

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of

**CERTAIN WEARABLE ELECTRONIC
DEVICES WITH ECG FUNCTIONALITY
AND COMPONENTS THEREOF**

Inv. No. 337-TA-1266

**[CORRECTED] INITIAL DETERMINATION ON VIOLATION OF SECTION 337 AND
RECOMMENDED DETERMINATION ON REMEDY AND BOND**

Administrative Law Judge Cameron Elliot

(June 27, 2022)

Pursuant to the Notice of Investigation and Rule 210.42(a) of the Rules of Practice and Procedure of the United States International Trade Commission, this is my Initial Determination in the matter of *Certain Wearable Electronic Devices with ECG Functionality and Components Thereof*, Investigation No. 337-TA-1266.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

I.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
A.	Procedural Background.....	1
B.	The Parties	2
C.	The Asserted Patents and Claims.....	3
D.	Products at Issue	4
1.	Domestic Industry Products.....	4
2.	Accused Products.....	5
II.	STANDARDS OF LAW	7
A.	Standing	7
B.	Claim Construction	8
C.	Infringement.....	11
D.	Domestic Industry.....	12
1.	Technical Prong	12
2.	Economic Prong.....	13
E.	Invalidity.....	15
1.	35 U.S.C. § 101.....	15
2.	35 U.S.C. § 102.....	15
3.	35 U.S.C. § 103.....	16
III.	IMPORTATION AND JURISDICTION	18
IV.	U.S. PATENT NO. 10,638,941	19
A.	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	19
B.	Claims-at-Issue	19
C.	Claim Construction	20
1.	“A smartwatch, comprising”.....	21
2.	“confirm the presence of arrhythmia”.....	22
D.	Infringement.....	30
1.	Claim 12.....	30
a.	[12(f)(i)] “determine if a discordance is present between the activity level value of the user and the heart rate parameter of the user”	32
b.	[12(f)(ii)] “based on the presence of the discordance, indicate to the user a possibility of an arrhythmia being present”.....	37

c.	[12(f)(iii)] “receive electric signals of the user from the ECG sensor to confirm the presence of the arrhythmia”	40
2.	Other claims	45
E.	Domestic Industry – Technical Prong.....	45
1.	Claim 12.....	45
a.	[12(f)(ii)] “based on the presence of the discordance, indicate to the user a possibility of an arrhythmia being present”	51
b.	[12(f)(iii)] “receive electric signals of the user from the ECG sensor to confirm the presence of the arrhythmia”	54
2.	Other Claims	55
3.	Whether technical prong is “in the process of being established”	55
F.	Validity and Other Affirmative Defenses	60
1.	Ineligible Subject Matter.....	61
2.	AMON in Combination with Almen and/or Kotzin	71
a.	Claim 12.....	72
b.	Claims 16, 18, 20, 22, and 23	82
c.	Claims 13 and 19	82
d.	Claim 21	91
e.	Secondary Considerations of Non-Obviousness.....	93
f.	Summary	97
3.	Unenforceability as to Experimental Use	98
V.	U.S. PATENT NO. 10,595,731	98
A.	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	98
B.	Claims-at-Issue	99
C.	Claim Construction	101
1.	“A smart watch to detect the presence of an arrhythmia of a user”	101
2.	“confirm the presence of the arrhythmia”	102
D.	Infringement.....	105
1.	Claim 1	105
a.	[1f(ii)] “detect, based on the PPG data, the presence of an arrhythmia”	106

	b.	[1f(iv)] “confirm the presence of the arrhythmia based on the ECG data”	107
	2.	Other Claims	108
E.		Domestic Industry – Technical Prong.....	108
	1.	Claim 1	109
	a.	[1f(ii)] “detect, based on the PPG data, the presence of an arrhythmia”	109
	b.	[1f(iv)] “confirm the presence of the arrhythmia based on the ECG data”	111
	2.	Other Claims	112
	3.	Whether technical prong is “in the process of being established”	112
F.		Validity and Other Affirmative Defenses	113
	1.	Ineligible Subject Matter.....	113
	2.	AMON in Combination with Almen and/or Kotzin	115
	a.	Claim 1	115
	b.	Claims 2, 7, and 16	117
	c.	Claim 3.....	118
	d.	Claims 4 and 5	120
	e.	Claim 8.....	121
	f.	Claim 9.....	123
	g.	Claim 10.....	124
	h.	Claim 12.....	125
	i.	Claim 15.....	126
	j.	Summary	126
	3.	Unenforceability as to Experimental Use	127
VI.		U.S. PATENT NO. 9,572,499	127
	A.	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	127
	B.	Claims-at-Issue	127
	C.	Claim Construction	128
	D.	Infringement.....	129

1.	Claim 11	129
a.	[11e(iv)] “compare [said] activity level of said first user to said heart rate variability of said first user”	130
b.	[11e(v)] “alert said first user to record an electrocardiogram using said mobile computing device”	133
2.	Other Claims	138
E.	Domestic Industry – Technical Prong.....	139
F.	Validity and Other Affirmative Defenses	140
1.	Ineligible Subject Matter.....	141
2.	AMON in Combination with Almen and/or Kotzin	146
a.	Claim 11	146
b.	Claim 16.....	150
c.	Claim 17.....	151
d.	Summary.....	151
3.	Enforceability as to Experimental Use	152
VII.	DOMESTIC INDUSTRY - ECONOMIC PRONG	152
A.	Domestic Industry in Existence	153
1.	Qualifying Expenditures	153
a.	Subsection (A) – Plant and Equipment.....	153
b.	Subsection (B) – Labor and Capital.....	164
c.	Subsection (C) – Licensing and Research and Development	169
2.	“Significant” or “Substantial”	177
a.	Subsection (B) – Labor and Capital.....	178
b.	Subsection (C) – Licensing and Research and Development	180
B.	Domestic Industry in the Process of Being Established	183
VIII.	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	187
IX.	RECOMMENDED DETERMINATION ON REMEDY AND BOND	189
A.	Limited Exclusion Order.....	190
B.	Cease and Desist Order	192
C.	Bond.....	193

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.