Exhibit 2

```
Case 1:19-cv-11586-FDS Document 241-2 Filed 09/28/21 Page 2 of 6
                                                                         1
 1
                         UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                          DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS
 2
 3
 4
      Gerald E. Helget,
                     Plaintiff,
 5
                                         Case No. 21-mc-91150-FDS
 6
      vs.
 7
      Fitbit, Inc.,
 8
                     Defendant.
 9
10
      BEFORE: The Honorable Magistrate Judge Jennifer C. Boal
11
12
                       Videoconferenced Motion Hearing
13
14
15
                                April 21, 2021
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
                        Marianne Kusa-Ryll, RDR, CRR
23
                            Official Court Reporter
                         United States District Court
24
                          595 Main Street, Room 514A
                           Worcester, MA 01608-2093
25
                       508-929-3399 justicehill@aol.com
                  Mechanical Steno - Transcript by Computer
```





1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



PROCEEDINGS

THE CLERK: Today is April 21, 2021. We're on the record in the matter of Gerald Helget v. Fitbit, Inc.

The case number is 21-mc-91150.

Will counsel please identify themselves for the record.

MR. THOMPSON: Good morning, your Honor. I am Eley
Thompson on behalf of Mr. Helget. I'm also counsel for Philips
in the underlying action.

With me is Ruben Rodrigues and Michelle Moran. We are all Foley -- from the law firm of Foley & Lardner. And it's a pleasure to be here before you.

MR. MATTY: And good morning, your Honor. It's Brian Matty on behalf of Fitbit; and with me is Karim Oussayef and Elizabeth DiMarco also on behalf of Fitbit. And I believe we have one or two of our client representatives listening in on the public line.

THE COURT: Good morning, everyone.

So I'll hear from Mr. Helget's attorneys first. They have filed the motion to quash. I do have questions -- two questions for each side to comment on.

It has -- the briefing started with respect to this motion in February, I believe, and ended on March 4th, and I would be interested to know if from your perspective if there



what -- what they brought forth.

As far as timing, I would direct the court's attention to the docket order 54 in this case, which is the scheduling order, and if the -- in the -- in the scenario where they would have pled inequitable conduct and they maybe sought to expand it, the order provides that except for good cause no motion seeking leave to amend the pleadings to assert new claims or defenses may be filed after March 24, 2020. That's not recent. That's a whole year ago.

THE COURT: I know, but the problem is that they haven't even filed their answer yet. So it's a motion to amend the pleadings so...

The whole posture here is a little bit unusual.

MR. THOMPSON: I would agree. That -- that -- that is right, but I think that the judge will look to that and address when they do try to assert this, which I have specifically tried not to have it reviewed by the judge, by the District Court judge, that where that will be an important factor because if you look at when discovery -- even if you look at when discovery began, which began on January 10, 2020, and of course it closed March 23, 2021. There were 14 months of fact discovery.

In month 13, they noticed a subpoena of Mr. Helget, and they had never previously mentioned inequitable conduct. Then with less than 6 weeks of discovery left, Fitbit for the



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

