## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

PHILIPS NORTH AMERICA LLC	)
Plaintiff,	) ) )
V.	
FITBIT, INC.,	)  JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendant.	)
	)
	)

## PLAINTIFF'S OPENING CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION		
II.	LEGAL STANDARDS		
III.	II. THE ASSERTED PATENTS		
	a.	U.S. Patent No. 6,013,007 (the '007 Patent)	
	b.	U.S. Patent No. 6,976,958 (the '958 Patent)	
	c.	U.S. Patent No. 7,088,233 (the '233 Patent)	
	d.	U.S. Patent No. 8,277,377 (the '377 Patent)	
IV.	LEVE	EL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART4	
V.	DISP	UTED CONSTRUCTIONS5	
	a.	'007 Patent: "means for computing athletic performance feedback data from the series of time-stamped waypoints obtained by said GPS receiver"	
	i.	Construction of functional language (claims 1, 21)	
	ii.	The term is not indefinite (claims 1, 21)	
	b.	'007 Patent: "means for suspending and resuming operation of said means for computing when a speed of the athlete falls below a predetermined threshold" (Claim 7)	
	c.	'958 Patent: "in the event of an interruption of the wireless connection configured to store" (Claims 15, 16)	
	d.	'958 Patent: "memory"	
	e.	'958 Patent: "internet-enabled wireless web device"	
	f.	'958 Patent: "health parameter [or visual data] [indicative/corresponding to] of a disease state or condition of a patient"	
	g.	'233 Patent: "governing information transmitted between the first personal device and the second device"	
	h.	'233 Patent: "first personal device"	
	i.	'233 Patent: "wireless communication"	
	j.	'377 Patent: "indicating a physiological status of a subject" (Claims 1, 12)19	



Case 1:19-cv-11586-IT	Document 73	Filed 06/05/20	Page 3 of 26

T 7T	CONTOUT TIGHTON	_
<b>\</b> / I		
VI.		. /.



## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
Alfred E. Mann Found. for Sci. Research v. Cochlear Corp., 841 F.3d 1334 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	15
AllVoice Computing PLC v. Nuance Comms., Inc., 504 F.3d 1236 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	15
Applied Med. Resources Corp. v. U.S. Surgical Corp., 448 F.3d 1324 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	5
Biomedino, LLC v. Waters Techs. Corp., 490 F.3d 946 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	13
Cardia Pacemakers, Inc. v. St. Jude Med., Inc., 296 F.3d 1106 (Fed. Cir. 2002)	6
Finisar Corp. v. DirecTV Grp., Inc., 523 F.3d 1323 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	15
Koninklijke Philips, N.V. v. Zoll Med. Corp., 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 113735 at *23-24 (D. Mass. Aug 15, 2014)	14
McGinley v. Franklin Sports, Inc., 262 F.3d 1339 (Fed. Cir. 2001)	6, 10
Medtronic Minimed Inv. v. Animas Corp., 21 F.Supp.3d 1060 (C.D. Cal. 2014)	14
Phillips v. AWH Corp., 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	5
S3 Inc. v. NVIDIA Corp., 259 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2001)	15
Vitronics Corp. v. Conceptronic, Inc., 90 F.3d 1576 (Fed. Cir. 1996)	5
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. 8 112	6 10



### I. INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff Philips North America, LLC ("Philips") submits its opening claim construction brief. As demonstrated below, Philips's proposed constructions are grounded in the intrinsic record and the plain meaning of various terms to a person of ordinary skill in the art, while Defendant Fitbit, Inc's ("Fitbit") proposals are divorced from the specifications of the asserted patents—in some instances going so far as to exclude exemplary embodiments. While Fitbit might desire unreasonably broad constructions that would ensnare prior art, or unreasonably narrower ones that would support non-infringement arguments, those are not the tenets that should guide claim construction. Of note, despite advancing a number of unsupported constructions in the present litigation, Fitbit has filed IPR petitions against the '233, '958, and '377 Patents where it argued that <u>no terms</u> required construction.

### II. LEGAL STANDARDS

Claim construction is supposed to stay true to the meaning that a claim would have to a technically qualified person of ordinary skill in the art in light of the intrinsic record. *Phillips v. AWH Corp.*, 415 F.3d 1303, 1313 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (*en banc*). The patent specification "is the single best guide to the meaning of a disputed term." *Vitronics Corp. v. Conceptronic, Inc.*, 90 F.3d 1576, 1582 (Fed. Cir. 1996). Expert testimony can be useful "to ensure that the court's understanding of the technical aspects of the patent is consistent with that of a person of ordinary skill in the art, or to establish that a particular term in the patent or the prior art has a particular meaning in the pertinent field." *Philips*, 415 F.3d at 1318.

When claim construction involves disputed means-plus-function limitations, the Court must identify the claimed function and the corresponding structure that performs that function. *See Applied Med. Resources Corp. v. U.S. Surgical Corp.*, 448 F.3d 1324, 1332 (Fed. Cir. 2006).



# DOCKET

## Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

