV-348, 2011 WL 197869 (E.D. Tex. Jan. 20, 2011), <i>objections overruled</i> , No. 2:06-CV-348, 2011 WL 13196509 (E.D. Tex. Jan. 28, 2011) .....	12
<i>Lawes v. CSA Architects &amp; Engineers LLP</i> , 963 F.3d 72 (1st Cir. 2020).....	7

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES (cont'd)**

	<b><u>Pages</u></b>
<i>Lucent Techs., Inc. v. Gateway, Inc.</i> , 580 F.3d 1301 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	6, 10
<i>Medisim, Ltd. v. Best Med. LLC</i> , 861 F. Supp. 2d 158 (S.D.N.Y. 2012).....	11
<i>Neuberger Berman Real Estate Income Fund, Inc. v. Lola Brown Tr. No. 1B</i> , No. AMD 04-3056, 2006 WL 6323520 (D. Md. Mar. 17, 2006).....	15
<i>Plumley v. Mockett</i> , 836 F. Supp. 2d 1053 (C.D. Cal. 2010) .....	11, 13
<i>Prism Techs., LLC v. T-Mobile USA Inc.</i> , No. 8:12CV124, 2015 WL 5883764 (D. Neb. Oct. 8, 2015).....	10
<i>PSN Ill. LLC v. Abbott Labs</i> , No. 09-c-5879, 2012 WL 5381278 (N.D. Ill. Oct. 31, 2012) .....	11
<i>Riles v. Shell Expl. &amp; Prod. Co.</i> , 298 F.3d 1302 (Fed. Cir. 2002).....	6, 10
<i>Salazar v. HTC Corp.</i> , No. 216CV01096JRGRSP, 2018 WL 2033709 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 28, 2018).....	10, 12
<i>Samaria Iglesia Evangelica, Inc. v. Lorenzo</i> , No. 18-CV-10004-LTS, 2019 WL 5698444 (D. Mass. Nov. 1, 2019).....	9
<i>Samsung Elecs. Co. v. Nvidia Corp.</i> , 314 F.R.D. 190 (E.D. Va. 2016) .....	15
<i>Skyhook Wireless, Inc. v. Google, Inc.</i> , No. 10-11571-RWZ, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 194007 (D. Mass. Feb. 18, 2015).....	6, 11
<i>Smart Skins LLC v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , No. C15-544-MJP, 2016 WL 4148091 (W.D. Wash. July 1, 2016).....	12
<i>Sullivan v. Glock, Inc.</i> , 175 F.R.D. 497 (D. Md. 1997).....	15
<i>Trustees of Bos. Univ. v. Everlight Elecs. Co.</i> , No. 12-CV-11935-PBS, 2014 WL 5786492 (D. Mass. Sept. 24, 2014).....	9
<i>Viasat, Inc. v. Space Sys./Loral, Inc.</i> , No. 3:12-cv-260, 2014 WL 11813867 (S.D. Cal. Jan. 31, 2014) .....	11, 12
<i>Zampierollo-Rheinfeldt v. Ingersoll-Rand de Puerto Rico, Inc.</i> , 999 F.3d 37 (1st Cir. 2021).....	6

...

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES (cont'd)**

**Pages**

**RULES**

Fed. R. Civ. P. 26.....	6, 7, 8, 14
Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a) .....	10, 13
Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(D) .....	5
Fed. R. Civ. P. 37.....	8, 14
Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(c)(1).....	6, 14

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.