

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

_____)	
UNILOC 2017 LLC,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	Civil Action No. 1:19-cv-11276
v.)	
)	
AKAMAI TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,)	<u>FILED UNDER SEAL</u>
)	
Defendant.)	
_____)	

**MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF AKAMAI TECHNOLOGIES, INC.'S
MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. STATEMENT OF FACTS	3
A. Uniloc’s Rights in the Asserted Patents Are Subject to Its Patent Purchase Agreements	3
B. Uniloc Has No Right to Enforce the Asserted Patents against “IBM Strategic Partners” and “Licensees”	5
C. Akamai Is an “IBM Strategic Partner” and Thus a “Licensee” under the Patent Purchase Agreements	7
D. This Is the Third Time That Uniloc and Its Predecessors Have Filed and Then Dismissed the Same Infringement Claims against Akamai	9
E. Uniloc Failed to Conduct a Reasonable Pre-Suit Investigation and Failed to Disclose the Patent Purchase Agreements from Akamai	10
III. ARGUMENT	12
A. Legal Standard	12
B. Uniloc’s Infringement Claims Should Be Dismissed with Prejudice	13
1. Uniloc Has Failed to State a Valid Infringement Claim Because It Has No Right to Sue Akamai for Infringement of the Asserted Patents	13
2. This Case Should Be Dismissed with Prejudice Because Uniloc Has Repeatedly Dismissed the Same Claims against Akamai	15
C. Uniloc Has Asserted Its Infringement Claims Unreasonably and Vexatiously	17
IV. CONCLUSION	20

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Federal Cases	
<i>Aldabe v. Cornell Univ.</i> , 2018 WL 7917918 (1st Cir. Dec. 7, 2018)	13, 15
<i>Captiva RX, LLC v. Daniels</i> , 2014 WL 5428295 (M.D. Ga. Oct. 23, 2014).....	17
<i>Deep Sky Software, Inc. v. Sw. Airlines Co.</i> , 2015 WL 11202634 (S.D. Cal. June 1, 2015).....	19
<i>Limited v. Compal Elecs. Inc Grp.</i> , 2015 WL 11570939 (S.D. Cal. Dec. 11, 2015).....	18
<i>Mass. Nurses Ass’n v. N. Adams Reg’l Hosp.</i> , 396 F. Supp. 2d 30 (D. Mass. 2005) <i>aff’d</i> , 467 F.3d 27 (1st Cir. 2006).....	13
<i>McLaughlin Transp. Sys., Inc. v. Rubinstein</i> , 390 F. Supp. 2d 50 (D. Mass. 2005)	13
<i>Octane Fitness, LLC v. ICON Health & Fitness, Inc.</i> , 572 U.S. 545 (2014).....	17
<i>Ottah v. Fiat Chrysler</i> , 884 F.3d 1135 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	15
<i>Perez-Acevedo v. Rivero-Cubano</i> , 520 F.3d 26 (1st Cir. 2008).....	13
<i>Pool v. F. Hoffman-La Roche, Ltd.</i> , 386 F. Supp. 3d 1202 (N.D. Cal. 2019)	17
<i>Schwann v. FedEx Ground Package Sys., Inc.</i> , 2018 WL 4119686 (D. Mass. Aug. 29, 2018)	13, 15
<i>Thermolife Int’l LLC v. GNC Corp.</i> , 922 F.3d 1347 (Fed. Cir. 2019).....	18, 20
<i>Uniloc USA, Inc. v. ADP, LLC</i> , 279 F. Supp. 3d 736 (E.D. Tex. 2017) <i>aff’d in part, rev’d in part and remanded</i> , 772 F. App’x 890 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	1
<i>Wicks v. Putnam Inv. Mgmt., LLC</i> , 2005 WL 705360 (D. Mass. Mar. 28, 2005).....	16

Federal Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 285..... *passim*

Rules

Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6).....14, 15

Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(c)12, 13

Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(h)(2)(B)12

Fed. R. Civ. P. 41 *passim*

I. INTRODUCTION

Uniloc 2017 LLC (“Uniloc”) has no basis to maintain this case against Akamai Technologies, Inc. (“Akamai”). Indeed, as stated in its October 2, 2019 Reply to Defendant’s Counterclaims, Uniloc agrees that the case should be dismissed. *See* Dkt. 25 (“Uniloc Reply”) ¶ 49 (admitting that Uniloc “will ask this Court to dismiss the action”). Thus, the only questions left for the Court to decide are: (1) whether the case should be dismissed with prejudice; and (2) whether Uniloc and its counsel should reimburse Akamai’s fees and costs because they unreasonably filed multiple litigations against Akamai alleging infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,069,293 and 6,324,578 (“Asserted Patents”), despite having no right to assert them against Akamai. Akamai respectfully submits that this case should be dismissed with prejudice.

This is the *third time* that Uniloc entities have sued Akamai for infringement of the Asserted Patents. *See* Dkt. 21 (“Akamai Counterclaims”) ¶ 47; Uniloc Reply ¶ 47. Uniloc is the successor of two prior Uniloc entities that also sued Akamai on these same patents, namely: Uniloc Luxembourg S.A. and Uniloc USA, Inc. All three Uniloc entities are patent assertion entities that are managed by the same person, Craig Etchegoyen, and in each of these three cases they have been represented by the same counsel at Prince Lobel Tye LLP. *See* Akamai Counterclaims ¶¶ 50-51; Uniloc Reply ¶¶ 50-51. In each case, the Uniloc plaintiffs filed suit, demanded that Akamai pay a significant settlement, and then dismissed the case shortly thereafter (once in response to an Akamai motion to dismiss and a second time in response to a district court finding the patents invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 101).¹ Akamai Counterclaims ¶ 48; Uniloc Reply ¶ 48. Uniloc now concedes that this case should be dismissed as well. *See* Akamai Counterclaims ¶ 49; Uniloc Reply ¶ 49.

¹ *See Uniloc USA, Inc. v. ADP, LLC*, 279 F. Supp. 3d 736 (E.D. Tex. 2017), *aff’d in part, rev’d in part and remanded*, 772 F. App’x 890 (Fed. Cir. 2019).

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.