

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of

CERTAIN IP CAMERA SYSTEMS
INCLUDING VIDEO DOORBELLS AND
COMPONENTS THEREOF

Investigation No. 337-TA-1242

NOTICE OF A COMMISSION DETERMINATION EXTENDING THE DATE FOR
DETERMINING WHETHER TO REVIEW AN INITIAL DETERMINATION

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission (“Commission”) has determined to extend the due date for determining whether to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 16) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) in this investigation to November 10, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ron Traud, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street S.W., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3427. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On January 28, 2021, the Commission instituted this investigation based on a complaint filed by SkyBell Technologies, Inc. of Irvine, California; SB IP Holdings, LLC of Irvine, California; and Eyetalk365, LLC of Cornelius, North Carolina (collectively, “Complainants”). 86 FR 7412 (Jan. 28, 2021). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, due to the importation into the United States, sale for importation, or sale in the United States after importation of certain IP camera systems including video doorbells and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 9,432,638; 9,485,478; 10,097,796; 10,097,797; 10,200,660; 10,523,906; and 10,674,120. *Id.* The complaint also alleges the existence of a domestic industry. *Id.* The notice of investigation names as respondents Vivint Smart Home, Inc. of Provo, Utah; SimpliSafe, Inc. of Boston, Massachusetts, and Arlo

Technologies Inc. of San Jose, California (collectively, “Respondents”). *Id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations was not named as a party. *Id.*

On May 21, 2021, Respondents filed a motion for summary determination that all patent claims asserted in this investigation are anticipated. On June 3, 2021, Complainants filed a brief in opposition.

On September 15, 2021, the presiding ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 16) granting the motion. The ID found that there was no genuine issue of material fact as to whether the asserted patents are invalid.

On September 27, 2021, Complainants filed a petition for review. On October 4, 2021, Respondents filed a response thereto.

The Commission has determined to extend the due date for determining whether to review the subject ID to November 10, 2021.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on November 1, 2021.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR Part 210).

By order of the Commission.



Lisa R. Barton
Secretary to the Commission

Issued: November 1, 2021