1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS
3	
4	TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS
5	INTERNATIONAL GmbH, et al.,
6	Plaintiffs, Civil Action No. 18-cv-12029-ADB
7	v. January 20, 2022
8	ELI LILLY AND COMPANY,
9	Defendant. Pages 1 to 22
10	
11	
12	TRANSCRIPT OF STATUS CONFERENCE
13	BEFORE THE HONORABLE ALLISON D. BURROUGHS UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	JOAN M. DALY, RMR, CRR
22	Official Court Reporter John J. Moakley U.S. Courthouse
23	One Courthouse Way, Room 5507 Boston, MA 02210
24	joanmdaly62@gmail.com
25	



```
1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
     FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:
 3
     Elaine Herrmann Blais
     Douglas J. Kline
 4
     Eric T. Romeo
 5
     Goodwin Procter, LLP
      100 Northern Avenue
     Boston, MA 02210
 6
      617-570-1205
     Email: Eblais@goodwinlaw.com
 7
     Email: Dkline@goodwinlaw.com
     Email: Eromeo@goodwinprocter.com
 8
 9
     FOR THE DEFENDANT:
10
     Andrea L. Martin
     Burns & Levinson LLP
11
     125 Summer Street
     Boston, MA 02110
12
      617-345-3000
     Email: Amartin@burnslev.com
13
14
     Ryan P. O'Quinn
     Emily R. Grabanski
15
     Finnegan LLP
      1875 Explorer Street, Suite 800
     Reston, VA 20190-6023
16
      (571) 203–2426
     Email: Oquinnr@finnegan.com
17
     Email: Emily.gabranski@finnegan.com
18
19
     ALSO PRESENT: Lori Wolfe, Teva Inhouse Counsel
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



PROCEEDINGS

(The following proceeding was held via Zoom Videoconferencing before the Honorable Allison D. Burroughs, United States District Judge, United States District Court, District of Massachusetts, on January 20, 2022.)

THE CLERK: This is civil action 18-12029, Teva Pharmaceuticals versus Eli Lilly. Will counsel identify themselves for the record.

MS. BLAIS: Good morning, Your Honor. This is

Elaine Blais from Goodwin Procter on behalf of Teva. I have
my colleague Eric Romeo and my partner Doug Kline may join us
as well. We also have Lori Wolfe, in-house counsel at Teva.

MS. MARTIN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Andrea Martin for the defendant Eli Lilly. With me is Ryan O'Quinn and Emily Grabanski.

THE COURT: All right. I think that this meeting is being called at Lilly's request. So does Lilly want to start?

MR. O'QUINN: Yes, Your Honor. Ryan O'Quinn on behalf of Lilly. Thank you so much for your time today. We appreciate the opportunity to hopefully short circuit what could be a more burdensome motion practice in front of Your Honor regarding this issue that has already been fully briefed.

We received Your Honor's order of December 20 and



read it with intent, and we endeavored to comply with it in good faith. We went back through our proposed amended pleading, and with a fine tooth comb we removed all references to individuals that your order said needed to be removed. And we cabined allegations directed to "the applicants generally" and where the facts supported them we directed them instead to the two individuals that the documentary evidence and the testimonial evidence supported.

All we intended to do and all we want to do is comply with the order in good faith. So hopefully today's conference can get a little bit of guidance from you as to the degree in which our proposed amended pleading needed to be amended in order to conform with your order.

THE COURT: Mr. O'Quinn, I feel like we are ships passing in the night here, and it may have been my fault. We are where we are, but it was our intention that you guys would just file the drafted amended answer that was filed with 176, ECF 176. We were sort of eliminating causes of action and not thinking that you'd do a line edit of the amended complaint. So we thought we'd be living with 176 and were surprised to see 238.

MR. O'QUINN: I think, Your Honor, I am not sure how the causes of action could be parsed out. I thought we had read your order that the answer at 176 was granted leave to file but needed to be amended to remove the nonspecific



arguments to Mr. Giering and to the applicants generally. The applicants contains the two other individuals. I'm hesitant to name their names because those are confidential information that Teva has asked to redact, but we understood Your Honor's order to hold that the cause of action for inequitable conduct had met the standards of Rule 15, 16, and 9B and simply it was basically for a more clear statement.

THE COURT: I have to say, again I'm not sure we had a great meeting of the minds here, but we were surprised to see 238 which seems like a total revamp, which was not what we had intended or really allowed.

MR. O'QUINN: I can understand that, Your Honor, and I apologize for the misunderstanding. We didn't feel like that was a wholesale revamp. We narrowed the scope of 176 and 238 to we thought obey the Court's order in terms of wording. If we're mistaken, we certainly can do that. It didn't seem like there was an easy way for us to kind of split the baby and carve out references to the applicants while still keeping the necessary pleadings to meet the standard of Rule 9B.

So that's why we wanted your guidance rather than going through another round of motion to strike briefing or motion for leave to amend briefing. And again we apologize. All we want to do is conform with your order.

THE COURT: Ms. Blais, or whoever, what does Teva



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

