Filed in Open Court			
	IN THE UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURTS Hara	
		By Deputy	
LOGANTREE LP	,	<i>V</i>	
	Plaintiff,		
v. Case No. 6:17-CV-01217			
GARMIN INTERI	NATIONAL, INC.,		
	Defendant.		
	VER	DICT	
We, the jury	, answer the questions submi	itted by the Court as follows:	
1. Did l	LoganTree prove by a prepon	derance of the evidence that Garmin has infringed	
the following claim	s of the '576 Patent?		
		1 (1)	
Separately a	inswer "Yes" or "No" for eac	ch Claim.	
Separately a	nswer "Yes" or "No" for eac	ch Claim.	
No. 4	inswer "Yes" or "No" for each	ch Claim.	

Note: Answer Question 2 only if you have answered "Yes" with respect to one or more of the claims in Question 1. Otherwise, skip Question 2, answer Question 3, and sign and date the Verdict form.

2. What sum of money do you find LoganTree has proven by a preponderance of the evidence would fairly and reasonably compensate LoganTree for its damages resulting from infringement of the '576 patent?

\$_____



Case 6:17-cv-01217-EFM Document 287 Filed 10/27/22 Page 2 of 2

3.	Were	your	answers	unanimous?
----	------	------	---------	------------

Yes:______ No:_____

Signed this 21thday of October, 2022.

JURY FOREPERSON

